


PROGRESS REPORT

Psychiatric Collaborative Care Model (CoCM)**Have's and Have-nots**

- ▶ Rapid growth nationally, but enormous disparities among states
- ▶ Very rapid growth for children and adolescents
- ▶ No or restrictive Medicaid reimbursement drives low use for Medicaid, Medicare and commercially insured patients

**2024 COMMERCIAL INSURANCE**

20 States with the Largest Population	CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis	Expressed as a Percent of Arizona's Use Rate
Arizona	1,304	100%
Wisconsin	1,175	90%
Washington	663	51%
Massachusetts	632	48%
Pennsylvania	464	36%
Maryland	403	31%
California	334	26%
USA (National)	317	24%
Michigan	315	24%
Missouri	315	24%
Virginia	304	23%
New York	297	23%
North Carolina	272	21%
Florida	237	18%
New Jersey	177	14%
Texas	161	12%
Illinois	158	12%
Ohio	75	6%
Tennessee	73	6%
Georgia	63	5%
Indiana	10	1%

Table of Contents

Sources of Data	1
Executive Summary and Recommendations	3
CoCM Overview	3
Study Overview	4
Key Findings – CoCM Use and Reimbursement	4
(1) Rapid national growth	4
(2) Very rapid national growth for children and adolescents	4
(3) Enormous disparities among states	4
(4) Commercial reimbursement rates vary widely by state	4
(5) Medicaid and Medicare use patterns were similar to commercial—rapid national growth (including for children and adolescents in Medicaid) and enormous disparities among states	4
Key Insights – Provider/Patient/Payer Economics	4
(i) No or restrictive Medicaid reimbursement drives low use for patients with all types of insurance	4
(ii) Patient “out-of-pocket” expenses limit use in all states	4
(iii) Greater CoCM use would reduce Total Healthcare Costs for all payers	4
(iv) The requirement to use code G0512 appears to drive very low use by FQHCs and RHCs in almost all states	5
Recommendations to Increase CoCM Use in All States	7
Introduction – The Crisis	8
How CoCM Addresses the Crisis	9
Evidence that CoCM Reduces Total Healthcare Costs	11
Findings – CoCM Use and Reimbursement Details	13
1. Rapid national growth	13
2. Very rapid national growth for children and adolescents	14
3. Enormous disparities among states	16
4. Commercial reimbursement rates vary widely by state	18

5. Medicaid and Medicare use patterns were similar to commercial—rapid national growth (including for children and adolescents in Medicaid) and enormous disparities among states	20
6. Rapid expansion of CoCM services paid for by commercial insurance delivered by pediatricians	31
7. Rapid expansion of CoCM services paid for by commercial insurance delivered by non-physician primary care providers	31
8. The majority of CoCM care paid for by commercial insurance is delivered by family medicine, internal medicine and pediatric providers	32
9. The percentage of commercial CoCM services provided in facilities has remained constant in recent years.....	33
Methodology	34
Limitations	38
References	39
Appendices.....	42
Appendix A: Organizations Endorsing CoCM in Support of Legislation to Fund CoCM	43
Appendix B: Employer coalitions, providers, MHSU advocates, and experts supporting recommendations to accelerate broadscale CoCM adoption.....	44
Appendix C: Primary Care Provider Definition Specified by MHTARI.....	45
Appendix D1: Summary of State Medicaid CoCM FFS Reimbursement Analysis as of January, 2026	48
Appendix D2: Detailed State-by-State Medicaid CoCM FFS Reimbursement Analysis as of January, 2026	49
Appendix E: Findings by State / Lists of Key CoCM Providers	58

Sources of Data

Except as noted to the contrary, research for this report with respect to the use and reimbursement of the Psychiatric Collaborative Care Model (CoCM) is regarding commercial health plans and is based upon de-identified, aggregated healthcare claims data compiled and maintained by [FAIR Health, Inc.](#) (FAIR Health). Results of the analysis of such data were provided to the Mental Health Treatment and Research Institute (MHTARI, a tax-exempt subsidiary of The Bowman Family Foundation), for analysis by MHTARI. MHTARI is solely responsible for the research and conclusions reflected in this report. FAIR Health is not responsible for any of the opinions or recommendations expressed in this report.

FAIR Health's stated mission is to supply objective, unbiased information for all stakeholders to improve healthcare quality, access and affordability. In fulfilling this mission, FAIR Health makes available data products that leverage its robust, independent database of private healthcare insurance claims information, contributed by payers and third party administrators nationwide, as well as data for research that can help to formulate or evaluate policy and support academic studies.¹

FAIR Health projected CoCM utilization with respect to the full commercial market in order to allow for comparison across geographies and time. Therefore, the findings we report on the numbers and rates of CoCM use among individuals with commercial insurance are estimates.

The analysis of FAIR Health data for 2023 indicated that over 95% of all commercial CoCM claim lines were in-network and submitted by primary care providers (defined broadly by MHTARI in Appendix C). Therefore, with respect to FAIR Health data, this report addresses only in-network CoCM claims by primary care providers.

Where specifically noted, this report includes data regarding Medicaid, Medicare, and commercial plans from sources other than FAIR Health, such as an analysis of Medicaid and

Medicare claims data requested by MHTARI and conducted by RTI International, an independent scientific research institute dedicated to improving the human condition, and a report by Milliman² published in May 2025. Neither RTI nor Milliman is responsible for any of the opinions or recommendations expressed in this report.

To determine CoCM use for the Medicaid population, RTI analyzed the Transformed Medicaid Statistical Information System (T-MSIS) Analytic Files (TAF) with respect to Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS) and Medicaid MCO plans (combined). In 2011, CMS began the development of T-MSIS to standardize claims data collection across states and improve the usability of the data for both oversight and research purposes. T-MSIS collects Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) data from U.S. states, territories, and the District of Columbia. CMS's vision is for T-MSIS to be the most trusted resource of comprehensive and quality Medicaid and CHIP data used for policy formulation, implementation and oversight that enhances United States public health outcomes.

The TAF are organized into five primary files. The demographic and eligibility file includes detailed beneficiary-level characteristics, including age, gender, race and ethnicity, income, and other eligibility, benefits, and enrollment information. The other four files contain claims data for (1) inpatient hospital care; (2) long-term care; (3) prescription drug utilization; and (4) other services, which include physician, outpatient hospital, home health, and other utilization not included in the first four files. Supplemental annual provider and plan files are also available with covariates on provider and managed care plan characteristics, respectively. TAF data reflect utilization both in fee-for-service Medicaid and Medicaid managed care.

To determine CoCM use in the Medicare population, RTI analyzed the CMS Medicare's Master Beneficiary files and Cost and Use files summary file, claims, and encounter

data from CMS with respect to Medicare FFS and Medicare Advantage plans (combined). Medicare claims data includes four types of files: (1) Outpatient (Fee-for-Service),^a (2) Carrier (Fee-for-Service),^b (3) Outpatient (Encounter),^c and (4) Carrier (Encounter).^d These files contain FFS claims and Medicare Advantage plan records for professional and a variety of outpatient providers.

RTI's analyses of CMS's Medicaid and Medicare claims data capture the universe of Medicaid and Medicare comprehensive benefit beneficiaries.

See the Milliman report for details about their data sources: <https://pathforwardcoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/Milliman-Collaborative-Care-report-2025-05-13.pdf>

The CPT codes listed in this report are used with permission of the American Medical Association. CPT codes, such as 99492, 99493, 99494, 99484, 99213, 99214, 90834, 90837, 96127, 96160, 96161, 96130, 96131, 96138, 96136, 96110, 99408, 99409 ©Copyright American Medical Association 2023. All rights reserved.

a Outpatient (Fee-for-Service) File overview: <https://resdac.org/cms-data/files/op-ffs>
b Carrier (Fee-for-Service) file overview: <https://resdac.org/cms-data/files/carrier-ffs>
c Outpatient (Encounter) file overview: <https://resdac.org/cms-data/files/op-encounter>
d Carrier (Encounter) file overview: <https://resdac.org/cms-data/files/carrier-encounter>

Executive Summary and Recommendations

CoCM Overview

The Psychiatric Collaborative Care Model (CoCM) is an effective and high value intervention for treating patients in primary care with mental health and substance use (MHSU) needs. It brings to the primary care team the support and expertise of behavioral health care managers and psychiatric consultants, as well as the measurement-based “treatment to target” approach for MHSU treatment. This reduces their workload and enables them to provide effective care to the majority of their patients with MHSU conditions.

Based on [Concert Health](#)'s large proprietary database relating to commercial, Medicaid and Medicare CoCM patients, an average CoCM episode lasts approximately 4.1 months. Therefore, using various combinations of billing codes, the **aggregate** provider reimbursement for a CoCM episode is low—approximately \$475–\$650 for Medicare and Medicaid (assuming reimbursement at 100% of Medicare levels), and approximately \$760–\$1,040 for commercial plans (assuming reimbursement at 160% of Medicare levels).

Across more than 90 randomized controlled trials and many “real world” studies, the effectiveness and value of CoCM has been repeatedly demonstrated:

- (I) **Improved mental and physical health clinical outcomes**
- (II) **Large reductions in suicide risk**
- (III) **Reduction of Total Healthcare Costs (THCs, aka Total Cost of Care) driven by lower medical costs**
- (IV) **Improved health equity**
- (V) **Greatly increased efficiency in the use of clinicians with psychiatric expertise**

Reduction of Total Healthcare Costs

Four CoCM Studies—each involving Kaiser Permanente, Arkansas Blue Cross and Blue Shield, or Independence Blue Cross—demonstrated reductions in Total Healthcare Costs, as soon as within 6 months and for as long as 4 years.³

CoCM is the gold standard method of integrating MHSU care on a population basis into primary care, and has been endorsed by leading organizations such as (see Appendix A):

- **American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry**
- **American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry**
- **American Academy of Family Physicians**
- **American Academy of Pediatrics**
- **American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists**
- **American Medical Association**
- **American Psychiatric Association**

In addition, over 50 employer coalitions, providers, MHSU advocates, and experts support recommendations to accelerate broadscale CoCM adoption (Appendix B).

Implementing CoCM has been greatly facilitated in recent years as a result of (a) the lessons learned from many health systems that have successfully implemented CoCM, and (b) the dramatic rise in the number of [CoCM Service Organizations](#) (CSOs) which offer assistance ranging from implementation consultation to full “turnkey” CoCM programs that include all elements of CoCM required for reimbursement. These services include training, billing support,

patient registries, and ongoing CoCM staffing (behavioral health care managers and psychiatric consultants).

Study Overview

The objectives of this study are to (a) analyze national patterns of CoCM billing in commercial insurance plans, Medicaid and Medicare, including the variation in CoCM billing among states, (b) offer our insights (based in part on this billing data but also on comments we have received from providers over several years as well as prior studies that we or others have commissioned), regarding (i) the factors that underlie the differences among states, (ii) key reasons why use of CoCM has not grown even more rapidly, (iii) why higher use would generate cost savings for all payers, and (iv) why national use of CoCM by FQHCs and RHCs is probably nominal, and (c) recommend specific steps to reduce differences among states and expand use in all states.

Key Findings^e – CoCM Use and Reimbursement

(Data by State and Lists of Key Providers are in Appendix E)

- (1) **Rapid national growth.** Between 2018 and 2024, the number of commercially insured CoCM patients increased 40-fold nationally, from 3,814 to 153,356 (Figure 1A), and the "use rate" (number of CoCM patients per 100,000 patients with an MHSU diagnosis) increased 26-fold, from 12 to 317 patients (Figure 1B).
- (2) **Very rapid national growth for children and adolescents.** Between 2018 and 2024, the number of children and adolescent (under 18 years of age) commercially insured CoCM patients increased 148-fold nationally, from 198 to 29,292 (Figure 2A), and the percentage of CoCM patients who were children and adolescents grew from **5%** in 2018 to **19%** in 2024 (Figure 2B). Among states with substantial CoCM volume in 2024, children and adolescents represented **30%** or more of total

commercially insured CoCM patients in 7 states, with Washington having the highest percentage of children and adolescent CoCM patients—44% (Figure 2C).

- (3) **Enormous disparities among states.** Many states had virtually no commercial CoCM use while, in other states, use has grown dramatically. For example, in 2024 the use rate was 1,304, 1,175, and 1,013 commercially insured CoCM patients per 100,000 patients with an MHSU diagnosis in Arizona, Wisconsin, and Utah, respectively, while the use rate was fewer than 50 in 16 states (Figure 3).
- (4) **Commercial reimbursement rates vary widely by state.** In 2024, average commercial CoCM reimbursement as a percentage of Medicare reimbursement ranged from as high as **277%** in California to as low as **90%** in Hawaii (Figure 4).
- (5) **Medicaid and Medicare use patterns were similar to commercial**—rapid national growth (including for children and adolescents in Medicaid) and enormous disparities among states. However, unlike commercial plans and Medicare, in many states, Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS) and most or all MCOs **did not** provide reimbursement^f for CoCM.

Key Insights – Provider/ Patient/Payer Economics

- (i) **No or restrictive Medicaid reimbursement drives low use for patients with all types of insurance.** For example, with few exceptions, in states where Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS) did not reimburse for CoCM, CoCM use was minimal **in Medicaid, Medicare and commercial plans** (Figures 5J, 5K, and 3, respectively).
- (ii) **Patient "out-of-pocket" expenses limit use in all states.** This is true even in states with adequate Medicaid and commercial reimbursement.
- (iii) **Greater CoCM use would reduce Total Healthcare Costs for all payers.** If all Medicaid payers offered

^e As noted in "Sources of Data", FAIR Health projected CoCM utilization with respect to the full commercial market in order to allow for comparison across geographies and time. Therefore, the findings we report on the numbers and rates of CoCM use among individuals with commercial insurance are estimates. RTI's analyses of CMS's Medicaid and Medicare claims data capture the universe of Medicaid and Medicare comprehensive benefit beneficiaries.

^f An exception is that Medicaid plans often pay some portion of "patient out-of-pocket expenses" for certain categories of Medicare-Medicaid "dual eligible" patients, which is one reason why most states have had at least a minimal volume of Medicaid CoCM patients. For those categories of patients, Medicare is the primary payer and Medicaid will reimburse the patient cost sharing.

adequate reimbursement, CoCM use would increase, and it would increase further if patient cost-sharing was reduced or eliminated.

- (iv) The requirement to use code G0512 appears to drive very low use by FQHCs and RHCs in almost all states**—even those with otherwise adequate Medicaid reimbursement.

Why states with no or restrictive Medicaid reimbursement have low overall use: Reimbursement for CoCM under Medicare began in stages, starting in 2017, following CMS's authorization of CoCM billing codes. While most commercial insurers have since adopted these codes, adoption by state Medicaid (Fee-for-Service (FFS) and/or Managed Care Organizations (MCOs)) has been gradual and remains incomplete (see Appendix D1 for our summary of state Medicaid CoCM FFS reimbursement as of January, 2026 and Appendix D2, which includes code-by-code details).

While there are some exceptions, when Medicaid FFS in a state does not reimburse for CoCM, Medicaid MCOs typically do not. In contrast, in a state where Medicaid FFS does reimburse, Medicaid MCOs are often required to do so.

It is generally understood that a provider will normally not adopt a treatment protocol unless (a) the provider can offer it at scale—i.e., to **patients with all types of insurance**, and (b) the reimbursement levels and billing policies set by payers enable the provider to cover the cost of delivering such protocol. Implementing CoCM for all patients in a practice is **economically infeasible** for most providers which treat a substantial percentage of Medicaid patients when Medicaid does not reimburse for CoCM or substantial billing restrictions exist. This is because it is difficult and expensive, in addition to being inequitable, to implement payer-specific staffing and workflows (i.e., provide treatment A if a patient has insurance X, but provide treatment B if a patient has insurance Y). As of our January 2026 review of state Medicaid websites, 16 states (14 of which have low CoCM use) did not

post FFS reimbursement for CoCM on their websites⁹ (see Appendix D1).

In contrast, in states where Medicaid reimbursement for CoCM is adequate and restrictions on the use of the CoCM payment codes are not excessively burdensome, use of CoCM has typically grown rapidly for patients with all types of insurance—which we view as strong evidence that CoCM is **economically self-sustaining** (i.e., revenue generated from the CoCM billing codes is adequate to cover the cost of delivering the service).

The immediate impact of Medicaid initiating reimbursement on use of CoCM for Medicaid patients is demonstrated in [this report](#). The longer term impact on use for Medicare and commercially insured patients is shown [here](#).

