A Year of Disability Health Equity Milestones: Why Disability Data is Still Needed

Scott D. Landes, PhD, Bonnielin K. Swenor, PhD, MPH

PII: \$1936-6574(24)00172-9

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2024.101723

Reference: DHJO 101723

To appear in: Disability and Health Journal

Received Date: 6 June 2024

Revised Date: 18 October 2024 Accepted Date: 21 October 2024

Please cite this article as: Landes SD, Swenor BK, A Year of Disability Health Equity Milestones: Why Disability Data is Still Needed, *Disability and Health Journal*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2024.101723.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier Inc.



1	Title: A Year of Disability Health Equity Milestones: Why Disability Data is Still Needed
2	Authors:
3	Scott D. Landes, PhD, Department of Sociology and Aging Studies Institute, Maxwell School of
4	Citizenship and Public Affairs, Syracuse University, 314 Lyman Hall, Syracuse, NY 13244
5	Email: sdlandes@syr.edu
6	
7	Bonnielin K. Swenor, PhD, MPH, The Johns Hopkins Disability Health Research Center; Johns
8	Hopkins School of Nursing; Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine;
9	Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore,
10	MD Email: <u>bswenor@jhmi.edu</u>
11	
12	Namelan of Common O
13 14	Number of figures: 0 Number of words (abstract, main text): 45, 2,106
15	Number of words (abstract, main text). 43, 2,100
16	
17	The manuscript has not been previously published, has not been presented at a meeting, is not
18	being submitted for consideration for a thematic issue, and is being submitted exclusively to
19	DHJO.
20	
21	Abstract:
22	Three milestone disability health equity related decisions occurred between September 2023 and
23	May 2024. Though each is to be celebrated in its own right, the continued failure to collect
24	and/or limitations with disability data block the path to achieving disability health equity in the

25

US.

1 Title: A Year of Disability Health Equity Milestones: Why Disability Data are Still Needed

2 3 4 Number of figures: 0 Number of words (abstract, main text): 45, 2,106 5 6 7 The manuscript has not been previously published, has not been presented at a meeting, is not 8 being submitted for consideration for a thematic issue, and is being submitted exclusively to 9 DHJO. 10 11 Abstract: 12 Three milestone disability health equity related decisions occurred between September 2023 and 13 May 2024. Though each is to be celebrated in its own right, the continued failure to collect 14 and/or limitations with disability data block the path to achieving disability health equity in the 15 US.

16 A Year of Disability Health Equity Milestones: Why Disability Data are Still Needed 17 18 Three milestone disability health equity related decisions occurred between September 2023 and 19 May 2024: 1) designation of disabled people as a health disparities population; 2) the halting of 20 plans to switch to questions that would underestimate the disabled population in the American 21 Community Survey; and 3) the announcement of a Final Rule tightening regulations under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. While each milestone represents progress in the 22 path towards disability health equity and highlights the strength of the US disability community, 23 24 the lack of comprehensive and equitable disability data collection remains a critical barrier to 25 achieving full disability health equity. In this invited commentary, we summarize these milestones and offer three recommendations essential improving disability data collection in the 26 27 US. 28 National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD) Designation of Disabled 29 30 People as Population with Health Disparities 31 The first milestone was marked by the Director of the National Institute on Minority Health and 32 Health Disparities (NIMHD). In September of 2023, the National Advisory Council on Minority 33 Health and Health Disparities (NACMHD) Working Group on Persons Living With Disability, a 34 working group of the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD), provided an oral report (September 1, 2023)¹ and final report (September 20, 2023)² regarding 35 whether disabled people should be designated as a population experiencing health disparities. 36 37 The oral report recommended that disabled people NOT be designated as a health disparities population.1 38

After the September 1, 2023 meeting, there was an immediate outcry from the US
disability community led by disabled researchers. ^{3,4} Though causality is not clear, the final report
of the Working Group did not make a recommendation regarding designation and admitted that
no disabled people work members of the Working Group. ² Then, on September 26, 2023,
pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 285t(d)(1), ⁵ the Director of the NIMHD designated disabled
people as a population with health disparities. ⁶ As the NIMHD is the institute authorized to
coordinate, review, and evaluate all of the National Institutes of Health's (NIH) health disparities
research, ^{7,8} this decision will likely expand opportunities for disability health equity research.
US Census Bureau Halts Plans to Change Disability Questions in American Community Survey
The second milestone was marked by the US Census Bureau. Based on a request from the
National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), the Census Bureau intended to change the
disability measures used in the American Community Survey (ACS) from the ACS-6 questions
to the Washington Group questions.9 However, these changes moved forward without
engagement with the disability community and without considering the societal implications of
moving to questions that, per the results of the Census Bureau's own content testing of these
questions, would underestimate disabled people by at least 40%. ¹⁰⁻¹³ In response to
overwhelmingly negative feedback from the disability community and allies, ¹⁴ on February 6,
2024 the Census Bureau halted its plan to change the ACS disability questions and engage with
the disability community to chart a path towards improving disability questions in the ACS. ¹⁵
Importantly, this discourse elevated a need to reassess how disability data are collected and used
across the entire federal government and lead to the creation of the Disability Data Interagency
Working Group (DDIWG) tasked with developing a "Federal Evidence Agenda on Disability

