May 29, 2024

SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY VIA www.regulations.gov

The Honorable Chiquita Brooks-LaSure
Administrator
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
7500 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, MD 21244

RE: Coalition to Preserve Rehabilitation (CPR) Response to the Request for Information on Medicare Advantage Data (CMS–4207–NC)

Dear Administrator Brooks-LaSure:

The undersigned members of the Coalition to Preserve Rehabilitation ("CPR") appreciate the opportunity to provide input to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") in response to the request for information regarding Medicare Advantage ("MA") data.[1] CPR is a coalition of more than 50 national consumer, clinician, and membership organizations that advocate for policies to ensure access to rehabilitative care so that individuals with injuries, illnesses, disabilities, and chronic conditions may regain and/or maintain their maximum level of health and independent function. CPR is comprised of organizations that represent patients – as well as the clinicians who serve them – who are often inappropriately denied access to rehabilitative care in a variety of settings.

Prior Authorization

CPR commends CMS for its recent regulatory action to reign in the overreaches of MA organizations that employ utilization management tools that inappropriately delay and deny care to beneficiaries. The Contract Year 2024 and 2025 MA final rules established guardrails for MA plans to prevent beneficiaries from severe barriers to access to post-acute care, whether due to restrictive coverage policies, improper use of prior authorization, or other administrative burdens.[2] The recently finalized Advancing Interoperability and Improving Prior Authorization

[1] Medicare Program; Request for Information on Medicare Advantage Data, 89 Fed. Reg. 5,907 (published Jan. 30, 2024) available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2024/01/30/2024-01832/medicare-program-request-for-information-on-medicare-advantage-data

[2] "Medicare Program; Contract Year 2024 Policy and Technical Changes to the Medicare Advantage Program, Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit Program, Medicare Cost Plan Program, and Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly." *Federal Register* 88:70 (April 12. 2023) at 22120 et seq.; "Medicare Program; Contract Year 2025 Policy and Technical Changes to the Medicare Advantage Program, Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit Program, Medicare Cost Plan Program, and Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly." *Federal Register* 89:79 (April 23, 2024) at 30448.

Processes rule builds on those new patient protections and addresses key issues with prior authorization including requiring reasons for denials, shortening timeframes for decisions, and requiring transparency from payers.[3] We also applaud CMS's efforts to incorporate patient and provider comments into their proposals and final rules to improve the prior authorization process.

Prior authorization is still a serious impediment to care for beneficiaries with disabilities and individuals seeking medical rehabilitative care. CPR members, both beneficiaries and providers, continue to experience issues with prior authorization denials and hurdles in MA plans despite the new patient protections that took effect January 1, 2024. CPR encourages CMS to continue to enforce the new regulations and ensure that beneficiaries are able to see the full impact of these regulations in practice. In addition to enforcement by CMS, CPR supports increased public transparency from MA plans about their use of prior authorization and metrics on denials and approvals. As established in the Advancing Interoperability and Improving Prior Authorization Processes final rule, beginning in 2026, MA plans will be required to publicly report certain prior authorization metrics annually by posting them on the plan website. CMS also established in the Contract 2025 final rule that MA plans will be required to conduct an annual health equity analysis of the use of prior authorization and its impact on enrollees with one or more social risk factors at the plan level.

CPR continues to support these new transparency regulations and we strongly encourage CMS to require more granular data rather than in an aggregated format, particularly at the provider setting and for access to Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetics, Orthotics and Supplies ("DMEPOS") from MA plans. A prospective enrollee or beneficiary will be able to make a more informed decision if they can compare multiple payers' prior authorization metrics at the setting of care level. Only with this level of specificity will patients and providers be able to assess which services are routinely denied, appealed, and overturned in favor of patients and providers.