Why patient “out-of-pocket” expenses limit CoCM use in all states: Patients are typically required by Medicare and commercial insurers, and to some extent by Medicaid in certain states, to pay out-of-pocket expenses (such as copays, co-insurance, and deductibles) for healthcare services. Such “patient cost-sharing” is intended to limit overuse of care—i.e., care that is unnecessary or of low clinical value. Patient cost-sharing does, indeed, reduce use.

With respect to CoCM per se, experience has shown that (as is the case with other MHSU services) many patients decline CoCM when it is offered, or begin treatment but terminate care prematurely, because of cost considerations. In a large-scale payer-driven initiative to expand CoCM in Michigan, 55% of commercially insured patients enrolled in CoCM initially declined to continue that care after receiving their first cost share bill.⁴ This limitation on use of CoCM exists in all states, even in states with adequate reimbursement by all payers. Absent this limitation in these states—which have had rapid growth—use of CoCM would expand even faster.

Importantly, there are several healthcare services—and CoCM is one of them—for which **the usual clinical and**

⁹ An exception is that Medicaid plans (including some of the 16 states) often pay some portion of “patient out-of-pocket expenses” for certain categories of Medicare-Medicaid “dual eligible” patients, which is one reason why most states have had at least a minimal volume of Medicaid CoCM patients. For those categories of patients, Medicare is the primary payer and Medicaid will reimburse the patient cost sharing. A second exception is that Idaho and New Mexico post reimbursement for G2214 but, based on data through 2023, use was minimal. Data through 2023 also shows that use of CoCM in the 16 states was typically low for both Medicaid FFS and Medicaid MCO patients. However, Medicaid MCO use was substantial in Minnesota and Tennessee.

overuse, and underuse leads to worse clinical outcomes and higher costs.

For many such services—most obviously, “preventive” services recommended by the US Preventive Services Task Force—patient cost-sharing is reduced or waived, but this is not the case for CoCM except with respect to a few **“champion commercial payers”** which have taken this proactive step to encourage use of CoCM.

Why greater CoCM use would reduce Total Healthcare Costs for all payers: As explained more fully in [How do individuals with behavioral health conditions contribute to physical and total healthcare spending?](#), individuals with comorbid physical and MHSU conditions (even mild-to-moderate) incur **3 to 6 times higher total costs**, predominantly driven by **high physical healthcare expenses**. CoCM has been shown to reduce such expenses in **4 studies**, all of which **included payer involvement**—either Kaiser Permanente, Arkansas Blue Cross and Blue Shield, or Independence Blue Cross (see “Evidence that CoCM Reduces Total Healthcare Costs” later in this report).

Why the requirement to use code G0512 appears to drive very low use by FQHCs and RHCs: Through the period of this study, Medicare, and most state Medicaid programs that reimburse for CoCM, directed FQHCs and RHCs to use code G0512 for CoCM. This code is highly restrictive and therefore economically disadvantageous. The RTI Medicaid and Medicare analysis shows that, of all CoCM claim lines in 2023, less than 1% included G0512, which would indicate nominal CoCM use in all but a few states. It is possible that some FQHCs and RHCs are providing CoCM services and being reimbursed by Medicaid by using a Prospective Payment System (PPS) code and without indicating G0512 in their claims; use by such providers would not be captured in the RTI analysis. However, based on consistent anecdotal information gathered from providers, we believe that PPS coding for CoCM is unlikely to be widespread.

Fortunately, CMS recently decided that, as of January 1, 2026, FQHCs and RHCs that furnish CoCM to **Medicare** patients will use the regular CoCM billing codes (99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214) and code **G0512 will be eliminated for Medicare**.

Recommendations to Increase CoCM Use in All States

Broadscale adoption of CoCM will improve clinical outcomes and, based on [mounting evidence](#), will reduce Total Healthcare Costs. To facilitate adoption, it is imperative to have supportive regulatory policies as well as reimbursement levels and billing policies that encourage providers to deliver CoCM and encourage patients to engage in CoCM. Therefore, we recommend:

- **HHS/CMS** should use all available means to:
 - Increase Medicare FFS reimbursement rates for CoCM to reflect the clinical value of CoCM and encourage use, which reduces total healthcare costs.
 - Ensure that Medicaid reimbursement for CoCM is at least equivalent to FFS Medicare, and that patient cost-sharing is waived wherever possible.
 - Eliminate billing code G0512 for FQHCs/RHCs that furnish CoCM to Medicaid patients—as was done for Medicare patients starting January 1, 2026.
 - For Medicare and Medicaid, minimize administrative billing requirements such as patient consent and pre-authorization, to encourage provider adoption.
- **Medicaid** programs in all states should:
 - Authorize FFS CoCM reimbursement **and** establish rates at least equivalent to Medicare FFS reimbursement (as already done in many states—see Group 1 in Appendix D1).
 - Align with Medicare policy by allowing FQHCs and RHCs to use CoCM billing codes 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214—in lieu of the more restrictive billing code G0512 (which disincentivizes the provision of CoCM).
- Use the same criteria as Medicare for patient eligibility to receive CoCM.
- Require Medicaid MCOs to do all of the above as well.
- **Employer and other Commercial Plans** should:
 - Reimburse for CoCM, and set such reimbursement at a level equal to at least 160% of Medicare FFS reimbursement (currently the average is 162%).
 - Eliminate all patient out-of-pocket expenses for CoCM wherever permissible.
- **All Payers** should:
 - Allow use of CoCM billing code 99494 as frequently as justified by patient needs.
 - Reimburse providers for CoCM separately from, and in addition to, any care management fees, primary care capitation, or other bundled/global payments—**OR** increase such payments to specifically cover the costs of the CoCM services being provided.
- **Regulators and Accreditation Organizations** should, when assessing MHSU parity compliance and network adequacy, allow in-network CoCM services—if delivered per CMS billing requirements—to count as in-network MHSU specialist services.
- **Providers** should implement CoCM and take advantage of the many available resources and expertise now available, including those identified in the [Directory of CoCM Service Organizations](#).

Introduction – The Crisis

We are experiencing tremendous growth in MHSU conditions, especially among children and adolescents. At the same time, there is extensive evidence demonstrating that obtaining timely, affordable mental health and substance use (MHSU) care is much more challenging than accessing care for medical/surgical conditions,^{5,6,7} and this disparity has reached crisis levels for millions of Americans. For the most commonly occurring mental disorders, the average time between onset of the condition and initial treatment contact is more than a decade.⁸

Inadequate access to MHSU care is costly to everyone: missed or delayed diagnoses, needless suffering, rising suicide rates, and billions of dollars in **avoidable medical costs**.⁹

While there is a clear need for more MHSU practitioners, increasing their numbers alone will **not** resolve the crisis in the near term nor even in the long term. As made clear in the RTI report of 2024,¹⁰ the essential problem is **not** the need for more MHSU practitioners, but rather the well-known fact that MHSU practitioners participate in insurance networks at a far lower rate than medical specialty providers such as psychiatrists.¹¹ As a result, patients with MHSU conditions often spend weeks or months searching for an in-network (INN) MHSU provider accepting new patients. Many have to use out-of-network (OON) providers (and pay higher out-of-pocket expenses), or go to “private pay only” providers, or (even worse) receive no care at all.

Primary care physicians are actually in shorter supply than behavioral health providers—there are 25% more

federally-designated shortage areas for primary care physicians than for mental health providers.¹² Nonetheless, a recent analysis showed much lower out-of-network use for PCP office visits (2%) than for psychiatrist (15%) and psychologist (18%) office visits, so it should not be surprising that fewer MHSU professionals join insurer networks, given the fact that MHSU professionals are offered lower in-network reimbursement than is the case for medical/surgical professionals.¹³

Our extensive primary care system—for many Americans, the only source of MHSU care available¹⁴—is perfectly positioned to play a key role in expanding access to effective MHSU care. More than half of those who receive office-based MHSU services receive this care in primary care settings, and most psychiatric drugs are prescribed by PCPs.^{15,16} However, while the primary care system is an ideal path to increasing MHSU treatment access, primary care providers are generally underprepared, underfunded and ill-equipped to provide this care.

“Treatment as Usual” for MHSU Conditions in Primary Care is Often Not Effective,^{17,18} and many pediatricians and primary care physicians do not feel confident that they can effectively treat MHSU.¹⁹ It is estimated that only 13% of people diagnosed with an MHSU disorder receive minimally adequate treatment in the general medical setting (5% for those with substance use disorders).²⁰ And, while early screening for most medical conditions in primary care settings is routine care, this is not the case for MHSUs.²¹

How CoCM Addresses the Crisis

CoCM is a well-established, evidence-based method of integrating MHSU treatment into primary care. Under CoCM, the primary care provider retains treatment responsibility for patients with MHSU conditions but is supported by:

- (1) a behavioral health care manager (in-person or virtual),
- (2) a psychiatric consultant (typically virtual—i.e., not co-located) who provides systematic caseload review as well as diagnostic and treatment recommendations to the primary care team,
- (3) Measurement-Based Care, to enable “treatment to target” in MHSU treatment, and
- (4) use of a patient registry, which tracks symptoms and interventions and is reviewed systematically by the care team.

This support enables PCPs to effectively and efficiently treat a substantial portion of all patients with MHSU conditions.

In order to be eligible for reimbursement, all 4 core elements of CoCM must be utilized for each patient.

Since 2002, an [extensive evidence base has accrued regarding the benefits of CoCM](#). More than 90 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), supplemented by multiple meta-analyses and “real world” studies in day-to-day primary care settings, have demonstrated the positive impact of CoCM on patient outcomes, patient and provider satisfaction.²²

Use of CoCM enables **detection and early intervention to help prevent disease progression and the need for inpatient care**—this is particularly important in more challenging patient populations such as **children and adolescents, elderly adults, and patients with SU disorders**.

As shown in [Large Reductions in Suicide Risk, Attempts and Deaths Demonstrated by Three “Real World” Studies in Primary Care](#), “real world” studies have documented the **substantial impact of CoCM on suicide risk**, showing **risk reduction in more than 50% of “at risk” patients**.²³

“These studies confirm the value of the Collaborative Care Model for saving lives and helping people at risk for suicide...It’s time to embrace this model of care...”

— Jill Harkavy-Friedman, PhD, SVP of Research with the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention

CoCM has also been shown to improve health equity,^{24,25,26} as noted in the following two statements:

“The Collaborative Care Model is one of very few specific interventions in medicine that have been shown via multiple RCTs to reduce disparities by race/ethnicity and/or socioeconomic status in patients’ access to care, quality of care, and outcomes.”

— Michael Schoenbaum, PhD, Senior Advisor for Mental Health Services, National Institute of Mental Health

“A recent review concluded that the evidence supporting the effectiveness of CoCM for mental health treatment among patients identifying as racial or ethnic minorities is larger than for any other intervention.”

— Gabriela Kattan Khazanov et al., University of Pennsylvania Health System²⁷

Importantly, CoCM significantly **increases the capacity of the existing MHSU delivery system** and therefore expands access to MHSU care. It does this by **enabling psychiatric consultants to help many times more patients** than could be achieved through traditional 1:1 psychiatric care.^{28,29,30}

For example, in the University of Pennsylvania's CoCM program (Penn Integrated Care, or PIC) the use of psychiatric consultants has required only **about ten percent** of the psychiatric FTE resources that would be needed to provide traditional psychiatric therapy to help the same number of patients.³¹



From: May, 2025 Webinar presentation by Matthew Press, MD. Penn Integrated Care Program, Penn Medicine, University of Pennsylvania.

“Given limited access to specialty mental health care in the United States, CoCM allows psychiatric expertise to reach an exponentially larger group of patients...”

— Courtney Benjamin Wolk et al., University of Pennsylvania/Independence Blue Cross study³²

Evidence that CoCM Reduces Total Healthcare Costs

Individuals with comorbid physical and MHSU conditions incur **3 to 6 times higher** annual Total Healthcare Costs (THCs) than those without MHSU comorbidities, and these **excess costs are driven by medical/surgical (i.e., physical) healthcare expenses**.^{33,34,35} This can be seen in Exhibit A below. In this 2020 study, Milliman found that, among a study population of 21 million people, **5.7%** of these individuals—those with both Medical/Surgical **and** MHSU conditions—accounted for **44%** of THCs. These costs were driven heavily by individuals with **mild-to-moderate** MHSU conditions. Their **MHSU costs were low, but their medical costs were high**.

Exhibit A. Patients with MHSU Comorbidities Incur Higher Medical Costs³⁶

Excerpts from Milliman 2020 Study Figure 9: Average Annual Healthcare Treatment Costs (Services and Prescription Drugs) Per Individual By Behavioral Health Category, 2017 Total Population

BH Category	Individuals		Average Annual Healthcare Costs		% of Costs for Behavioral Health	Costs Relative to "No BH"
	Number	%	Behavioral Health	Medical/Surgical		Medical/Surgical
No BH	15,275,323	73%	\$0	\$3,552	0.0%	1.0x
Any MH	5,317,964	25%	\$1,017	\$11,204	8.3%	3.2x
Any SUD	908,499	4%	\$1,989	\$17,807	10.0%	5.0x
Both MH and SUD	492,465	2%	\$3,413	\$22,189	13.3%	6.2x
Total Population	21,009,321	100%	\$263	\$5,669	4.4%	1.6x

BH = Behavioral Health condition

MH = Mental Health condition

SUD = Substance Use Disorder

CoCM has been shown to be effective in reducing THCs through **reductions in medical expenditures**. Results from [four key studies](#) are summarized below in Exhibit B. Savings in THCs have been realized as soon as within the 6 months and have increased over 3–4 years (Exhibit B).³⁷

Exhibit B. Total Cost Savings (TCS)³⁸

Study Name/Payer Involved	Size and Timing of Savings
IMPACT Study (Included Kaiser Permanente)	TCS = 6x cost of CoCM over 4 years
Kaiser Permanente	TCS = 13% pmpm in 1 year
Arkansas Blue Cross and Blue Shield	TCS = \$340/member in 6 months
UPenn/Independence Blue Cross	TCS = \$29.35 pmpm in 1 year

Pmpm = per member per month

“Intervention patients had lower healthcare costs than usual care patients in every cost category.”

— From the IMPACT study³⁹

“13,250+ patients...10% decrease in ER use...33% decrease in hospitalizations...”

— September, 2025 Arkansas Blue Cross presentation
“Better Together: Integrating Behavioral Health and Primary Care”⁴¹

“...during the 12 months following initiation of care, there was a 13% THC savings for CoCM versus the comparison group...”

— Regarding Kaiser Permanente in
“Mounting Evidence That Use of the Collaborative Care Model Reduces Total Healthcare Costs”⁴⁰

“These findings...should reassure insurers that coverage of the new [CoCM] billing codes affords improved access to mental health care without increasing overall spending.”

— From the University of Pennsylvania/
Independence Blue Cross study⁴²


Findings – CoCM Use and Reimbursement Details

(Data by State and Lists of Key CoCM Providers are in Appendix E)

1. Rapid national growth

Between 2018 and 2024 (6 years), the number of commercially insured CoCM patients increased 40-fold nationally, from 3,814 to 153,356 (Figure 1A), and the use rate (number of CoCM patients per 100,000 patients with an MHSU diagnosis) increased 26-fold, from 12 to 317 patients (Figure 1B).


Figure 1A. Number of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients per Year, Nationally^h



Source: FAIR Health data

^h Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined

Figure 1B. National Use Rate of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosisⁱ




Source: FAIR Health data

2. Very rapid national growth for children and adolescents

Between 2018 and 2024 (6 years), the number of children and adolescent (under 18 years of age) commercially insured CoCM patients increased 148-fold nationally, from 198 to 29,292 (Figure 2A), and the percentage of CoCM patients who were children and adolescents grew from 5% in 2018 to 19% in 2024 (Figure 2B). Among states with substantial CoCM volume in 2024, children and adolescents represented **30%** or more of total commercially insured CoCM patients in 7 states, with Washington having the highest percentage of children and adolescent CoCM patients—44% (Figure 2C).