Equity, in order to improve the Federal government's ability to make data-informed policy decisions that advance equity for the disability community."¹⁶ At the time of the writing of this commentary, the DDIWG is reviewing feedback garnered via the Federal Register process, with a report anticipated before November 2024.

Final Rule Implementing Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973

The third milestone was when the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) announced a Final Rule tightening regulations under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 on May 9, 2024. The Rehabilitation Act of 1973 is a landmark civil rights law that prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in settings receiving federal funds. However, this law had not been updated in over 50 years and lacked needed clarity and details to support enforcement. As acknowledged by HHS, the passage of the Final Rule was largely in response to community advocacy informed by data and evidence. The Final Rule prohibits discrimination based upon disability status regarding access to and receipt of medical treatment from federally funded health and human services program inclusive of hospitals, health care providers, nursing homes, and state and local human services agencies. Specifically, the Final Rule prohibits medical treatment decisions that are based on value-of-life assessments or discriminatory views of disabled people, outlines standards for accessibility of websites, mobile applications, and medical equipment in these settings, and clarifies how 504 applies to child welfare programs and home and community based services.

Though definite milestones, these wins for the disability community must be considered against the backdrop of larger efforts to advance health equity. A true celebration of disability health equity would be marked with empirical evidence demonstrating that disabled people are

experiencing fewer health disparities and improved access to and receipt of quality health care. But we are far from that possibility. Data are necessary to fully comprehend health equity patterns for all minoritized populations, including disabled people. The limited data available on disability and the health outcomes for disabled people will prevent the full realization of disability health equity possible due to these milestones. Therefore, we suggest three data-related actions that can be taken to ensure that these remarkable milestones result in increased disability health equity.

Recommendations

Recommendation 1: Fund the Development of Improved Disability Measures

In order to have a more accurate estimate of disabled people in US population health surveys, as well as to allow disability data collection for federal agencies such as HHS, it is imperative to create more inclusive disability questions that accurately estimate all disabled people in the US. 25

Developing improved measures of disability will require moving away from solely using medical diagnoses and/or functional limitations to define disability (e.g., limiting the definition of disability to only include those with a medical diagnosis or functional limitations, or to only those with a select group of functional limitations) to understanding disability as a demographic characteristic. 25-29 As explained by Breslin and Yee, 27 defining and collecting disability demographic information requires accounting for the fact that disabled people may understand and report their disability via multiple descriptors inclusive of conditions, diagnoses, functional limitations, and accommodation/accessibility needs. In addition, for some disabled people, understanding and reporting of disability may involve disability identity, 30 while others may do

so via administrative categories set by agencies such as the Social Security Administration or Department of Veterans Affairs.^{31,32}

While a challenging task, it is imperative to robustly engage with disabled people in the US in order to understand the multiple ways they describe their disability status and ensure that disability measures are inclusive of any variation in reporting. It was a positive sign that the National Institutes of Health released a Notice of Funding Opportunity on addressing disability health disparities caused by ableism in health.³³ But, our ability to assess the impact of root causes of health inequities, such as ableism, on disability health equity is limited if the measures of disability are not accurate.^{10,25,29} As the primary funder of biomedical and health equity research, NIH could create funding opportunities to pursue the development of more inclusive and equitable measures based on understanding disability as a demographic to advance health equity. Implementing this recommendation would lead to more accurate representation of the disabled population in research and policy-making, ultimately enabling more targeted and effective health equity interventions.