CPR is concerned that prior authorization denials in the post-acute care sector (e.g., inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and units ("IRF"), skilled nursing facilities ("SNF"), and home health care ("HHC")) are more common than in other settings, as has been recognized in a 2022 OIG report, and that these disparities in approvals would be concealed in an aggregated data reporting requirement.[4] Post-acute care is essential for people with disabilities, illnesses, injuries, and chronic conditions to receive medical rehabilitation services, and the well-documented denials of care for this at-risk population demands further examination. In addition to provider setting data, CMS could improve health equity for beneficiaries by requiring analysis at the level of items and services, particularly examining beneficiary access to DMEPOS instead of aggregating for all items and services. Moreover, requesting data that extends back over several contract years for these areas of care that are particularly needed by people with disabilities and chronic conditions will further illuminate longstanding discriminatory patterns of denials of care. Only with this

[3] "Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; Advancing Interoperability and Improving Prior Authorization Processes for Medicare Advantage Organizations, Medicaid Managed Care Plans, State Medicaid Agencies, Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Agencies and CHIP Managed Care Entities, Issuers of Qualified Health Plans on the Federally-Facilitated Exchanges, Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Eligible Clinicians, and Eligible Hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals in the Medicare Promoting Interoperability Program." *Federal Register* 89:27 (February 8, 2024) at 8758 et seq.
[4] U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General. Some Medicare Advantage Organization Denials of Prior Authorization Requests Raise Concerns About Beneficiary Access to Medically Necessary Care; Report (OEI-09-18-00260) (Apr. 2022).

level of specificity will patients and providers be able to assess which items are routinely denied, appealed, and overturned in favor of patients and providers.

Network Adequacy

In recent years, CMS has updated network adequacy standards for MA plans, largely focused on behavioral health. In previous years, CMS has also revised the time and distance standards, as well as the list of provider and facility specialty types subject to network adequacy reviews. CMS, however, does not currently include post-acute rehabilitation programs, including inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and units (IRFs), comprehensive outpatient rehabilitation facilities (CORFs), and long-term acute care hospitals (LTCHs) in the list of facility specialty types evaluated during these reviews. These are critical settings of care for patients in need of rehabilitation services and devices, and their omission in network adequacy reviews is glaring. This is illustrated by the fact that CMS includes IRFs, CORFs, and LTCHs as a covered benefit under traditional Medicare, and hundreds of thousands of Medicare enrollees benefit from treatment offered by these providers on an annual basis. CPR strongly urges CMS to include IRFs, CORFs, and LTCHs as part of the agency's network adequacy review process for MA plans and to provide that information to MA enrollees in an easily accessible format.

In addition to requiring MA plans to offer access to post-acute rehabilitation and reviewing the plans for adequate networks, CPR strongly believes that MA plans should provide more information to beneficiaries about their provider networks through provider directories available on publicly accessibly websites. Provider networks are a critical component of Medicare Advantage plans, directly impacting beneficiaries' access to care. Insufficient networks can limit provider choice and accessibility, particularly in rural and underserved areas. However, there is insufficient publicly available data on the composition and adequacy of provider networks within MA plans.

Enhanced transparency in provider network data will enable beneficiaries to make informed choices regarding their healthcare plans and ensure MA plans maintain networks that meet the healthcare needs of their enrollees. CPR recommends that CMS enhance transparency for beneficiaries by requiring MA plans to report data on geographic distribution and network sufficient sufficiency of all critical services, including post-acute rehabilitation, to meet the needs of enrollees, particularly in rural and underserved areas. It would also be helpful to beneficiaries considering Traditional Medicare or an MA plan to see comparative metrics on provider access in their geographic area. This geographic data is increasingly important as healthcare providers and hospitals drop MA plans due to excessive prior authorization denials rates and issues with payments.

****

We greatly appreciate your consideration of our comments on the *Request for Information on Medicare Advantage Data*. Should you have any further questions regarding this information, please contact Peter Thomas, Michael Barnett, or Natalie Keller by e-mailing Peter.Thomas@PowersLaw.com, Michael.Barnett@PowersLaw.com, or Natalie.Keller@PowersLaw.com, or by calling 202-466-6550.

Sincerely,

The Undersigned Members of the Coalition to Preserve Rehabilitation

ADVION
ALS Association
American Academy of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation
American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine
American Association on Health and Disability
American Medical Rehabilitation Providers Association
American Music Therapy Association
American Therapeutic Recreation Association
Amputee Coalition
Association of Academic Physiatrists
Brain Injury Association of America*
Center for Medicare Advocacy*
Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund (DREDF)
Epilepsy Foundation
Lakeshore Foundation
Muscular Dystrophy Association
National Association for the Advancement of Orthotics and Prosthetics
National Association of Rehabilitation Providers and Agencies
National Disability Rights Network (NDRN)
RESNA
Spina Bifida Association
United Spinal Association*

****Member of the CPR Coalition Steering Committee***