ⁱ Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, all ages combined

Figure 2A. Number of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents per Year, Nationally^j



Source: FAIR Health data

Figure 2B. National Percentage of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents^k



Source: MHTARI analysis of FAIR Health data

^j Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis

^k Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis

Figure 2C. Top 20 States with Highest Percentage of Children and Adolescent Commercially Insured CoCM Patients in 2024^{l,m}

Percentage of CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents		Percentage of CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents	
Washington	44%	Texas	18%
Utah	40%	Montana	18%
New Jersey	39%	Missouri	17%
Colorado	33%	Nevada	15%
Virginia	32%	North Carolina	13%
California	32%	Florida	11%
Oregon	30%	Arizona	11%
New Hampshire	29%	Michigan	10%
New York	20%	Massachusetts	10%
USA (National)	19%	Oklahoma	9%
Wisconsin	18%		

Source: MHTARI analysis of FAIR Health data

3. Enormous disparities among states

Many states had virtually no commercial CoCM use while, in other states, use has grown dramatically. For example, in 2024 the use rate was 1,304, 1,175, and 1,013 commercially insured CoCM patients per 100,000 patients with an MHSU diagnosis in Arizona, Wisconsin, and Utah, respectively, while the use rate was fewer than 50 in 16 states (Figure 3). Most of these 16 states did not post reimbursement for CoCM by Medicaid FFS on their websitesⁿ as of January, 2026. Some other states did not have FFS reimbursement for CoCM in some or all of the years of the table below. Almost all of such states had very low Medicaid use through 2023 (the last year for which Medicaid data is available; see Figure 5J).

Red boxes in Figure 3 indicate states that, as of January, 2026, did not post reimbursement for CoCM by **Medicaid FFS** on their websites (see a summary of our review of state Medicaid websites in Appendix D1). Gray highlighting indicates states which, in 2024, had less than 50 commercially insured CoCM patients per 100,000 patients with an MHSU diagnosis.

^l Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis
^m Top 20 states among states with substantial CoCM volume. States with less than 500 CoCM patients (of any age) or with less than 100 CoCM patients (of any age) per 100,000 patients with an MHSU diagnosis, were excluded.
ⁿ An exception is that Medicaid plans often pay some portion of "patient out-of-pocket expenses" for certain categories of Medicare-Medicaid "dual eligible" patients, which is one reason why most states have had at least a minimal volume of Medicaid CoCM patients. For those categories of patients, Medicare is the primary payer and Medicaid will reimburse the patient cost sharing. A second exception is that Idaho and New Mexico post reimbursement for G2214 but, based on data through 2023, use was minimal. Data through 2023 also shows that use of CoCM in the states that don't post reimbursement for CoCM by Medicaid FFS on their websites was typically low for both Medicaid FFS and Medicaid MCO patients. However, Medicaid MCO use was substantial in Minnesota and Tennessee.

Figure 3. State Use Rates of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis (Sorted by 2024, High to Low)^{o, p}

2024 Rank	State	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
1	Arizona	119	228	391	533	844	1,055	1,304
2	Wisconsin	18	24	81	780	1,065	1,102	1,175
3	Utah	3	22	6	177	647	856	1,013
4	Washington	15	100	182	204	392	549	663
5	Massachusetts	8	16	169	209	271	416	632
6	Washington DC	-	201	330	283	267	300	577
7	Colorado	8	15	24	38	38	286	563
8	Montana	10	240	293	360	334	256	518
9	Pennsylvania	7	52	105	227	350	419	464
10	Maryland	45	57	200	380	419	405	403
11	California	6	29	83	109	160	233	334
	USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317
12	Michigan	20	72	80	171	226	279	315
13	Missouri	-	13	4	20	186	285	315
14	Virginia	-	14	91	163	227	264	304
15	New York	30	83	161	234	259	242	297
16	North Carolina	8	28	22	70	76	134	272
17	New Hampshire	-	-	9	27	96	113	264
18	Florida	2	1	6	54	133	237	237
19	Connecticut	-	21	71	155	160	207	224
20	Arkansas	29	47	40	64	103	164	184
21	New Jersey	5	45	80	111	117	157	177
22	Nevada	2	6	15	38	61	52	162
23	Texas	10	2	13	26	57	118	161
24	Oklahoma	-	3	4	3	12	120	161
25	Illinois	-	6	49	86	89	133	158
26	Maine	-	8	47	36	54	169	157
27	Vermont	-	-	26	29	33	102	148
28	South Carolina	14	21	33	52	56	131	146
29	Hawaii	-	-	104	32	25	17	122

^o Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, all ages combined

^p FAIR Health did not report the number of patients when CoCM sample sizes were low. In these instances, we used 5 patients in the calculation of the use rate of "commercially insured CoCM patients per 100,000 patients with an MHSU diagnosis".

2024 Rank	State	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
30	Oregon	3	-	4	30	97	124	120
31	New Mexico	-	-	-	254	19	22	112
32	Delaware	-	18	20	7	65	94	85
33	Ohio	17	34	50	64	71	70	75
34	Tennessee	-	11	30	28	43	67	73
35	Georgia	3	10	13	37	66	62	63
36	Idaho	-	-	17	30	27	55	47
37	Minnesota	-	12	25	66	197	310	41
38	South Dakota	-	-	-	17	18	35	36
39	Kentucky	76	13	37	31	37	31	31
40	West Virginia	-	-	2	7	17	12	22
41	Alabama	16	13	6	5	1	1	18
42	Kansas	-	-	1	3	7	11	17
43	Rhode Island	-	-	4	3	9	5	16
44	Iowa	-	-	2	3	2	5	15
45	Nebraska	-	-	2	17	1	13	15
46	Indiana	-	4	13	24	25	9	10
47	Louisiana	-	-	1	6	2	3	10
48	North Dakota	-	-	-	-	22	4	10
49	Mississippi	-	-	1	12	11	6	7
50	Wyoming	-	9	9	-	8	16	6
51	Alaska	-	-	7	6	6	5	5

Source: MHTARI analysis of use rate data from FAIR Health; Medicaid reimbursement analysis of public websites by MHTARI

4. Commercial reimbursement rates vary widely by state

In 2024, average commercial CoCM reimbursement as a percentage of Medicare reimbursement ranged from as high as **277%** in California to as low as **90%** in Hawaii (Figure 4). Based on the Law of Supply in economics, higher reimbursement by commercial payers will cause higher volume of commercial use, all other things being equal. However, states with no or restrictive Medicaid reimbursement are not “equal” to those with adequate Medicaid reimbursement—in fact, with few exceptions, states with no or restrictive Medicaid reimbursement had both low Medicaid volume (see Figure 5J) and low commercial volume (see Figure 3). For example, in Figure 4, states in **blue** boxes were ranked 25th or higher (i.e., “top half”) in terms of commercial reimbursement but nonetheless had low Medicaid and commercial use (i.e., “bottom half” of Figure 5J and Figure 3, respectively).

Figure 4. 2024 Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement (Sorted High to Low)^{q, r}

Rank	State	Mean	Rank	State	Mean	Rank	State	Mean
1	California	277%	19	North Carolina	166%	36	Colorado	133%
2	Wisconsin	276%		USA (National)	162%	37	Nevada	132%
3	Oregon	245%	20	Montana	162%	38	New Jersey	131%
4	Idaho	234%	21	Illinois	158%	39	Nebraska	130%
5	Minnesota	233%	22	Maryland	156%	40	Indiana	128%
6	Massachusetts	203%	23	South Dakota	147%	41	Arizona	123%
7	Washington DC	199%	24	Louisiana	147%	42	Connecticut	123%
8	New Hampshire	196%	25	South Carolina	147%	43	Kentucky	115%
9	Rhode Island	193%	26	Tennessee	145%	44	Oklahoma	115%
10	Vermont	190%	27	Virginia	143%	45	Texas	113%
11	New Mexico	184%	28	Pennsylvania	140%	46	Delaware	108%
12	Washington	183%	29	Florida	140%	47	Hawaii	90%
13	Iowa	183%	30	Utah	140%	N/A	Alaska	-
14	Maine	178%	31	New York	139%	N/A	Mississippi	-
15	West Virginia	174%	32	Ohio	137%	N/A	North Dakota	-
16	Georgia	174%	33	Missouri	137%	N/A	Wyoming	-
17	Alabama	173%	34	Arkansas	135%			
18	Kansas	170%	35	Michigan	134%			

Source: Mean commercial reimbursement data from FAIR Health; Identification of blue states by MHTARI using data from FAIR Health and RTI

q Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined
r FAIR Health did not report mean reimbursement data in 4 states in 2024 where CoCM sample sizes were low.


5. Medicaid and Medicare use patterns were similar to commercial—rapid national growth (including for children and adolescents in Medicaid) and enormous disparities among states

Data in Figures 5A–5K, and Medicaid and Medicare data in Appendix E, are from analyses conducted by RTI International (RTI) in collaboration with MHTARI, using data for Medicaid and Medicare beneficiaries during 2018–2023.^s

Between 2018 and 2023 (5 years), the number of Medicaid CoCM patients increased nearly 13-fold nationally, from 3,519 to 44,498 (Figure 5A). To put these numbers in context, in 2023, there were approximately 93.4 million Medicaid beneficiaries.

Over the same period, the use rate (number of Medicaid beneficiaries using CoCM per 100,000 Medicaid beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis) increased 10-fold, from 20 per 100,000 to 209 per 100,000 (Figure 5B). In 2023, there were approximately 23.9 million Medicaid beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis.

Figure 5A. Number of Medicaid CoCM Patients per Year, Nationally^t




Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

^s Medicaid and Medicare claims include patients who were dually eligible for both insurance types.

^t Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined


Figure 5B. National Use Rate of Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis^u



Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

Between 2018 and 2023 (5 years), the number of Medicare CoCM patients increased 9-fold nationally, from 6,751 to 63,244 (Figure 5C), and the use rate (number of Medicare beneficiaries using CoCM per 100,000 Medicare beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis) increased almost 8-fold, from 49 per 100,000 to 375 per 100,000 (Figure 5D).

Figure 5C. Number of Medicare CoCM Patients per Year, Nationally^v




Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicare Claims and Encounter Files

^u Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, all ages combined

^v Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined


Figure 5D. National Use Rate of Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis^w



Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicare Claims and Encounter Files

Between 2018 and 2023 (5 years), the number of Medicaid CoCM patients aged 6–11 increased 38-fold nationally, from 66 to 2,530 (Figure 5E), and the number of Medicaid CoCM patients aged 12–17 increased 41-fold, from 96 to 3,973 (Figure 5F).

Figure 5E. Number of Medicaid CoCM Patients Aged 6–11 per Year, Nationally^x




Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

w Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, all ages combined

x Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis


Figure 5F. Number of Medicaid CoCM Patients Aged 12–17 per Year, Nationally^y



Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

The percentage of all Medicaid CoCM patients who were ages 6–11 grew from 2% in 2018 to 6% in 2023, nationally (Figure 5G), and the percentage of all Medicaid CoCM patients who were ages 12–17 grew from 3% in 2018 to 9% in 2023 (Figure 5H). The percentage of patients under 6 years of age ranged between approximately 1% and 2.5% in each year of 2018 to 2023.

Figure 5G. Percentage of All Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Ages 6–11 Nationally^z




Source: MHTARI analysis of RTI data from CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

y Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis

z Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis

Figure 5H. Percentage of all Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Ages 12–17 Nationally^{aa}



Source: MHTARI analysis of RTI data from CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

Among states with substantial CoCM volume in 2023, children and adolescents (under 18 years of age) represented 30% or more of total Medicaid CoCM patients in 3 states, with Washington having the highest percentage of children and adolescent CoCM patients—40% (Figure 5I).

Figure 5I. Top 20 States with Highest Percentage of Children and Adolescent Medicaid CoCM Patients in 2023^{ab, ac}

Percentage of CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents		Percentage of CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents	
Washington	40%	Arizona	15%
New Hampshire	38%	Massachusetts	12%
Utah	32%	New York	9%
Oregon	27%	Illinois	9%
North Carolina	25%	Pennsylvania	7%
Virginia	24%	New Jersey	7%
Texas	23%	Michigan	6%
Wisconsin	18%	Tennessee	5%
Montana	16%	Maryland	3%
USA (National)	16%	Minnesota	0%
Missouri	15%		

Source: MHTARI analysis of RTI data from CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

aa Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis

ab Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis.

ac Top 20 states among states with substantial CoCM volume. States with less than 150 CoCM patients (of any age) or with less than 100 CoCM patients (of any age) per 100,000 Medicaid beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis, were excluded.

With few exceptions, in states where Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS) did not reimburse for CoCM, there was low or minimal CoCM use in **Medicaid, Medicare and commercial plans**. Our understanding is that the few exceptions exist in states where **"champion providers"** have offered CoCM to patients with all types of insurance, despite Medicaid FFS not reimbursing for CoCM (see [this report](#) for examples of 4 states with "champion providers"). This fortunate circumstance can be the result of providers deciding, for instance, that the benefits of CoCM to patients are so substantial that the providers are willing to lose money regarding CoCM for patients with insurance that does not reimburse for CoCM.

Alternatively, this circumstance can be the result of one or more **"champion Medicaid MCOs"** deciding to reimburse for CoCM even though Medicaid FFS does not.

Figure 5J shows state use rates for Medicaid in 2018–2023, while Figure 5K shows analogous data for Medicare in 2018–2023.

Red boxes in Figures 5J and 5K indicate the 16 states that, as of January, 2026, did not post reimbursement for CoCM by **Medicaid FFS** on their websites. Of these, 14 (all but Minnesota and Tennessee, which had substantial MCO use) had low Medicaid volume of use^{ad} (see a summary of our review of state Medicaid websites in Appendix D1). Gray highlighting in Figure 5J indicates states which, in 2023, had less than 50 Medicaid CoCM patients per 100,000 Medicaid beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis, and in Figure 5K, indicates states which, in 2023, had less than 50 Medicare CoCM patients per 100,000 Medicare beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis.

Figure 5J. State Use Rates of Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis (Sorted by 2023, High to Low)^{ae, af, ag}

2023 Rank	State	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
1	Arizona	180	293	484	679	1,252	1,459
2	Utah	6	44	60	188	514	1,106
3	Washington	52	149	246	330	523	614
4	Wisconsin	7	8	18	61	338	555
5	Massachusetts	3	10	105	167	288	496
6	Montana	33	368	577	604	488	408
7	Pennsylvania	46	103	118	155	273	405
8	Oregon	87	151	241	308	340	348
9	Tennessee	60	119	211	260	307	305
10	North Carolina	45	201	297	319	289	277
11	Michigan	6	15	60	162	221	258
12	Missouri	2	13	11	10	132	244
13	New Hampshire	8	7	-	6	128	229
14	Minnesota	4	17	50	129	192	224

ad An exception is that Medicaid plans (including some of the 16) often pay some portion of "patient out-of-pocket expenses" for certain categories of Medicare-Medicaid "dual eligible" patients, which is one reason why most states have had at least a minimal volume of Medicaid CoCM patients. For those categories of patients, Medicare is the primary payer and Medicaid will reimburse the patient cost sharing. A second exception is that Idaho and New Mexico post reimbursement for G2214 but, based on data through 2023, use was minimal.

ae Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, all ages combined

af Due to cell suppression rules (see "Methodology" section), RTI suppressed the number of patients when it was less than 11. In these instances, we used 5 patients in the calculation of the use rate of "Medicaid CoCM patients per 100,000 Medicaid beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis".

ag States with a rank of T48 were tied at rank 48 with a use rate of zero.

2023 Rank	State	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
15	Virginia	2	17	32	78	101	211
	USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209
16	Texas	6	15	33	22	57	206
17	New York	20	98	177	201	218	194
18	Illinois	1	1	4	3	53	174
19	New Jersey	10	30	49	89	61	147
20	Maryland	93	180	103	96	77	128
21	Vermont	7	7	7	7	30	117
22	California	6	24	24	56	91	113
23	Idaho	-	41	22	14	10	105
24	Connecticut	2	10	9	8	13	102
25	Delaware	7	381	348	144	22	83
26	Oklahoma	2	2	2	2	3	81
27	Florida	9	4	6	11	37	64
28	New Mexico	3	19	92	114	30	53
29	Mississippi	3	23	33	52	53	50
30	Arkansas	22	31	23	36	46	49
31	Kentucky	2	1	92	105	57	48
32	Colorado	6	7	5	18	21	37
33	Nebraska	7	7	7	5	5	34
34	Georgia	1	1	6	6	17	22
35	Ohio	16	52	87	47	13	22
36	Iowa	2	11	2	-	4	9
37	Washington DC	-	-	-	-	-	8
38	West Virginia	3	13	31	34	32	7
39	Hawaii	-	9	-	-	7	7
40	Rhode Island	6	5	-	-	-	5
41	South Carolina	-	-	2	6	2	4
42	Maine	18	10	4	9	4	3
43	Kansas	9	14	23	12	3	3
44	Louisiana	1	5	1	3	3	3
45	Nevada	35	20	3	3	16	2
46	Indiana	-	1	1	-	3	2
47	Alabama	2	2	2	2	-	2
T48	Alaska	-	-	22	10	-	-
T48	North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-
T48	South Dakota	17	16	-	15	-	-
T48	Wyoming	24	-	25	23	21	-

Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files; Medicaid reimbursement analysis of public websites by MHTARI

Figure 5K. State Use Rates of Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis (Sorted by 2023, High to Low)^{ah, ai}

2023 Rank	State	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
1	Washington DC	178	847	1,396	1,581	1,525	1,806
2	Arizona	337	515	806	948	1,312	1,762
3	Minnesota	14	55	155	492	814	1,005
4	Wisconsin	32	43	83	447	729	912
5	Pennsylvania	85	197	237	360	440	734
6	Maryland	422	675	492	768	758	730
7	Montana	64	310	473	593	575	688
8	Michigan	58	176	171	279	519	607
9	Utah	6	52	46	113	404	604
10	Massachusetts	10	22	193	273	378	592
11	Colorado	13	36	64	206	268	574
12	Texas	19	57	87	102	205	510
13	Washington	91	178	222	212	385	481
14	Virginia	2	48	109	272	310	436
15	Idaho	7	54	104	110	167	431
	USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375
16	Missouri	6	35	17	16	222	370
17	Georgia	1	7	31	50	102	359
18	New York	82	228	252	325	395	357
19	California	35	126	149	158	282	328
20	Vermont	15	94	83	40	100	317
21	Florida	17	15	23	112	208	317
22	New Jersey	37	81	114	159	163	303
23	Oklahoma	3	3	11	21	34	299
24	Arkansas	82	88	70	97	164	285
25	Connecticut	3	34	53	57	107	244
26	New Hampshire	32	15	7	6	117	203
27	Tennessee	73	102	124	129	156	194
28	North Carolina	178	283	284	210	181	179
29	South Carolina	35	49	46	97	97	164
30	New Mexico	6	14	31	231	26	164
31	Illinois	63	109	79	170	105	160
32	Indiana	21	54	38	75	52	150

ah Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, all ages combined

ai Due to cell suppression rules (see "Methodology" section), RTI suppressed the number of patients when it was less than 11. In these instances, we used 5 patients in the calculation of the use rate of "Medicare CoCM patients per 100,000 Medicare beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis".