Recommendation 2: Collect Data to Uphold Disability Civil Rights Laws

In the Section 504 Final Rule, HHS recognizes that "Developing a civil rights data collection scheme can help to ensure that any civil rights data collection yields accurate data that mitigates potential negative impacts and that adequately protects the privacy of individuals." Despite this recognition, the rule states that HHS had to "forgo the imposition" of data collection due to concerns that collected data could misalign with the data needs of other federal agencies. Limitations in disability data collection severely hampers the scope and impact of this law as

well as many other disability civil rights laws, and limits our ability to monitor its implementation or impact and serve as barriers on the road to disability health equity.³⁵

While there is ongoing debate regarding how to best measure disability across federal agencies and products, the lack of consensus should not prevent the federal government from collecting and using disability data currently available to ensure the Section 504 Final Rule is being upheld. Further, there are opportunities to develop novel data infrastructures, develop publicly available databases that include information about the availability and locations of accessible medical equipment and metrics of health information website accessibility that support accountability and enforcement of this Final Rule. Implementing this recommendation would lead to more clarity regarding the current state of accessibility among medical providers, as well as experiences of civil rights violations experienced by disabled people within medical settings.

Recommendation 3: Require Disability Data Collection in Electronic Health Records

The HHS disability data standards that resulted from the ACA set an important precedent of operationalizing disability as a demographic measure, similar to gender and race-ethnicity. But the restricted and limited application of this policy to national health surveys means that disability data are still not required to be collected in healthcare settings. In order to track inequities in healthcare access and outcomes for disabled people, it is imperative that disability data be collected as demographic in electronic health records (EHR).^{21,22} This would not exclude continued use of diagnostic codes or functional limitation scales in this data, but would require expanding beyond these descriptors to also address the reporting of disability via accommodations/accessibility needs and identity. These data are also necessary to determine the

impact of strategies and policies on advancing disability health equity, such as the Section 504

Final Rule. There has been substantial research supporting the need to collect disability data as a demographic in EHR to advance health equity.³⁶ While the Office of the National Coordinator on Health Information Technology (ONC) recommended including disability in the US Core Data for Interoperability (USCDI v3) in July 2022,³⁷ the related Final Rule³⁸ released in January 2024 categorized disability as a health status, not as a demographic, and lacked sufficient specificity on how disability data should be measured or collected to support a standardized approach. To advance disability health equity and assess the impact of policies and laws such as the 504 Final Rule, EHR disability data must align with the Affordable Care Act's framing of disability as a demographic.³⁹ Additionally, a standard must be adopted for disability, as has been done for other demographic groups, in order to support interoperability, or comparability, across healthcare settings. Implementing this recommendation would lead to more accurate representation of the disabled population in EHR data, ultimately enabling more targeted and effective medical interventions for disabled people.

Conclusion

Each of the three disability policy milestones that occurred between September 2023 and May 2024 are to be celebrated individually for advancing disability health equity. Collectively they demonstrate the ever-increasing power of the disability community and its allies in helping to shape disability health equity policy in the US, as well as an increased realization among US federal agencies of the need to address disparities experienced by the disability community. Each will require ongoing effort to realize their maximum potential to improve disability health equity.

We join in celebrating these milestones. Yet, we contend that until we address a
foundational underlying barrier – failure to collect and/or limitations with disability data – the
road to disability health equity will remain blocked. To address these data limitations and
advance disability health equity, we recommend: 1) funding the development of improved
disability measures, 2) collecting data to uphold disability civil rights laws, and 3) requiring
disability data collection in electronic health records. By implementing these recommendations,
we can create a more inclusive and equitable foundation for disability health research and policy

The recommendations we provide in this commentary will not be easy to accomplish and will require the continued work of the disability community, allies, and federal partners.

Improving disability data collection will have broad implications by providing policymakers, healthcare providers, researchers and the disability community with the information needed to better identify and address the inequities impacting disabled people. Disability health equity will not become a reality until we have inclusive and equitable measures of disability as a demographic, and use these measures to collect disability data across data mechanisms.