2023 Rank	State	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
33	Delaware	41	354	127	57	49	136
34	Oregon	22	41	63	73	87	125
35	Ohio	29	68	80	96	110	119
36	Kentucky	6	6	38	101	116	96
37	West Virginia	5	12	55	135	150	92
38	Maine	37	25	12	18	11	83
39	Louisiana	3	2	2	39	29	78
40	Mississippi	4	56	4	49	57	76
41	Alabama	6	32	17	8	5	54
42	Nebraska	8	7	7	7	7	46
43	Alaska	-	-	-	31	-	27
44	Wyoming	-	-	28	26	24	23
45	Iowa	4	29	4	3	15	22
46	North Dakota	20	-	20	-	-	16
47	Nevada	95	31	13	15	113	15
48	South Dakota	17	17	-	16	15	14
49	Hawaii	13	13	13	-	12	12
50	Kansas	4	4	14	11	9	11
51	Rhode Island	8	7	7	146	-	7

Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicare Claims and Encounter Files; Medicaid reimbursement analysis of public websites by MHTARI

Figure 5L is the result of our analysis of data in a recent report by Milliman.⁴³ It, too, shows that states with a low rank for Medicaid CoCM patient volume (e.g., tied for 36th–tied for 46th, shown by light gray in Figure 5L) also had, with few exceptions, a low rank for Medicare FFS, Medicare Advantage, and commercial CoCM patient volume.

Red boxes in Figure 5L indicate the 16 states that, as of January, 2026, did not post reimbursement for CoCM by **Medicaid FFS** on their websites. Of these, 14 (all but Minnesota and Tennessee, which had substantial MCO use) had low Medicaid volume of use^{aj} (see a summary of our review of state Medicaid websites in Appendix D1).

aj An exception is that Medicaid plans (including some of the 16) often pay some portion of "patient out-of-pocket expenses" for certain categories of Medicare-Medicaid "dual eligible" patients, which is one reason why most states have had at least a minimal volume of Medicaid CoCM patients. For those categories of patients, Medicare is the primary payer and Medicaid will reimburse the patient cost sharing. A second exception is that Idaho and New Mexico post reimbursement for G2214 but, based on data through 2023, use was minimal.

Figure 5L. State Rankings of 2022 CoCM Patients per 100,000 Population by Payer Type, from the Milliman Report (Sorted by Medicaid Rank, FFS and MCO, combined) ^{44,ak,al}

State	Medicaid Rank (FFS and MCO, combined)	Medicare FFS Rank	Medicare Advantage Rank	Commercial Rank
Arizona	1	2	1	2
Montana	2	9	4	9
Utah	3	10	8	3
Washington	4	T15	11	4
Wisconsin	5	4	5	1
Oregon	6	32	38	17
Massachusetts	7	7	6	6
Pennsylvania	8	8	9	7
Tennessee	9	26	16	32
Minnesota	10	3	2	25
North Carolina	11	20	19	33
Michigan	12	6	7	8
New Hampshire	13	27	34	13
New York	14	13	10	10
Missouri	15	21	12	14
Virginia	16	11	13	11
Maryland	17	5	3	5
Kentucky	18	23	21	T30
California	19	14	24	19
New Jersey	20	19	25	18
Illinois	21	29	35	21
Vermont	22	34	T29	15
Wyoming	23	T37	15	38
Texas	24	18	22	28
New Mexico	25	T41	42	T40
Florida	26	12	23	20
Arkansas	27	24	27	23
Delaware	28	35	33	16
Colorado	29	T15	18	29

ak To enable ranking of states that Milliman reported as "<IXI", we used half of "IXI". For example, if Milliman reported "<16" CoCM patients per 100,000 population, we used "8" when ranking.

al For ranks starting with "T", all states that had the same figure in the same column were tied for that rank. For example, Connecticut and Nevada both had "3.4" Medicaid CoCM patients per 100,000 population, so their Medicaid rank is "T31", i.e., tied for 31st rank.


Ohio	30	25	32	22
Connecticut	T31	30	17	12
Nevada	T31	17	26	T30
Mississippi	33	T37	40	47
Georgia	34	31	36	27
West Virginia	35	28	31	26
Hawaii	T36	T47	T45	48
Idaho	T36	22	28	36
Kansas	T36	T43	T45	42
Maine	T36	T39	20	39
Nebraska	T36	T41	41	T43
Iowa	41	T43	T45	46
Indiana	42	36	39	35
Louisiana	T43	T45	T43	50
Oklahoma	T43	T39	37	37
South Carolina	T43	33	T29	24
Alabama	T46	T45	T43	49
Alaska	T46	T47	T45	45
North Dakota	T46	T47	T45	T40
Rhode Island	T46	T47	T45	34
South Dakota	T46	T47	T45	T43
Washington DC	T46	1	14	51

Source: MHTARI analysis of Milliman report;⁴⁵ Medicaid reimbursement analysis of public websites by MHTARI

6. Rapid expansion of CoCM services paid for by commercial insurance delivered by pediatricians

CoCM services provided to commercially insured patients by pediatricians grew rapidly nationally, from being 3% of CoCM services provided by primary care physicians in 2018 to 20% in 2024 (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Commercially Insured Patients Who Received CoCM Services from Pediatricians as a Percentage of All Commercially Insured Patients Who Received CoCM Services from Primary Care Physicians Nationally^{am}




Source: MHTARI analysis of FAIR Health data

7. Rapid expansion of CoCM services paid for by commercial insurance delivered by non-physician primary care providers

Nationally, about 40% of PCPs are non-physicians (NPs, PAs).⁴⁶ In the FAIR Health data, the percentage of CoCM services provided by primary care non-physicians (e.g., physician assistants and nurse practitioners) more than doubled nationally, from 10% in 2018 to 22% in 2024 (Figure 7).

^{am} Any CoCM code, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined

Figure 7. Commercially Insured Patients Who Received CoCM Services from Primary Care Non-Physicians as a Percentage of All Commercially Insured Patients Who Received CoCM Services from Primary Care Providers Nationally^{an}



Source: MHTARI analysis of FAIR Health data

8. The majority of CoCM care paid for by commercial insurance is delivered by family medicine, internal medicine and pediatric providers

Figure 8. Top 10 Provider Types with the Largest Percentage of CoCM Services for Commercially Insured Patients in 2023^{ao}

Provider Taxonomy	Specialty	% of all CoCM claim lines billed in 2023
207Q00000X	Family Medicine	36.8%
207R00000X	Internal Medicine	20.9%
208000000X	Pediatrics	14.3%
363LF0000X	Nurse Practitioner - Family	8.5%
363A00000X	Physician Assistant	3.3%
207V00000X	Obstetrics & Gynecology	2.8%
363L00000X	Nurse Practitioner	2.2%
363LA2200X	Nurse Practitioner - Adult Health	1.1%
363AM0700X	Physician Assistant - Medical	1.0%
363LP0200X	Nurse Practitioner - Pediatrics	0.6%
Subtotal		91.5%
All Other Providers		8.5%
Total		100%

Source: FAIR Health data

an Any CoCM code, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined


ao Any CoCM code, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined, in-network and out-of-network combined

9. The percentage of commercial CoCM services provided in facilities has remained constant in recent years

Medicare designates almost all "Places of Service" (POS) as being a "facility" or a "non-facility". Facility POSs include settings such as hospitals, and non-facility POSs include settings such as physicians' offices and independent clinics.

Commercial CoCM services provided in facilities grew nationally, from being 3% of all CoCM services in 2018 to 7% in 2020, but has not grown materially since then (Figure 9).

Figure 9. Commercially Insured Patients Who Received CoCM Services in Facilities as a Percentage of All Commercially Insured Patients Who Received CoCM Services in Facilities and Non-Facilities Combined, Nationally^{ap}



Source: MHTARI analysis of FAIR Health data

^{ap} Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined

Methodology

We describe here the data and methods used in this study, including definitions and measurement of variables examined.

The number of lives included in the FAIR Health, Medicaid and Medicare databases varies from year to year. Therefore, in this report, key volume of use data are provided both in absolute terms (which is impacted by the number of lives in the database) and as a "use rate per 100,000 patients with a mental health or substance use condition" (which is not impacted by the number of lives in the database).

Calculation of Use Rate of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis

The "use rate of commercially insured CoCM patients per 100,000 patients with an MHSU diagnosis" is calculated as the number of commercially insured patients (with any diagnosis) in a given year who received any CoCM code divided by the number of patients with an MHSU diagnosis in that year in any position on any claim, multiplied by 100,000. The same approach was used by RTI with the Medicaid and Medicare data.

Cell Suppression Rules

In accordance with its data use and cell size suppression rules, FAIR Health did not report the number of patients when CoCM sample sizes were low. In those instances, we used 5 patients in any calculation based on the number of CoCM patients, such as use rates and percentages. FAIR Health also did not report mean reimbursement data when CoCM sample sizes were low.

Due to cell suppression rules in the Medicaid Transformed Medicaid Statistical Information System (T-MSIS) Analytic Files (TAF) and the CMS Medicare's Master Beneficiary files and Cost and Use files summary file, claims, and encounter data from CMS, RTI suppressed the number of patients when it was less than 11. In those instances, we used 5 patients in any calculation based on the number of CoCM patients, such as use rates and percentages.

Masking

To protect against the risk of patient de-identification, FAIR Health may have masked or otherwise transformed certain fields. For example, all dates were adjusted minus 1 to 25 days, with the adjustment factor applied consistently across all claims by the relevant member.

List of CoCM Codes

Code	Description
99492	Bill for the first 70 minutes in the first initial month of collaborative care
99493	Bill for the first 60 minutes in any subsequent months of collaborative care
99494	Bill for each additional 30 minutes in any month. It can be used in conjunction with 99492 or 99493
G0512	For Rural health clinic or federally qualified health center (RHC or FQHC) only, 60 minutes or more of clinical staff time for psychiatric CoCM services directed by an RHC or FQHC practitioner (physician, NP, PA, or CNM) and including services furnished by a behavioral health care manager and consultation with a psychiatric consultant, per calendar month G0512
G2214	Bill for the first 30 minutes in the first month of care or any subsequent month

List of MHSU Diagnoses

Diagnoses	Description
F10* - F19*	Mental and behavioral disorders due to psychoactive substance use
F20* - F29*	Schizophrenia, schizotypal, delusional and other non-mood psychotic disorders
F30* - F39*	Mood [affective] disorders
F40* - F48*	Anxiety, dissociative, stress-related, somatoform and other nonpsychotic mental disorders
F50* - F59*	Behavioral syndromes associated with physiological disturbances and physical factors
F60* - F69*	Disorders of Adult personality and behavior
F90* - F98*	Behavioral and emotional disorders with onset usually occurring in childhood and adolescence
F99	Unspecified mental disorder

List of Places of Service (POS) Codes Specified by MHTARI

Code	Description
Facility	02, 10, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 31, 34, 41, 42, 51, 52, 53, 56, 61
Non-Facility	01, 03, 04, 09, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 25, 27, 32, 33, 49, 50, 54, 55, 57, 58, 60, 62, 65, 71, 72, 81, 99

Primary Care Provider Definition Specified by MHTARI

See Appendix C.

Medicare Provider Reimbursement Benchmarking for Mean Commercial Reimbursement Analysis Using FAIR Health Data

The Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (MPFS), which is published by CMS and lists fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare allowed amounts for each billing code (often referred to as "rates"), is one of the most widely accepted reimbursement benchmarks used to compare commercial provider reimbursement levels and health plan contracts.⁴⁷ Using Medicare FFS rates as a benchmark (i.e., "the commercial allowed amount for a code is what percentage of the Medicare allowed amount") allows valid and accurate comparisons across different provider types, services, and regions. Use of a benchmark to compare reimbursement rates among different provider types is needed because, although there are some billing codes that are used by all

or most types of providers, these codes are a very small percentage of all codes.

The Medicare FFS allowed amounts are based upon statutorily established payment formulas. For services covered by MPFS, Medicare pays a fixed amount per service, with adjustments for the relative amount of resources (e.g., physicians' effort, practice expenses, and liability insurance) typically used to provide a given service. Geographic cost differences of delivering care are also taken into account. Medicare reduces its rates if a service is provided by a non-physician professional, such as a physician assistant, nurse practitioner, or social worker, or if a physician serves as an assistant during a surgery.

In contrast, commercial insurers determine reimbursement rates through negotiations between the plan and the provider, which is then reflected in their contracts. Health plans can negotiate lower rates by offering to include providers in their networks. Providers have an incentive to accept an in-network rate if it leads them to have a higher volume of patients. Examining to what extent a commercial rate is below or above the Medicare FFS rate allows the negotiated

reimbursement rates for different providers and services to be compared using a common benchmark (i.e., an “index”).

In order to “index” commercial FFS rates to Medicare FFS rates, the commercial reimbursed amount (the “allowed amount”) for a particular service billing code as indicated on each individual insurance claim line in the data was compared to the amount that Medicare would have paid for the same service in the same geographic location by the same provider type. To do this, the MPFS file publicly available from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) was used. Rates on the Medicare file were linked to each commercial claim line by matching the provider type, current procedural terminology (CPT) code, CPT modification code, Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC) locality, and whether the place of service was a facility or a non-facility (as defined in the Medicare billing manual).

For claims billed by physician assistants and nurse practitioners, 85% of the allowed amount in the MPFS was used as the benchmark because this is what Medicare reimburses these provider types.

To determine, for any provider type, the average (mean) ratio of commercial allowed amount to the corresponding Medicare-allowed amount, for every line, the ratio of commercial allowed amount to the calculated Medicare fee schedule rate for the corresponding geography and provider type was determined. Then the average and percentiles for the allowed ratios were calculated.

Medicaid CoCM FFS Reimbursement Analysis Conducted by MHTARI

In our review of state Medicaid CoCM FFS reimbursement (Appendices D1 & D2), we calculated Medicaid reimbursement rates indexed to Medicare FFS rates in essentially the manner as described above, but we did not examine Medicaid claims. Instead, we compared in each state the Medicaid FFS reimbursement for each code posted on state Medicaid websites as of January, 2026 to the comparable Medicare FFS reimbursement, as shown in Appendix D2.

Medicaid and Medicare Analyses Conducted by RTI

See the “Sources of Data” section for a description of the Medicaid and Medicare data files used by RTI.

RTI prepared Medicaid and Medicare use data, which is presented in Figures 5A–5K and Appendix E, by extracting claim header, line, and demographic enrollment files for Medicaid, Medicare fee-for-service (FFS), and Medicare Advantage (MA) from years 2018–2023. Beneficiaries were included in this analysis if they had a CoCM encounter or if they had an MHSU diagnosis. RTI defined CoCM HCPCS codes = 99492–99494, G0512, and G2214. RTI defined MHSU diagnoses using ICD codes starting with F1–F6 or F9. RTI included records with an MHSU diagnosis (primary or otherwise). Line records were filtered to CoCM procedure codes to identify CoCM encounters. Medicare/Medicaid demographic files contributed age and, for Medicare, MCO and dual-eligibility status. Analyses were conducted at the state and national levels by payer (i.e., All Medicaid; All Medicare; Medicaid FFS; Medicaid FFS, Non-Dual Eligible;^{aq} Medicaid MCO; MA; and Medicare FFS), CoCM procedure code, and age group (6–11, 12–17, 18–25, 26–64, 65+, and combined categories). For generating patient-level frequencies, claim line-level records were deduplicated at the patient level (by beneficiary and state for Medicaid; by beneficiary for Medicare) to prevent double-counting. For each payer, state, and age group, RTI calculated counts of CoCM claim lines, distinct CoCM patients, and those with any MHSU diagnosis. U.S. totals were derived by summing state results. Rates were expressed per 100,000 beneficiaries with an MHSU diagnosis and as proportions of relevant patient counts. Enrollment denominators were drawn from the Medicare FFS and MA Monthly Enrollment and the September 2025 State Medicaid and CHIP Applications, Eligibility Determinations, and Enrollment Data release.^{ar}

RTI prepared top CoCM provider data by state, which is the basis of the lists in Appendix E, by extracting claim header and line files for Medicaid, Medicare FFS, and MA in 2023. Beneficiaries were included if they had a CoCM encounter,

aq Medicaid FFS, Non-Dual Eligible frequencies and rates were only calculated for any procedure code and for all ages.

ar September 2025 release of the State Medicaid and CHIP Applications, Eligibility Determinations, and Enrollment Data is available for download here: <https://data.medicaid.gov/dataset/6165f45b-ca93-5bb5-9d06-db29c692a360#overview>.

identified from line records with HCPCS codes 99492–99494, G0512, or G2214, and were seen by an organizational provider, as indicated by the enumeration type in the National Plan and Provider Enumeration System (NPPES) National Provider Identifier (NPI) Registry.^{as} Each line was linked to its corresponding header file to extract the NPI, and claim line-level records were deduplicated to the patient–provider level (one observation per unique combination of beneficiary ID × state × NPI). For each payer and state (plus a nationwide U.S. rollup), RTI calculated the number of CoCM patients

seen by each organization, the total number of CoCM patients in the state, the percentage of CoCM patients seen at each organization out of all CoCM patients in the state, and the total number of NPIs serving CoCM patients in the state. Providers were ranked by patient count and counts fewer than 11 and their corresponding rates were suppressed. Organizations ranking in the top 10 within a state or the top 25 nationally were included in the table. Organization names and taxonomy descriptions were linked to NPIs using the NPPES NPI Registry.

as Data accessed via NPPES API: <https://npiregistry.cms.hhs.gov/api-page>.

Limitations

Most of the information in this report is based on insurance claims. While the FAIR Health private commercial database and the other databases mentioned in this report are large and well-regarded, they do have various limitations. For example, certain factors may lead to undercounting of CoCM use, since some providers may care for patients using CoCM but not submit claims, and some patients may receive out-of-network CoCM or may privately pay for CoCM.

For information about the Medicare and Medicaid claims data and their limitations, readers are encouraged to visit the Research Data Assistance Center (ResDAC) website at <https://resdac.org/> as well as the DQ Atlas at <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/welcome>.

Regarding the top CoCM provider lists in Appendix E, Georgia's Medicaid NPI data is unusable according to DQ Atlas, with 79.1% of header "other services" records missing billing provider NPI.^{at} Illinois, Nebraska, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Washington, and Wisconsin are of "high concern" for the same variable (20%–35% missing). Additionally, providers vary in how they assign NPIs. For example, some assign a national NPI for all their facilities, while others assign a facility level NPI. Additionally, there may be errors in whether NPIs are determined to be organizations or individual clinicians.

Another limitation is that the methodology in this report (like many other reports that use claims data) examines activity on a calendar year basis. Therefore, if a patient episode of care occurs in November–December of one year and January–February of the next year, that patient is counted in each year. Similarly, if a patient was 17 years old in the first months of CoCM care but 18 years old in the later months of care, he/she would be counted as a child/adolescent and as an adult.

A further limitation is that claims data does not indicate whether, or to what degree, a patient's clinical condition improved as a result of care received.

Analysis of the FAIR Health, Medicare, and Medicaid databases, alone, do not establish a causal relationship between certain CoCM coverage and payment policies, on one hand, and CoCM use, on the other. However, as noted in "Study Overview", we believe, based in part on those databases but also on comments we have received from providers over several years as well as prior studies that we or others commissioned, that there is a causal relationship.

Finally, we have not reviewed individual claim information in any of the databases cited in this report.

at Source: <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/landing/topics/single/map?topic=g6m35&tafVersionId=50>

References

1. <https://www.fairhealth.org/about-us>
2. Davenport S, Mager M, Darby B. Trends in adoption of the Collaborative Care Model Analysis of variation by payer and region, 2018–2023. Milliman Report. May 2025
3. Yuhas M, Raines L, Glastra J, Wells L, Bowman MB, Middlebrook BA, Harbin HT. Mounting Evidence That Use of the Collaborative Care Model Reduces Total Healthcare Costs. Bowman Family Foundation. November 2025. https://www.filesbff.org/CoCM_Total_Healthcare_Costs_Issue_Brief.pdf
4. Richmond LM. Payors Train PCPs to Treat Mental Health in House. Psych News 2021; 56 <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.pn.2021.11.4>
5. Majority Study Findings: Medicare Advantage Plan Directories Haunted by Ghost Networks. United States Senate Committee on Finance. Published May 3, 2023. <https://www.finance.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/050323%20Ghost%20Network%20Hearing%20-%20Secret%20Shopper%20Study%20Report.pdf>
6. Melek S, Gray T, Davenport S. Addiction and mental health vs. physical health: Widening disparities in network use and provider reimbursement. Milliman. Published November 19, 2019. https://assets.milliman.com/ektron/Addiction_and_mental_health_vs_physical_health_Widening_disparities_in_network_use_and_provider_reimbursement.pdf
7. Busch S, Kyanko K. Network Access and Adequacy Summary of Published and Unpublished Research 2018-2023. MHTARI. Published Feb 9, 2023. https://filesmhtari.org/Busch_Kyanko_Research_Summary.pdf
8. Wang PS, Berglund PA, Olfson M, Kessler RC. Delays in initial treatment contact after first onset of a mental disorder. Health Serv Res. 2004 Apr;39(2):393-415. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2004.00234.x. PMID: 15032961; PMCID: PMC1361014
9. Davenport S, Gray M, Melek S. How do individuals with behavioral health conditions contribute to physical and total healthcare spending? Milliman. Published August 13, 2020. <https://edge.sitecorecloud.io/millimaninc5660-milliman6442-prod27d5-0001/media/Milliman/PDFs/Articles/Milliman-High-Cost-Patient-Study-2020.pdf>
10. Mark TL, Parish WJ. Behavioral health parity – Pervasive disparities in access to in-network care continue. RTI International. Published April 2024. Pages 15–16. <https://dpjh8al9zd3a4.cloudfront.net/publication/behavioral-health-parity-pervasive-disparities-access-network-care-continue/fulltext.pdf>
11. Bishop TF, Press MJ, Keyhani S, Pincus HA. Acceptance of insurance by psychiatrists and the implications for access to mental health care. JAMA Psychiatry. 2014;71(2):176-181. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.286
12. Health Resources and Services Administration. <https://data.hrsa.gov/topics/health-workforce/shortage-areas/dashboard> (as of October 22, 2025).
13. Mark TL, et al. April 2024. Pages 8-9
14. Kessler R, Stafford D. Primary care is the de facto mental health system. In: Springer eBooks.; 2008:9-21
15. Mark TL, Levit KR, Buck JA. Datapoints: psychotropic drug prescriptions by medical specialty. Psychiatr Serv. 2009;60(9):1167. doi:10.1176/ps.2009.60.9.1167
16. Jain S, Patton K, Miller A, Macon C, Millender S, Jackson M, Oakes A. Trends Shaping the Health Economy: Behavioral Health. Trilliant Health. Published March 2023. <https://www.trillianthealth.com/behavioral-health-trends-shaping-the-health-economy>. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-76894-6_2
17. Kyanko KA, A Curry L, E Keene D, Sutherland R, Naik K, Busch SH. Does Primary Care Fill the Gap in Access to Specialty Mental Health Care? A Mixed Methods Study. J Gen Intern Med. 2022 May;37(7):1641-1647.

18. Duhoux A, Fournier L, Gauvin L, Roberge P. Quality of care for major depression and its determinants: a multilevel analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2012 Sep 17;12:142
19. Rozenman M, Patarino KM. Pediatric anxiety in practice: a knowledge and needs assessment of pediatricians and nurses. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. (2020) 41:605–11.
20. Fortney J, Sladek R, Unützer J, Kennedy P, Harbin H, Emmet B, Alfred L, Carneal G. Fixing Behavioral Health Care in America A National Call for Integrating and Coordinating Specialty Behavioral Health Care with the Medical System. The Kennedy Forum. Published 2015. https://www.patrickjkennedy.net/app/uploads/2020/05/KennedyForum-BehavioralHealth_FINAL_3.pdf
21. Harrison DL, Miller MJ, Schmitt MR, Touchet BK. Variations in the probability of depression screening at community-based physician practice visits. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010;12(5):PCC.09m00911. doi:10.4088/PCC.09m00911blu
22. AIMS Center, University of Washington Psychiatric & Behavioral Services. Evidence Base for Collaborative Care: Foundational Evidence Base and Reviews. https://aims.uw.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/1-Evidence-Base_Foundational.pdf
23. Bowman Family Foundation/Mental Health Treatment and Research Institute. Large Reductions in Suicide Risk, Attempts and Deaths Demonstrated by Three "Real World" Studies in Primary Care. April 2025. https://filesbff.org/CoCM_Suicide_Risk_Reduction.pdf
24. Jackson-Triche ME, Unützer J, Wells KB. Achieving Mental Health Equity: Collaborative Care. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 2020;43(3):501-510. doi:10.1016/j.psc.2020.05.008
25. Reist C, Petiwala I, Latimer J, Raffaelli SB, Chiang M, Eisenberg D, Campbell S. Collaborative mental health care: A narrative review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2022;101(52):e32554. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000032554
26. Hu J, Wu T, Damodaran S, Tabb KM, Bauer A, Huang H. The Effectiveness of Collaborative Care on Depression Outcomes for Racial/Ethnic Minority Populations in Primary Care: A Systematic Review. *Psychosomatics*. 2020;61(6):632-644. doi:10.1016/j.psych.2020.03.007
27. Khazanov GK, Wolk CB, Lorenc E, Candon M, Pieri MF, Oslin DW, Press MJ, Anderson E, Famiglio E, Jager-Hyman S. Change in suicidal ideation, depression, and anxiety following collaborative care in the community. *BMC Prim. Care*. 2024 Jul 5;25(1):241. doi:10.1186/s12875-024-02494-2
28. Wolk CB, Wilkinson E, Livesey C, Oslin DW, Connolly KR, Smith-McLallen A, Press MJ. Impact of the collaborative care model on medical spending. *Am J Manag Care*. 2023;29(10):499-502. doi:10.37765/ajmc.2023.89438
29. Carlo AD, McNutt C, Talebi H. Extending the clinical impact of behavioral health prescribing clinicians using the Collaborative Care Model (CoCM). *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 39(8), 2024. 1525-1527. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-024-08649-2>
30. Fortney JC, Bauer AM, Cerimele JM, Pyne JM, Pfeiffer P, Heagerty PJ, Hawrilenko M, Zielinski MJ, Kaysen D, Bowen DJ, Moore DL, Ferro L, Metzger K, Shushan S, Hafer E, Nolan JP, Dalack GW, Unützer J. Comparison of teleintegrated care and telereferral care for treating complex psychiatric disorders in primary care: A pragmatic randomized comparative effectiveness trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 78(11), 2021. 1189–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.2318>
31. Presentation by Matthew Press, MD Penn Integrated Care, Penn Medicine, University of Pennsylvania. Reducing Suicide Risk through Primary Care. May 2025. <https://www.shatterproof.org/BowmanStudy>
32. Wolk CB, et al. 2023
33. Jain S, et al. March 2023
34. Davenport S, et al. August 2020
35. Melek SP, Norris DT, Paulus J. Economic Impact of Integrated Medical-Behavioral Healthcare: Implications for Psychiatry. Milliman American Psychiatric Association Report. Colorado Coalition. Published April 2014.
36. Davenport S, et al. August 2020
37. Yuhas M, et al. November 2025
38. Yuhas M, et al. November 2025
39. Unutzer J, Katon WJ, Fan MY, Schoenbaum MC, Lin EH, Della Penna RD, Powers D. Long-term cost effects of collaborative care for late-life depression. *Am J Manag Care*. 2008;14(2):95-100
40. Yuhas M, et al. November 2025

-
41. Presentation to the Community Health Centers of Arkansas 2025 CHCA BIENNIAL CONFERENCE. September 18/19, 2025. Better Together: Integrating Behavioral Health and Primary Care. Patty Gibson, MD, Medical Director for Behavioral Health Arkansas Blue Cross and Blue Shield
 42. Wolk CB, et al. 2023
 43. Davenport S, et al. May 2025
 44. Davenport S, et al. May 2025
 45. Davenport S, et al. May 2025
 46. Medical Economics. Non-physician Clinicians Make up 40% of US Health Care Workforce Report Finds. May 2025. <https://www.medicaleconomics.com/view/non-physician-clinicians-make-up-40-of-u-s-health-care-workforce-report-finds>
 47. Anderson C, Mill C, Zhou D and McBeth A. Milliman White Paper: Commercial reimbursement benchmarking – Commercial payment rates for medical services as percentage of Medicare fee-for-service rates. 20 November 2023

Appendices

Appendix A: Organizations Endorsing CoCM in Support of Legislation to Fund Implementation of CoCM.....	43
Appendix B: Employer coalitions, providers, MHSU advocates, and experts supporting recommendations to accelerate broadscale CoCM adoption.....	44
Appendix C: Primary Care Provider Definition Specified by MHTARI.....	45
Appendix D1: Summary of State Medicaid CoCM FFS Reimbursement Analysis as of January, 2026	48
Appendix D2: Detailed State-by-State Medicaid CoCM FFS Reimbursement Analysis as of January, 2026.....	49
Appendix E: Findings by State / Lists of Key CoCM Providers Notes That Apply to All States.....	58
USA (National)	60
Alabama	62
Alaska.....	64
Arizona.....	66
Arkansas.....	68
California.....	70
Colorado	72
Connecticut.....	74
Delaware.....	76
Florida.....	78
Georgia.....	80
Hawaii	82
Idaho.....	84
Illinois.....	86
Indiana.....	88
Iowa.....	90
Kansas.....	92
Kentucky	94
Louisiana.....	96
Maine.....	98
Maryland.....	100
Massachusetts.....	102
Michigan.....	104
Minnesota.....	106
Mississippi.....	108
Missouri.....	110
Montana	112
Nebraska.....	114
Nevada.....	116
New Hampshire.....	118
New Jersey.....	120
New Mexico	122
New York.....	124
North Carolina.....	126
North Dakota.....	128
Ohio	130
Oklahoma.....	132
Oregon	134
Pennsylvania	136
Rhode Island	138
South Carolina.....	140
South Dakota.....	142
Tennessee	144
Texas	146
Utah.....	148
Vermont	150
Virginia.....	152
Washington	154
Washington DC.....	156
West Virginia	158
Wisconsin	160
Wyoming.....	162

Appendix A: Organizations Endorsing CoCM in Support of Legislation to Fund Implementation of CoCM

“Our country is in ... a growing behavioral health crisis with suicide and overdose deaths at record levels ... Many individuals ... have difficulty finding a mental health professional ... The Collaborative Care Model (CoCM) provides a strong building block to address these problems by ensuring that patients can receive expeditious behavioral health treatment within the office of their primary care physician ... this legislation ... will expand needed access to high quality behavioral health care that is proven to be effective.”