- 188 References
- 189 1. National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities. 64th Meeting of the
- 190 National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities Council. Internet. National Institute
- on Minority Health and Health Disparities. September 1, 2015. Accessed April 4, 2024.
- https://videocast.nih.gov/watch=52195
- 193 2. National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities. National Advisory Council
- on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NACMHD) Working Group on Persons Living With
- Disabilities: Final Progress Report, September 20, 2023. Internet. National Institute on Minority
- Health and Health Disparities. Accessed August 2, 2024. https://nimhd.nih.gov/docs/advisory-
- 197 council/nacmhd workGrpOnHealthDisparitiesAndPeopleWithDisabilities report 2023sept.pdf
- 198 3. Morris A. Disability groups win fight to be included in health equity research.
- 199 Washington Post. serial on the Internet. Accessed April 4, 2024.
- 200 https://www.washingtonpost.com/wellness/2023/09/26/disability-groups-win-fight-be-included-
- 201 health-equity-research/
- 4. HUB JHU. Advocates convince NIH to add people with disabilities to health disparity
- research. Accessed October 2, 2023. https://hub.jhu.edu/2023/10/02/nih-disabilities-
- 204 announcement/
- The Public Health and Welfare, Chapter 6A-Public Health Service, Subchapter III-
- 206 National Research Institutues, Part C-Specific Provisions Respecting National Research
- 207 Institutes, Subpart 20. Title 42 U.S.C. Section 285t(d)(1), National Institute on Minority Health
- and Health Disparities.
- National Institutes of Health. NIH designates people with disabilities as a population with
- 210 health disparities. Internet. National Institutes on Health. Accessed April 4, 2024.
- 211 https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-designates-people-disabilities-population-
- 212 health-disparities
- 213 7. National Institutes of Health. NIH announces Institute on Minority Health and Health
- Disparities. Internet. National Institutes on Health. Accessed April 4, 2024.
- 215 https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-announces-institute-minority-health-health-
- 216 disparities
- 8. Kennedy J, Swenor B. It's time for the NIH to formally designate people with disabilities
- as a US health disparity population. *Disability and Health Journal*. 2023;16(3):101468.
- 219 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2023.101468
- 220 9. US Census Bureau. American Community Survey 2025 Content Changes Federal
- Register Notice, Docket USBC-2023-0009. https://www.regulations.gov/document/USBC-2023-
- 222 0009-0001

- 223 10. Landes SD, Swenor BK, Vaitsiakhovich N. Counting disability in the National Health
- 224 Interview Survey and its consequence: Comparing the American Community Survey to the
- Washington Group disability measures. *Disability and Health Journal*. 2023;17(2):1-9.
- 226 doi:10.1016/j.dhjo.2023.101553
- 227 11. Swenor B, Landes S. The next Census could undercount the number of disabled
- Americans by 20 million. https://www.statnews.com/2023/11/27/disabled-americans-estimate-
- 229 census-acs-washington-group-questions/
- 230 12. Lauer EA, Henly M, Coleman R. Comparing estimates of disability prevalence using
- 231 federal and international disability measures in national surveillance. Disability and Health
- 232 *Journal*. 2019;12(2):195-202. doi:10.1016/j.dhjo.2018.08.008
- 233 13. US Census Bureau Scientific Advisory Committee. 2022 American Community Survey
- 234 Content Test Results. https://www2.census.gov/about/partners/cac/sac/meetings/2023-
- 235 09/presentation-2022-acs-content-test-results.pdf
- 236 14. Wang HL. The Census Bureau is dropping a controversial proposal to change disability
- statistics. *National Public Radio*. https://www.npr.org/2024/02/06/1229547255/census-bureau-
- 238 survey-disabled-people-with-disabilities
- 239 15. US Census Bureau. Next Steps on the American Community Survey Disability
- 240 Questions. Internet. Accessed February 6, 2024.
- 241 https://www.census.gov/newsroom/blogs/director/2024/02/next-steps-on-acs-disability-
- 242 questions.html
- 243 16. Notice of Availability and Request for Information; Federal Evidence Agenda on
- Disability Equity, Federal Register Notice, Docket USBC-2024-11838 (2024).
- 245 17. US Department of Health and Human Services. HHS Finalizes Rule Strengthening
- 246 Protections Against Disability Discrimination. Internet. US Department of Health and Human
- Services. Accessed June 6, 2024. https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2024/05/01/hhs-finalizes-rule-
- 248 strengthening-protections-against-disability-discrimination.html
- 249 18. Smith DB. Addressing racial inequities in health care: civil rights monitoring and report
- 250 cards. J Health Polit Policy Law. Feb 1998;23(1):75-105. doi:10.1215/03616878-23-1-75
- 251 19. Williams DR, Rucker TD. Understanding and addressing racial disparities in health care.
- 252 *Health Care Financ Rev.* Summer 2000;21(4):75-90.
- 253 20. McIver L. The Path Forward: Improving Data to Advance Health Equity Solutions.
- 254 Internet. Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Accessed June 6, 2024.
- 255 https://www.cms.gov/blog/path-forward-improving-data-advance-health-equity-solutions