—Letter by the following organizations in support of legislation to fund implementation of CoCM, September 2021:

Academy of Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists	Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute
American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry	American College of Physicians	National Alliance of Healthcare Purchaser Coalitions
American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry	American Medical Association	National Association of Social Workers
American Academy of Family Physicians	American Osteopathic Association	National Council for Mental Wellbeing
American Academy of Pediatrics	American Psychiatric Association	Shatterproof
American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry	Association for Behavioral Health and Wellness	
	HR Policy Association and American Health Policy Institute	

Appendix B: Employer coalitions, providers, MHSU advocates, and experts supporting recommendations to accelerate broadscale CoCM adoption

(from page 2 of [Mounting Evidence That Use of the Collaborative Care Model Reduces Total Healthcare Costs](#))

National and Regional Employer/Purchaser Coalitions

American Health Policy Institute	HR Policy Association	Northeast Business Group on Health
Dallas-Fort Worth Business Group on Health	Kansas Business Group on Health	Purchaser Business Group on Health
Florida Alliance for Healthcare Value	MidAtlantic Business Group on Health	Silicon Valley Employers Forum
HealthCareTN	National Alliance of Healthcare Purchaser Coalitions	Texas Business Group on Health
Houston Business Coalition on Health		

Mental Health/Substance Use Organizations, Subject Matter Experts, and Philanthropies

Accelerate the Future	Intermountain Health	National Association of Addiction Treatment Providers
Advancing Integrated Mental Health Solutions (AIMS), University of Washington	The Jed Foundation	North Carolina Area Health Education Centers
Carol L. Alter, Professor, Associate Chair, Department of Psychiatry, Dell Medical School	Kaiser Permanente	NeuroFlow
American Foundation for Suicide Prevention (AFSP)	The Kennedy Forum	Matthew Press, MD, MSc, Associate Professor of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania
American Psychiatric Association	Legal Action Center	Primary Care Coalition
Bend Health	Magellan Health	Linda Rosenberg, MSW, Columbia University Department of Psychiatry, Senior Advisor, Empactful Capital
BrainFutures	Massachusetts Association for Mental Health	Shatterproof
Jonathan Cantor, RAND, Full Policy Researcher	Massachusetts General Hospital Department of Psychiatry	Sozosei Foundation
Concert Health	Ryan McBain, RAND, Senior Policy Researcher	Steinberg Institute
Georgia Mental Health Policy Partnership	Mental Health America	Sylvan C. Herman Foundation
The Goodness Web	Mental Health Association of Maryland	Treatment Advocacy Center
Healthy Minds Policy Institute	Mindful Philanthropy	Wellbeing Trust
Inseparable	Mindoula	Young People in Recovery
	Mirah	
	National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI)	

Appendix C: Primary Care Provider Definition Specified by MHTARI

Taxonomy Code	Description
Physicians	
207Q00000X	Family Medicine
207QA0401X	Family Medicine - Addiction Medicine
207QA0000X	Family Medicine - Adolescent Medicine
207QA0505X	Family Medicine - Adult Medicine
207QG0300X	Family Medicine - Geriatric Medicine
207QH0002X	Family Medicine - Hospice and Palliative Medicine
207QB0002X	Family Medicine - Obesity Medicine
207QS1201X	Family Medicine - Sleep Medicine
207QS0010X	Family Medicine - Sports Medicine
202D00000X	Integrative Medicine
207R00000X	Internal Medicine
207RA0401X	Internal Medicine - Addiction Medicine
207RA0000X	Internal Medicine - Adolescent Medicine
207RA0002X	Internal Medicine - Adult Congenital Heart Disease
207RA0001X	Internal Medicine - Advanced Heart Failure and Transplant Cardiology
207RA0201X	Internal Medicine - Allergy & Immunology
207RC0000X	Internal Medicine - Cardiovascular Disease
207RI0001X	Internal Medicine - Clinical & Laboratory Immunology
207RC0001X	Internal Medicine - Clinical Cardiac Electrophysiology
207RC0200X	Internal Medicine - Critical Care Medicine
207RE0101X	Internal Medicine - Endocrinology, Diabetes & Metabolism
207RG0100X	Internal Medicine - Gastroenterology
207RG0300X	Internal Medicine - Geriatric Medicine
207RH0000X	Internal Medicine - Hematology
207RH0003X	Internal Medicine - Hematology & Oncology
207RI0008X	Internal Medicine - Hepatology
207RH0002X	Internal Medicine - Hospice and Palliative Medicine
207RH0005X	Internal Medicine - Hypertension Specialist
207RI0200X	Internal Medicine - Infectious Disease
207RI0011X	Internal Medicine - Interventional Cardiology
207RM1200X	Internal Medicine - Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)
207RX0202X	Internal Medicine - Medical Oncology
207RN0300X	Internal Medicine - Nephrology

Taxonomy Code	Description
Physicians	
207RB0002X	Internal Medicine - Obesity Medicine
207RP1001X	Internal Medicine - Pulmonary Disease
207RR0500X	Internal Medicine - Rheumatology
207RS0012X	Internal Medicine - Sleep Medicine
207RS0010X	Internal Medicine - Sports Medicine
207RT0003X	Internal Medicine - Transplant Hepatology
208D00000X	General Practice
208O00000X	Pediatrics
208OA0000X	Pediatrics - Adolescent Medicine
208OC0008X	Pediatrics - Child Abuse Pediatrics
208OI0007X	Pediatrics - Clinical & Laboratory Immunology
208OP0006X	Pediatrics - Developmental - Behavioral Pediatrics
208OH0002X	Pediatrics - Hospice and Palliative Medicine
208OT0002X	Pediatrics - Medical Toxicology
208ON0001X	Pediatrics - Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine
208OP0008X	Pediatrics - Neurodevelopmental Disabilities
208OB0002X	Pediatrics - Obesity Medicine
208OP0201X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Allergy/Immunology
208OP0202X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Cardiology
208OP0203X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Critical Care Medicine
208OP0204X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Emergency Medicine
208OP0205X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Endocrinology
208OP0206X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Gastroenterology
208OP0207X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Hematology-Oncology
208OP0208X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Infectious Diseases
208OP0210X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Nephrology
208OP0214X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Pulmonology
208OP0216X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Rheumatology
208OT0004X	Pediatrics - Pediatric Transplant Hepatology
208OS0012X	Pediatrics - Sleep Medicine
208OS0010X	Pediatrics - Sports Medicine
2083A0300X	Preventive Medicine - Addiction Medicine
2083A0100X	Preventive Medicine - Aerospace Medicine
2083C0008X	Preventive Medicine - Clinical Informatics
2083T0002X	Preventive Medicine - Medical Toxicology

Taxonomy Code	Description
Physicians	
2083B0002X	Preventive Medicine - Obesity Medicine
2083X0100X	Preventive Medicine - Occupational Medicine
2083P0500X	Preventive Medicine - Preventive Medicine/Occupational Environmental Medicine
2083P0901X	Preventive Medicine - Public Health & General Preventive Medicine
2083S0010X	Preventive Medicine - Sports Medicine
2083P0011X	Preventive Medicine - Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine
207V00000X	Obstetrics and Gynecology
207VC0300X	Obstetrics & Gynecology - Complex Family Planning
207VC0200X	Obstetrics & Gynecology - Critical Care Medicine
207VF0040X	Obstetrics & Gynecology - Urogynecology and Reconstructive Pelvic Surgery
207VX0201X	Obstetrics & Gynecology - Gynecologic Oncology
207VG0400X	Obstetrics and Gynecology - Gynecology
207VH0002X	Obstetrics & Gynecology - Hospice and Palliative Medicine
207VM0101X	Obstetrics and Gynecology - Maternal and Fetal Medicine
207VB0002X	Obstetrics & Gynecology - Obesity Medicine
207VX0000X	Obstetrics and Gynecology - Obstetrics
207VE0102X	Obstetrics & Gynecology - Reproductive Endocrinology
Non-Physicians*	
363A00000X	Physician Assistant
363AM0700X	Physician Assistant - Medical
363AS0400X	Physician Assistant - Surgical
367A00000X	Advanced Practice Midwife
367H00000X	Anesthesiologist Assistant
367500000X	Nurse Anesthetist, Certified Registered
363L00000X	Nurse Practitioner
363LA2100X	Nurse Practitioner - Acute Care
363LA2200X	Nurse Practitioner - Adult Health
363LC1500X	Nurse Practitioner - Community Health
363LC0200X	Nurse Practitioner - Critical Care Medicine
363LF0000X	Nurse Practitioner - Family
363LG0600X	Nurse Practitioner - Gerontology

Taxonomy Code	Description
Non-Physicians*	
363LN0000X	Nurse Practitioner - Neonatal
363LN0005X	Nurse Practitioner - Neonatal, Critical Care
363LP0200X	Nurse Practitioner - Pediatrics
363LP0222X	Nurse Practitioner - Pediatrics, Critical Care
363LP1700X	Nurse Practitioner - Perinatal
363LP2300X	Nurse Practitioner - Primary Care
363LS0200X	Nurse Practitioner - School
363LW0102X	Nurse Practitioner - Women's Health
363LX0001X	Nurse Practitioner - Obstetrics and Gynecology
363LX0106X	Nurse Practitioner - Occupational Health
364S00000X	Clinical Nurse Specialist
364SA2100X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Acute Care
364SA2200X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Adult Health
364SC1501X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Community Health/Public Health
364SC2300X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Chronic Care
364SC0200X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Critical Care Medicine
364SE0003X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Emergency
364SE1400X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Ethics
364SF0001X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Family Health
364SG0600X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Gerontology
364SH1100X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Holistic
364SH0200X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Home Health
364SI0800X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Informatics
364SL0600X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Long-Term Care
364SM0705X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Medical-Surgical
364SN0000X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Neonatal
364SN0800X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Neuroscience
364SX0106X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Occupational Health
364SX0200X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Oncology
364SX0204X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Oncology, Pediatrics
364SP0200X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Pediatrics
364SP1700X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Perinatal
364SP2800X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Perioperative
364SR0400X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Rehabilitation
364SS0200X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - School
364ST0500X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Transplantation

* Some of the individual providers listed under non-physicians may not be practicing in primary care

Taxonomy Code	Description
Non-Physicians*	
364SW0102X	Clinical Nurse Specialist - Women's Health
164W00000X	Licensed Practical Nurse
164X00000X	Licensed Vocational Nurse
163W00000X	Registered Nurse
163WA2000X	Registered Nurse - Administrator
163WP2201X	Registered Nurse - Ambulatory Care
163WC3500X	Registered Nurse - Cardiac Rehabilitation
163WC0400X	Registered Nurse - Case Management
163WC1400X	Registered Nurse - College Health
163WC1500X	Registered Nurse - Community Health
163WC2100X	Registered Nurse - Continence Care
163WC1600X	Registered Nurse - Continuing Education/ Staff Development
163WC0200X	Registered Nurse - Critical Care Medicine
163WD0400X	Registered Nurse - Diabetes Educator
163WD1100X	Registered Nurse - Dialysis, Peritoneal
163WE0003X	Registered Nurse - Emergency
163WE0900X	Registered Nurse - Enterostomal Therapy
163WF0300X	Registered Nurse - Flight
163WG0100X	Registered Nurse - Gastroenterology
163WG0000X	Registered Nurse - General Practice
163WG0600X	Registered Nurse - Gerontology
163WH0500X	Registered Nurse - Hemodialysis
163WH0200X	Registered Nurse - Home Health
163WH1000X	Registered Nurse - Hospice
163WI0600X	Registered Nurse - Infection Control
163WIO500X	Registered Nurse - Infusion Therapy
163WL0100X	Registered Nurse - Lactation Consultant
163WM0102X	Registered Nurse - Maternal Newborn
163WM0705X	Registered Nurse - Medical-Surgical

Taxonomy Code	Description
Non-Physicians*	
163WN0002X	Registered Nurse - Neonatal Intensive Care
163WN0003X	Registered Nurse - Neonatal, Low-Risk
163WN0300X	Registered Nurse - Nephrology
163WN0800X	Registered Nurse - Neuroscience
163WM1400X	Registered Nurse - Nurse Massage Therapist (NMT)
163WN1003X	Registered Nurse - Nutrition Support
163WX0002X	Registered Nurse - Obstetric, High-Risk
163WX0003X	Registered Nurse - Obstetric, Inpatient
163WX0106X	Registered Nurse - Occupational Health
163WX0200X	Registered Nurse - Oncology
163WX1100X	Registered Nurse - Ophthalmic
163WX0800X	Registered Nurse - Orthopedic
163WX1500X	Registered Nurse - Ostomy Care
163WX0601X	Registered Nurse - Otorhinolaryngology & Head-Neck
163WP0000X	Registered Nurse - Pain Management
163WP0218X	Registered Nurse - Pediatric Oncology
163WP0200X	Registered Nurse - Pediatrics
163WP1700X	Registered Nurse - Perinatal
163WS0121X	Registered Nurse - Plastic Surgery
163WR0006X	Registered Nurse - Registered Nurse First Assistant
163WR0400X	Registered Nurse - Rehabilitation
163WR1000X	Registered Nurse - Reproductive Endocrinology/Infertility
163WS0200X	Registered Nurse - School
163WU0100X	Registered Nurse - Urology
163WW0101X	Registered Nurse - Women's Health Care, Ambulatory
163WW0000X	Registered Nurse - Wound Care

* Some of the individual providers listed under non-physicians may not be practicing in primary care

Appendix D1: Summary of State Medicaid CoCM FFS Reimbursement Analysis as of January, 2026

JANUARY
2026

SUMMARY ANALYSIS CoCM Codes: Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on **Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels** for 99492 and 99493. States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **99492** and **99493**.

Blue text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **one CoCM code**.

The current version of this document can be found [here](#)

Details underlying this Analysis are available [here](#)**

Group 1 • 14 States

States that pay **90% or more** of the Medicare rate for 99492 or 99493:

Arizona	Iowa	Montana	Rhode Island	Washington
Connecticut	Maryland	New York	Texas	Wisconsin
Georgia	Missouri	North Carolina	Virginia	

Group 2 • 13 States

States that pay **70–89%** of the Medicare rate for 99492 or 99493 **but less than 90% for both**:

California	Kansas	Oklahoma	Vermont
Colorado	Kentucky	Oregon	Wyoming
District of Columbia	Massachusetts	South Carolina	
	Nebraska	Utah	

Group 3 • 8 States

States that pay **below 70%** of the Medicare rate for both 99492 and 99493:

Florida	Illinois	Nevada	New Jersey
Hawaii	Michigan	New Hampshire	Pennsylvania

Group 4 • 16 States (14 of which have low Medicaid CoCM use)^a

States that do not post Medicaid FFS payment for either 99492 or 99493:

Alabama	Idaho	Minnesota ^c	Ohio
Alaska	Indiana	Mississippi	South Dakota
Arkansas	Louisiana	New Mexico	Tennessee ^c
Delaware	Maine	North Dakota	West Virginia

Group 4 Exceptions and Notes:

- An exception is that Medicaid plans (including some in Group 4) often pay some portion of “patient out-of-pocket expenses” for certain categories of Medicare-Medicaid “dual eligible” patients, which is one reason why most states have had at least a minimal volume of Medicaid CoCM patients. For those categories of patients, Medicare is the primary payer and Medicaid will reimburse the patient cost sharing.
- All but two of the Group 4 states do not post Medicaid FFS payment for any CoCM codes. Idaho and New Mexico post payment for G2214 but, based on data through 2023, use was minimal.
- Data through 2023 shows that use of CoCM in Group 4 states was typically low for both Medicaid FFS and Medicaid MCO patients. However, Medicaid MCO use was substantial in Minnesota and Tennessee.

A. Medicaid FFS reimbursement is based on Fee Schedules posted on state websites as of January 15, 2026.

B. Medicare reimbursement is based on the non-facility rates at this link: [Search the Physician Fee Schedule - CMS](#)

C. This Analysis does not address:

- Code G0512, use of which is still required by Medicaid FFS in many states for FQHCs and RHCs, even though CMS has eliminated use of G0512 (which is a restrictive code) for Medicare patients.
- Reimbursement by Medicaid MCOs, which typically do not post their reimbursement amounts.
- Information about Medicaid FFS restrictions in many states regarding use of certain CoCM codes. For example, some states do not allow or limit use of 99494 and/or G2214.

D. Medicaid and Medicare reimbursement is adjusted from time to time—please contact admin@mhtari.org if any information in this document appears incorrect.

E. The **DISCLAIMER** regarding this Analysis is available [here](#)**

** Clicking this link will download an Excel file to your computer.

BOWMAN FAMILY
FOUNDATION

MHTARI
MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT
AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Appendix D2: Detailed State-by-State Medicaid CoCM FFS Reimbursement Analysis as of January, 2026

01.19.26LW.1230



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels for 99492 and 99493.
States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay at least 100% of the Medicare rate for at least 99492 and 99493.
Blue text indicates states that pay at least 100% of the Medicare rate for at least one CoCM code (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and DISCLAIMER at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

	Reimbursement Rates						
	Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link
Group 1: States that pay 90% or more of the Medicare rate for 99492 or 99493 (14 States):							
Arizona	99492	\$136.10	\$156.77	87%	MAC Locality 0310200 Arizona	https://www.azahcccs.gov/PlansProviders/RatesAndBilling/FFS/PhysicianRates/	Under "2026", click on link named "Search Rates by Procedure Codes". Enter a CoCM code, then click "Get the Rates". The "Non-Facility Rate" for Provider Type "08" (which is "Physician MD") was used.
	99493	\$132.30	\$142.06	93%			
	99494	\$56.65	\$60.18	94%			
	G2214	\$57.53	\$59.48	97%			
Connecticut	99492	\$165.47	\$169.71	98%	MAC Locality 1310200 Connecticut	https://www.ctdssmap.com/CTPortal/Provider/Provider-Fee-Schedule-Download	Accept the agreement. Click "CSV" next to the fee schedule named "Physician Office and Outpt Services" (Note: Disabling pop-up blockers may be needed to open the CSV). The rate is in the "Max Fee" column.
	99493	\$159.56	\$152.84	104%			
	99494	\$68.44	\$64.90	105%			
	G2214	\$66.64	\$64.27	104%			
Georgia	99492	\$136.57	\$151.19	90%	MAC Locality 1021299 Rest of Georgia	https://www.mmis.georgia.gov/portal/PubAccess.Provider%20Information/Provider%20Manuals/tabId/20/Default.aspx	Note: If the list of fee schedules doesn't show up when you click the link, hover your mouse over "Provider Information" at the top, then click "Fee Schedules". Use the fee schedule named "Physician Fee Schedule" (Note: Disabling pop-up blockers may be needed to open the fee schedule. If you use a VPN, you might need to turn it off). The rate is in the "Max Allow" column.
	99493	\$109.18	\$138.10	79%			
	99494	\$56.42	\$58.38	97%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			
Iowa	99492	\$142.16	\$149.61	95%	MAC Locality 0510200 Iowa	https://hhs.iowa.gov/programs/welcome-iowa-medicaid/policies-rules-and-regulations/covered-services-rates-and-payments/fee-schedules	Click on "Open Fee Schedules", then click on "Physician MD" (it may take a few moments to open, or you can download the csv file instead). The rates on the rows with "F" in the "Factor Code" column were used.
	99493	\$113.77	\$136.04	84%			
	99494	\$58.88	\$57.52	102%			
	G2214	\$57.77	\$56.77	102%			
Maryland	99492	\$158.63	\$161.68	98%	MAC Locality 1230299 Rest of Maryland	https://health.maryland.gov/mmcp/Pages/Provider-Information.aspx	Scroll down to section labeled "Fee Schedules - Other" and click on the link named "2025 Professional Services Fee Schedule". The "New NFAC FEE" rates on the "E&M Rates Effective 7.1.2025" tab were used.
	99493	\$141.29	\$146.12	97%			
	99494	\$60.85	\$61.95	98%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			
Missouri	99492	\$145.51	\$147.39	99%	MAC Locality 0530299 Rest of Missouri	https://apps.dss.mo.gov/fmsfeeschedules/default.aspx	Scroll to the bottom of the page and click on "Download". Click on "xlsx" next to "Medical Services". The rate is in the "SpecFee" column.
	99493	\$134.34	\$134.93	100%			
	99494	\$56.84	\$56.98	100%			
	G2214	\$54.90	\$56.17	98%			
Montana	99492	\$203.75	\$160.31	127%	MAC Locality 0320201 Montana	https://medicaidprovider.mt.gov/27	Click on "Fee Schedules – Physician", then on "July 2025 Physician Fee Schedule". The "Office Fees" rates were used.
	99493	\$187.41	\$144.95	129%			
	99494	\$78.51	\$61.45	128%			
	G2214	\$76.24	\$60.79	125%			



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels for 99492 and 99493.

States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay at least 100% of the Medicare rate for at least 99492 and 99493.
Blue text indicates states that pay at least 100% of the Medicare rate for at least one CoCM code (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and DISCLAIMER at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

Reimbursement Rates						
Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link
New York	99492	\$172.97	\$154.29	MAC Locality 1328299 Rest of New York	https://omh.ny.gov/omhweb/mcicaid_reimbursement/	Under "Mental Health Outpatient Treatment and Rehabilitative Services", click on "Collaborative Care - Statewide Rate" and see the tab labeled "Collaborative Care Practitioner". The "Year 1", "Effective 9/1/2025" rates were used.
	99493	\$172.97	\$139.98			
	99494	\$86.48	\$59.26			
	G2214	not posted	-			
North Carolina	99492	\$176.23	\$152.41	MAC Locality 1150200 North Carolina	https://ncdhs.servicenowservices.com/fee_schedules	Click "Download File" next to the "Physician Services" fee schedule on page 2. The "Non-Facility Rate" was used.
	99493	\$171.30	\$138.46			
	99494	\$73.14	\$58.59			
	G2214	\$50.93	\$57.85			
Rhode Island	99492	\$149.25	\$164.08	MAC Locality 1441201 Rhode Island	https://providersearch.riproviderportal.org/ProviderSearchE/OHHS/FFSFeeSchedule.aspx	The link opens a lookup search tool. Enter a CoCM code and date of service. The rate is in the "Allowed Amount" column.
	99493	\$136.95	\$148.13			
	99494	\$57.52	\$62.82			
	G2214	not posted	-			
Texas	99492	\$131.50	\$155.14	MAC Locality 0441299 Rest of Texas	https://public.tmhp.com/FeeSchedules/OnlineFeeLookup/FeeScheduleSearch.aspx	The link opens a lookup search tool: For "What type of search would you like to conduct?", select "List of Procedure Codes". For "Procedure Code", enter the CoCM codes. For "Provider Type", select "Physician (MD)". For "Provider Specialty", select "Internal Medicine". For "Program", select "MEDICAID". For "Date of Service", enter/select a date. For "Claim Type", select "CMS-1500 Claim Form", and click "Submit". The "Medicaid Fee" rates in the "Non-facility" section were used.
	99493	\$131.50	\$140.84			
	99494	\$50.28	\$59.63			
	G2214	\$46.24	\$58.91			
Virginia	99492	\$159.00	\$157.37	MAC Locality 1130200 Virginia	https://dmas.virginia.gov/for-providers/rates-and-rate-setting/procedure-fee-files-cpt-codes/#searchCPT	The link opens to "Search CPT Codes" lookup tool. Enter/select a date, leave "Flag Code" blank, and enter a CoCM code. The "Max Rate" in the row with "OP" in the "INP OUT" column was used.
	99493	\$146.26	\$142.36			
	99494	\$61.26	\$60.32			
	G2214	not posted	-			
Washington	99492	\$148.04	\$164.94	MAC Locality 0240299 Rest of Washington	https://www.hca.wa.gov/billers-providers-partners/prior-authorization-claims-and-billing/provider-billing-guides-and-fee-schedules	Go to the "P" section, click "Physician-related/professional services", then under the "Physician-related/professional services fee schedules" section, click the fee schedule named "January 1, 2026 to present – Physician-related services fee schedule". The rates in the "Maximum Allowable NFS" column were used.
	99493	\$135.60	\$148.54			
	99494	\$57.02	\$63.03			
	G2214	\$55.39	\$62.40			
Wisconsin	99492	\$146.05	\$153.19	MAC Locality 0630200 Wisconsin	https://www.forwardhealth.wi.gov/WIPortal/Subsystem/Publications/MaxFeeDynamicSearch.aspx	The link opens a lookup search tool. Accept the agreement. Select "Multiple Codes", enter the CoCM codes separated by commas, select "Medical Services" in the "Service Area" drop down, enter a date of service, and click "Search". The rates are in the "Rate" column of the "Pricing Information" section.
	99493	\$141.61	\$138.71			
	99494	\$60.51	\$58.72			
	G2214	not posted	-			



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on **Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels** for 99492 and 99493.

States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **99492 and 99493**.
Blue text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **one CoCM code** (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and **DISCLAIMER** at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

	Reimbursement Rates						
	Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link
Group 2: States that pay 70-89% of the Medicare rate for 99492 or 99493 but less than 90% for both (13 States):							
California	99492	\$141.26	\$168.14	84%	MAC Locality 0111275 Rest of California	https://mcweb.apps.prd.cammis.medi-cal.ca.gov/rates?tab=rates	Scroll down the page and use the "Rates by Procedure Codes" search section. Search for a CoCM code and 2 rows appear. The "Basic Rate" in the row with "X" in the "Proc Type" column was used.
	99493	\$129.39	\$150.85	86%			
	99494	\$57.88	\$64.07	90%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			
Colorado	99492	\$119.40	\$166.00	72%	MAC Locality 0411201 Colorado	https://hcpf.colorado.gov/provider-rates-fee-schedule	Scroll down to the "Integrated Care" section, and click on the link named "Integrated Care Fee Schedule FY25-26". The rate is in the "Rate Effective July 1, 2025" column.
	99493	\$109.27	\$149.35	73%			
	99494	\$45.95	\$63.40	72%			
	G2214	\$44.65	\$62.78	71%			
District of Columbia	99492	\$131.85	\$180.77	73%	MAC Locality 1220201 DC + MD/VA Suburbs	https://www.dc-medicaid.com/dcwebportal/nonsecure/getFeeScheduleInquiry	The link opens a lookup search tool. Enter a CoCM code, select a date of service, choose "Standard Fee Schedule" in the "Rate Indicator" box, and click "Submit". The rate in the "Fee" column was used. For 99493 and 99494, the top section (with nothing in the "Mod1" box) was used. G2214 says "NO" in the "Covered Benefit" column.
	99493	\$120.14	\$161.97	74%			
	99494	\$50.47	\$68.87	73%			
	G2214	not covered	-	-			
Kansas	99492	\$105.61	\$149.09	71%	MAC Locality 0520200 Kansas	https://portal.kmap-state-ks.us/PublicPage/ProviderPricing/Disclaimer?searchBy=ScheduleList	Accept the agreement. Under "Select Program", choose "TXIX". Under "Select Rate Type", choose "Medicaid". Use the fee schedule listed under "Current Version" (named "FeeSchedule_20260101_TXIX_DEF"). The rate in the "MAX FEE" column on the row with "MAX Fee" in the "PRICING METHOD" column was used.
	99493	\$96.92	\$135.75	71%			
	99494	\$41.05	\$57.39	72%			
	G2214	\$39.60	\$56.62	70%			
Kentucky	99492	\$121.51	\$149.62	81%	MAC Locality 1510200 KentuckyEDS01	https://www.chfs.ky.gov/agencies/dms/Pages/feesrates.aspx	Use the fee schedule named "Physicians 2025". The "Outpatient Rate Non-Facility" rates were used.
	99493	\$97.56	\$136.59	71%			
	99494	\$50.50	\$57.73	87%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			
Massachusetts	99492	\$114.05	\$165.30	69%	MAC Locality 1421299 Rest of Massachusetts	https://www.mass.gov/regulations/101-CMR-31700-rates-for-medicine-services	Under the section named "Downloads", click on the link for "Rates for Medicine Services (effective January 1, 2025)". The "NFAC" rates were used.
	99493	\$107.23	\$148.91	72%			
	99494	\$43.51	\$63.19	69%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			
Nebraska	99492	\$127.96	\$150.27	85%	MAC Locality 0540200 Nebraska	https://dhhs.ne.gov/Pages/Medicaid-Provider-Rates-and-Fee-Schedules.aspx	Click on "Physician Services", then click on "Spreadsheet" under "Jul. 2025". The "Non-Facility Rate" on the rows with nothing in the "MOD" column was used.
	99493	\$100.54	\$136.52	74%			
	99494	\$50.27	\$57.74	87%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels for 99492 and 99493.
States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay at least 100% of the Medicare rate for at least 99492 and 99493.
Blue text indicates states that pay at least 100% of the Medicare rate for at least one CoCM code (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and DISCLAIMER at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

Reimbursement Rates							
	Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link
Oklahoma	99492	\$127.06	\$149.35	85%	MAC Locality 0431200 Oklahoma	https://oklahoma.gov/ohca/providers/claim-tools/fee-schedule.html	Use the 2025 "Title XIX Fee Schedule". The rates in the "Amount" column were used.
	99493	\$117.86	\$136.23	87%			
	99494	\$49.30	\$57.58	86%			
	G2214	\$47.78	\$56.80	84%			
Oregon	99492	\$119.24	\$158.56	75%	MAC Locality 0230299 Rest of Oregon	https://www.oregon.gov/oha/hsd/ohp/pages/fee-schedule.aspx	Use the fee schedule named "Medical-Dental Fee Schedule, December 2025". Search for the CoCM codes. The rates on the row with "Non" in the "RBRVS Place of Service" column were used.
	99493	\$109.26	\$143.28	76%			
	99494	\$46.00	\$60.73	76%			
	G2214	\$44.67	\$60.06	74%			
South Carolina	99492	\$111.16	\$152.57	73%	MAC Locality 1120201 South Carolina	https://www.scdhhs.gov/providers/fee-schedules	Click on "Physician Fee Schedule", then "Base Physician Fee Schedule". The "Payment Rates" on the row with "0" in the "MOD" column were used.
	99493	\$102.16	\$138.80	74%			
	99494	\$43.21	\$58.72	74%			
	G2214	\$37.96	\$57.98	65%			
Utah	99492	\$111.78	\$154.28	72%	MAC Locality 0350209 Utah	https://health.utah.gov/stplan/lookup/CoverageLookup.php	The link opens a lookup search tool. For "Select Provider Allowable Code", select "Physicians - 033". Enter a CoCM code and a date of service, and click "Search". The rate is in the "Charge Factor" row.
	99493	\$103.37	\$140.17	74%			
	99494	\$43.24	\$59.33	73%			
	G2214	\$52.74	\$58.60	90%			
Vermont	99492	\$133.86	\$157.09	85%	MAC Locality 1451250 Vermont	https://www.vtmedicaid.com/#/feeSchedule/cptCodes	The link opens a lookup search tool. Enter a CoCM code in the box underneath "Procedure Code". The rate is in the "Allowed Amount" column.
	99493	\$120.83	\$141.93	85%			
	99494	\$51.22	\$60.15	85%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			
Wyoming	99492	\$134.95	\$159.11	85%	MAC Locality 0360221 Wyoming	https://www.wyomingmedicaid.com/portal/fee-schedules#	Click "Procedure Code Search", and accept the agreement. Enter a CoCM code and click "Search". Click the code in the table below. In the "Code Rates" section, the "Rate" on the row with "F-FEE SCHEDULE" in the "Proc Factor Code" column was used.
	99493	\$127.63	\$143.74	89%			
	99494	\$51.77	\$60.94	85%			
	G2214	\$0.00	\$60.27	0%			



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels for 99492 and 99493.
States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay at least 100% of the Medicare rate for at least 99492 and 99493.
Blue text indicates states that pay at least 100% of the Medicare rate for at least one CoCM code (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and DISCLAIMER at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