- 256 21. Morris MA, Yee S, Breslin ML, Savage M, Swenor BK. Health care equity requires
- standardized disability data in the EHR. *Health Affairs Forefront*. 2022;
- 258 22. Landes SD, Turk MA. Health equity for people with intellectual and developmental
- 259 disability requires vast improvements to data collection: Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 260 *Disability and Health Journal*. 2023/09/10/ 2023;17(1):1-5.
- 261 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2023.101539
- 262 23. Swenor BK. A Need For Disability Data Justice. *Health Affairs Forefront*.
- 263 2022;doi:10.1377/forefront.20220818.426231
- 264 24. Reed NS, Meeks LM, Swenor BK. Disability and COVID-19: who counts depends on
- 265 who is counted. *The Lancet Public Health*. 2020;5(8):e423.
- 266 25. Landes SD, Swenor BK, Clark MA, et al. A Research Roadmap Toward Improved
- 267 Measures Of Disability. *Health Affairs Forefront*. July 9, 2024
- 268 2024;doi:10.1377/forefront.20240708.306851
- 269 26. Landes SD. Disability as Demographic. Webpage. Johns Hopkins University Disability
- Health Research Center. Accessed June 17, 2024. https://disabilityhealth.jhu.edu/seminarseries/
- 27. Breslin ML, Yee S. Charting Equality: Why Demographic Disability Data are Good for
- 272 Everyone. 2024.
- 273 28. Hall JP, Goddard KS, Ipsen C, Myers A, Kurth NK. Counting Everyone: Evidence for
- 274 Inclusive Measures of Disability in Federal Surveys. *Health Affairs Scholar*.
- 275 2024;doi:10.1093/haschl/qxae106
- 276 29. Hall JP, Kurth NK, Ipsen C, Myers A, Goddard K. Comparing Measures Of Functional
- 277 Difficulty With Self-Identified Disability: Implications For Health Policy: Study compares
- measures of functional difficulty with self-identified disability. *Health Affairs*.
- 279 2022;41(10):1433-1441. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2022.00395
- 280 30. Bogart KR, Rottenstein A, Lund EM, Bouchard L. Who self-identifies as disabled? An
- 281 examination of impairment and contextual predictors. *Rehabilitation Psychology*.
- 282 2017;62(4):553.
- 283 31. Holder KA. The disability of Veterans. 2016.
- 284 https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/working-papers/2016/demo/Holder-2016-
- 285 01.pdf
- 286 32. Sciences NAo, Division M, Utilization CoHC, Disabilities Aw. Health-care utilization as
- 287 a proxy in disability determination. 2018;

- 288 33. National Institutes of Health. Understanding and Mitigating Health Disparities
- 289 experienced by People with Disabilities caused by Ableism (R01 Clinical Trial Optional).
- 290 Internet. National Institutes of Health. Accessed April 4, 2024.
- 291 https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-HD-24-007.html
- 292 34. US Department of Health and Human Services. Nondiscrimination on the Basis of
- 293 Disability in Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance, 89 Fed. Reg. 40066
- 294 (May 9, 2024) (to be codified at 45 C.F.R. 84).
- 295 35. Mitra M, Turk MA. Bridging Research and Policy: The Disability and Health Journal and
- the Final Rule of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. *Disability and Health Journal*.
- 297 2024/05/31/2024:101644. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2024.101644
- 298 36. Morris MA, Sarmiento C, Eberle K. Documentation of Disability Status and
- 299 Accommodation Needs in the Electronic Health Record: A Qualitative Study of Health Care
- 300 Organizations' Current Practices. The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety.
- 301 2024;50(1):16-23.
- 302 37. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology. United States
- 303 Core Data for Interoperability, Version 3 (July 2022). Office of the National Coordinator for
- Health Information Technology, https://www.healthit.gov/isa/sites/isa/files/2022-07/USCDI-
- 305 Version-3-July-2022-Final.pdf
- 306 38. US Department of Health and Human Services. Health Data, Technology, and
- 307 Interoperability: Certification Program Updates, Algorithm Transparency, and Information
- 308 Sharing, 89 Fed. Reg. 1192 (January 9, 2024) (to be codified at 45 CFR part 170-171),.
- 309 https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2024/01/09/2023-28857/health-data-technology-and-
- 310 interoperability-certification-program-updates-algorithm-transparency-and
- 311 39. US Department of Health and Human Services. Implementation Guidance on Data
- 312 Collection Standards for Race, Ethnicity, Sex, Primary Language, and Disability Status. Internet.
- 313 Office of the Assistance Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE). Accessed March 28,
- 314 2024. https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/hhs-implementation-guidance-data-collection-standards-race-
- 315 ethnicity-sex-primary-languageentere-disability