		Reimbursement Rates					
	Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link
Group 3: States that pay below 70% of the Medicare rate for both 99492 and 99493 (8 States):							
Florida	99492	\$97.10	\$158.59	61%	MAC Locality 0910299 Rest of Florida	https://ahca.myflorida.com/medicaid/rules/rule-59g-4.002-provider-reimbursement-schedules-and-billing-codes	Scroll down to the section named "Practitioner Fee Schedule". Download the "Updated Fee Schedule" dated October 1, 2025. "FSI" rates were used.
	99493	\$91.86	\$144.15	64%			
	99494	\$37.31	\$61.05	61%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			
Hawaii	99492	\$95.04	\$171.08	56%	MAC Locality 0121201 Hawaii, Guam	https://medquest.hawaii.gov/en/plans-providers/fee-for-service/fee-schedules.html	Click the "Medicaid Fee Schedule" tab. Click "Current Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS) Fee Schedule". The rate is in the "Maximum Allowable Charge" column.
	99493	\$103.75	\$152.83	68%			
	99494	\$41.62	\$65.01	64%			
	G2214	\$38.80	\$64.48	60%			
Illinois	99492	\$69.45	\$154.88	45%	MAC Locality 0610299 Rest of Illinois	https://hfs.illinois.gov/medicalproviders/medicaidreimbursement/practitioner.html	Use the fee schedule named "Practitioner Fee Schedule Effective 10/01/2025 Updated 01/13/2026". If using the spreadsheet, see the "Practitioner FS" tab. The rate is in the "State Max" column <u>not</u> in the "Rate reduced by 2.7%" section.
	99493	\$76.30	\$141.34	54%			
	99494	\$62.10	\$59.80	104%			
	G2214	not posted	-	-			
Michigan	99492	\$95.64	\$152.85	63%	MAC Locality 0820299 Rest of Michigan	https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/doing-business/providers/providers/billingreimbursement/physicians-practitioners-medical-clinics	Under "Practitioner", choose "Oct 2025 XLSX", then click "View Report". "Non Fac Fee" rates were used.
	99493	\$87.97	\$139.32	63%			
	99494	\$36.85	\$58.93	63%			
	G2214	\$35.78	\$58.17	62%			
Nevada	99492	\$107.30	\$159.64	67%	MAC Locality 0131200 Nevada	https://dhcfp.nv.gov/resources/rates/feeschedules/	Use the fee schedule named "Provider Type 20 Physician, MD, Osteopath". The rates are in the "Rate" column.
	99493	\$85.93	\$144.25	60%			
	99494	\$44.39	\$61.16	73%			
	G2214	\$42.09	\$60.49	70%			
New Hampshire	99492	\$59.94	\$163.55	37%	MAC Locality 1431240 New Hampshire	https://nhmmis.nh.gov/portals/wps/portal/DocumentsandForms#b	Use the fee schedule named "2026 Fee Schedule - Covered Procedures Report with SA Requirements as of 01-01-2026". Rates are in the "Prncg Amt" column.
	99493	\$53.97	\$147.32	37%			
	99494	\$28.89	\$62.52	46%			
	G2214	\$65.77	\$61.89	106%			
New Jersey	99492	\$97.02	\$171.83	56%	MAC Locality 1240299 Rest of New JerseyEDS01	https://www.nimmis.com/RateInformation.aspx	Under "Procedure Code Listings", next to "Procedure Master Listing - Medicaid Fee for Service", select "1st Quarter" in the "CY2026" drop-down box. "FEE-NON-SPECIALIST" rates in the row with nothing in the "MOD1" column were used.
	99493	\$88.49	\$154.76	57%			
	99494	\$37.21	\$65.70	57%			
	G2214	\$27.77	\$65.05	43%			



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on **Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels** for 99492 and 99493.
States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **99492 and 99493**.
Blue text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **one CoCM code** (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and **DISCLAIMER** at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

Reimbursement Rates						
Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link
Pennsylvania	99492	\$71.18	\$152.45	47%	https://www.humanservices.dhs.pa.gov/OUTPATIENTFEESCHEDULE/	Accept the agreement. At the bottom of the page, enter "31" next to "Provider Type", one of the CoCM codes next to "Procedure Code", and select "11" in the "Place of Service" drop-down box. The rate is in the "Proc Price Amt" column.
	99493	\$64.36	\$138.81	46%		
	99494	\$34.58	\$58.72	59%		
	G2214	\$30.34	\$57.97	52%		



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on **Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels** for 99492 and 99493.

States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **99492 and 99493**.
Blue text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **one CoCM code** (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and **DISCLAIMER** at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

Reimbursement Rates						
Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link

Group 4: States that do not post Medicaid FFS payment for either 99492 or 99493 (16 States, 14 of which have low Medicaid CoCM use²):

Alabama		none of the CoCM codes are posted				https://medicaid.alabama.gov/content/Gated/7.3G_Fee_Schedules.aspx	Accept the agreement. See the "Physician Fee Schedule" dated 10/1/25. None of the CoCM codes are listed.
Alaska		none of the CoCM codes are posted				https://extranet-sp.dhss.alaska.gov/hcs/medicaidalaska/Provider/Sites/FeeSchedule.html	See the "Physician Fee Schedule FY 2026". None of the CoCM codes are listed.
Arkansas		none of the CoCM codes are posted				https://humanservices.arkansas.gov/divisions-shared-services/medical-services/helpful-information-for-providers/fee-schedules/	See the "Physician" fee schedule dated 1/7/26. None of the CoCM codes are listed.
Delaware		none of the CoCM codes are posted				https://medicaidpublications.dhss.delaware.gov/docs/search/Entrvld/17	See the "2025 Physician Fee Schedule". None of the CoCM codes are listed.
Idaho	99492 99493 99494 G2214	not posted not posted not posted \$44.11	- - - \$57.09	- - - 77%	MAC Locality 0220200 Idaho	https://publicdocuments.dhw.idaho.gov/WebLink/Browse.aspx?id=34593&dbid=0&repo=PUBLIC-DOCUMENTS	Click "4% Provider Rate Reduction Fee Schedules". 99492, 99493, and 99494 are not listed on any tab. G2214 is on the tab named "Idaho Medicaid Fee Schedule." The rate in the "Rate Effective 10/1/2025" column was used.
Indiana		all of the CoCM codes say "not covered"				https://provider.indianamedicaid.com/ihcp/Publications/MaxFee/fee_home.asp	Accept the agreement. See the files in the "Professional Fee Schedule – Last Updated January 13, 2026" section. All of the CoCM codes are listed with a "Service Category" of "NOCOV", which means "Non Covered Services".
Louisiana		none of the CoCM codes are posted				https://www.lamedicaid.com/Provweb1/fee_schedules/ProServLabXRavRadASC_Fee.htm	Next to "Professional", click on the date in the "Current" column (11/01/2025). None of the CoCM codes are listed.



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on **Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels** for 99492 and 99493.

States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **99492 and 99493**.
Blue text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **one CoCM code** (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and **DISCLAIMER** at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

Reimbursement Rates						
Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link
Maine	none of the CoCM codes are posted				https://mainecare.maine.gov/Provider%20Fee%20Schedules/Forms/Publication.aspx?RootFolder=%2FProvider%20Fee%20Schedules%2FMaineCare%20UCR&FolderCTID=0x012000264D1FBA0C2BB247BF40A2C571600E81&View=%7B69CEE1D4%2DA5CC%2D4DAE%2D93B6%2D72A66DE366E0%7D	See the "MaineCare UCR" fee schedule. None of the CoCM codes are listed. Note: The link is to a portal that is only available Monday-Friday 7am-7pm ET, excluding holidays. You will see an error if trying to access it outside of those times.
Minnesota ^c	all of the CoCM codes say "not covered"				https://mn.gov/dhs/partners-and-providers/policies-procedures/minnesota-health-care-programs/provider/billing/fee-schedule/mhcp.isp	See the "MHCP Fee Schedule". All of the CoCM codes are listed with "4" in the "Fact Code" column, which means "general price not covered".
Mississippi	all of the CoCM codes say "not covered"				https://medicaid.ms.gov/providers/fee-schedules-and-rates/#	Accept the agreement. Click either link directly under "Comprehensive Fee Schedule". If using the downloaded Excel file, all of the CoCM codes are listed on the tab named "NON_COVERED FNL". If using the "Interactive Fee Schedule", accept the agreement, enter the code, select today's date as the "Effective Date", and click "Search". It says "Procedure is not covered on the effective date" for all of the CoCM codes.
New Mexico	99492	not posted	-	-	https://www.hca.nm.gov/providers/fee-schedules/	Accept the agreement. Next to the fee schedule named "HCPCS Codes", click on "Open File". 99492, 99493, and 99494 are not listed. G2214 is listed. The rate is in the "Rate" column.
	99493	not posted	-	-		
	99494	not posted	-	-		
	G2214	\$55.80	\$58.45	95%		
North Dakota	all of the CoCM codes say "not covered"				https://www.hhs.nd.gov/healthcare/medicaid/provider/fee-schedules	Use the "Procedure Code Look-up Tool" or see the "General Services Fee Schedule". If using the "Procedure Code Look-up Tool", accept the agreement, and search for each CoCM code. In the "Summary" section, next to "Covered", all of the CoCM codes say "No". If using the "General Services Fee Schedule", all of the CoCM codes are listed with "No" in the "Covered" column.
Ohio	all of the CoCM codes say "not covered"				https://medicaid.ohio.gov/resources-for-providers/billing/fee-schedule-and-rates/schedules-and-rates	See the "Medicine, Surgery, Radiology and Imaging, and Additional Procedures (Non-Institutional Services)" fee schedule. 99492, 99493, and 99494 are listed on the "Discontinued procedures" tab with "NC" in the "Current Maximum Payment Amount" column, which means "non-covered service". G2214 isn't listed in the spreadsheet at all, and the "MSRIAP" tab says at the top "Unless otherwise indicated, services and items represented by codes in the following ranges are not covered: G0001-G9999..."
South Dakota	none of the CoCM codes are posted				https://dss.sd.gov/Medicaid/providers/feeschedules/default.aspx	In the "Physician Services" section, click "Current SFY" on the "Physician Services" row, and use the search bar at the top to search for each CoCM code. All of the CoCM codes say "No results found".



Detailed Analysis as of January 2026
CoCM Codes -- Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement
The current version of this document can be found [here](#)
A one-page Summary of this Analysis is available [here](#)

CPT codes 99492 and 99493 are the primary and most frequently used CoCM codes. Therefore, states are grouped below based on **Medicaid FFS reimbursement levels** for 99492 and 99493.
States are also color-coded based on the reimbursement levels of 99492, 99493, 99494 and G2214.

Green text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **99492 and 99493**.
Blue text indicates states that pay **at least 100%** of the Medicare rate for at least **one CoCM code** (but not for both 99492 and 99493).

See important notes and **DISCLAIMER** at the bottom of this spreadsheet.

Reimbursement Rates						
Code	State Reimbursement	Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement as Percentage of Medicare Reimbursement	MAC Locality Used for Medicare Reimbursement	State Reimbursement Reference Link	Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link
Tennessee ^c	no publicly posted Medicaid FFS fee schedule				https://www.tn.gov/tenncare/providers.html	Tennessee Medicaid doesn't post a public FFS fee schedule on their website. The link to the left is to the "Provider" page of Tennessee Medicaid's website.
West Virginia	all of the CoCM codes say "not covered"				https://bms.wv.gov/providers/west-virginia-medicaid-physicians-fee-schedules/physicians-resource-based-relative-value-scale-rbrvs-fee-schedules	See the "RBRVS 2025 Effective 4/1/25 - 3/31/26" fee schedule. All of the CoCM codes are listed with "Not Covered" in the "Gainwell 2025 Status Code" column.

Group 4 Exceptions and Notes

- (a) An exception is that Medicaid plans (including some in Group 4) often pay some portion of "patient out-of-pocket expenses" for certain categories of Medicare-Medicaid "dual eligible" patients, which is one reason why most states have had at least a minimal volume of Medicaid CoCM patients. For those categories of patients, Medicare is the primary payer and Medicaid will reimburse the patient cost sharing.
- (b) All but two of the Group 4 states do not post Medicaid FFS payment for any CoCM codes. Idaho and New Mexico post payment for G2214 but, based on data through 2023 from the Transformed Medicaid Statistical Information System (T-MSIS), use was minimal.
- (c) Data through 2023 from T-MSIS shows that use of CoCM in Group 4 states was typically low for both Medicaid FFS and Medicaid MCO patients. However, Medicaid MCO use was substantial in Minnesota and Tennessee.

GENERAL NOTES

- A. Medicaid FFS reimbursement is based on Fee Schedules posted on state websites as of January 15, 2026 for non-facility services (except as noted to the contrary in the column labeled "Notes on Finding Reimbursement Rates at the Reference Link").
- B. Medicare reimbursement is based on the non-facility rates at this link: [Search the Physician Fee Schedule - CMS](#). The link brings up the CMS disclaimer page; click accept at the bottom. To search the Physician Fee Schedule: (1) Select the year. (2) Under Type of information, select "Pricing Information". (3) Under HCPCS Criteria, select "Single HCPCS Code" or, if searching multiple codes, select "List of HCPCS Codes". (4) Under HCPCS Code, type in the CPT code(s). (5) Under Modifier, select "All Modifiers". (6) Under MAC Option, select "Specific Locality". (7) Under Specific MAC Locality, type in the state name. (8) Click on "Search Fees" to get the rate(s). Medicare reimbursement is adjusted for each MAC Locality: (a) If there is only one locality, this Analysis uses that rate. (b) If there is more than one rate under a locality, this Analysis uses the rate with the highest reimbursement amount. (c) If the state has multiple localities, this Analysis uses the locality starting with "Rest of".
- C. This Analysis does not address:
- i. Code G0512, use of which is still required by Medicaid FFS in many states for FQHCs and RHCs, even though CMS eliminated use of G0512 (which is a restrictive code) for Medicare.
 - ii. Reimbursement by Medicaid MCOs, which typically do not post their reimbursement amounts.
 - iii. Information about Medicaid FFS restrictions in many states regarding use of certain CoCM codes. For example, some states do not allow or limit use of 99494 and/or G2214.
- D. Medicaid and Medicare reimbursement is adjusted from time to time -- please contact admin@mhtari.org if any information in this document appears incorrect.

DISCLAIMER
(Applies to this Detailed Analysis and the one-page Summary Analysis)

This Medicaid Fee-for-Service Reimbursement Analysis ("Analysis") is intended for informational purposes only. The Bowman Family Foundation (BFF) and the Mental Health Treatment and Research Institute (MHTARI) hereby disclaim all representations and warranties, express or implied, related to the Analysis or the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or utility of any information in the Analysis. Although BFF and MHTARI use reasonable efforts to include information in the Analysis that could potentially benefit providers, Medicaid agencies, advocates or others (collectively, "Users") at the time when such information is added to the Analysis, the information may be incorrect, incomplete or out-of-date. The Analysis is provided "as is" and "with all faults". Users should not rely solely on any information contained in the Analysis. Each User assumes all risk associated with any use of the Analysis or any information contained in it, and should rely upon only the information they obtain directly from each state.

Appendix E: Findings by State / Lists of Key CoCM Providers

Notes That Apply to All States

[Click here for links to each state](#)

Below is a list of statistics that are presented for each state on the following pages and the notes that apply to them:

- Number of Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year **(a)(f)(m)(t)**
- Number of Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year **(b)(f)(m)(t)**
- Number of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients Per Year **(c)(g)(p)(t)**
- Use Rate of Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis **(a)(h)(m)(n)(o)(t)**
- Use Rate of Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis **(b)(h)(m)(o)(t)**
- Use Rate of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis **(e)(i)(p)(t)**
- Percentage of Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age) **(d)(j)(m)(n)(o)(t)**
- Percentage of Commercially Insured CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age) **(e)(k)(n)(p)(t)**
- Commercially Insured Patients Who Received CoCM Services From Pediatricians as a Percentage of All Commercially Insured Patients Who Received CoCM Services From Primary Care Physicians **(e)(l)(n)(p)(t)**
- Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement **(c)(g)(q)**
- Organizational Providers in the State with the Most Medicaid CoCM Patients in 2023 **(a)(f)(m)(r)(s)**
- Organizational Providers in the State with the Most Medicare CoCM Patients in 2023 **(b)(f)(m)(r)(s)**

(a) Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

(b) Source: RTI analysis of CMS Medicare Claims and Encounter Files

(c) Source: FAIR Health data

(d) Source: MHTARI analysis of RTI data from CMS Medicaid Transformed Analytic Files

(e) Source: MHTARI analysis of FAIR Health data

(f) Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined

(g) Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined

(h) Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, all ages combined

(i) Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, all ages combined

(j) Any CoCM code, any provider type, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis

(k) Any CoCM code, all primary care providers combined, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis

(l) Any CoCM code, facility and non-facility combined, any diagnosis, all ages combined

(m) Medicaid and Medicare claims include patients who were dually eligible for both insurance types.

(n) States with a rank starting with "T" were tied for that rank at zero.

-
- (o) Due to cell suppression rules (see "Methodology" section), RTI suppressed the number of patients when it was less than 11. In these instances, we used 5 patients in any calculation based on the number of CoCM patients, such as use rates and percentages.
 - (p) FAIR Health did not report the number of patients when CoCM sample sizes were low, which is indicated by an "L". In these instances, we used 5 patients in any calculation based on the number of CoCM patients, such as use rates and percentages.
 - (q) FAIR Health did not report mean reimbursement data when there were either no CoCM patients or the CoCM sample sizes were low in a state/year. These are indicated by a dash.
 - (r) Due to cell suppression rules (see "Methodology" section), RTI suppressed the number of patients when it was less than 11. Organizations with a suppressed patient count were not included in the lists of organizational providers with the most Medicaid and Medicare CoCM patients in 2023. Patient counts for affiliated organizations were combined. Green font indicates organizations that were in both the Medicaid and Medicare lists.
 - (s) The total of Medicaid or Medicare CoCM patients seen by the listed organizations may be more than the total Medicaid or Medicare CoCM patients in the state in the same year because some patients saw more than one organization and were counted for each of those organizations, while the state total only counts each patient once.
 - (t) Dashes mean zero in the sections with this footnote. Regarding number of CoCM patients and use rates, a dash indicates there were no CoCM patients in a state/year and the associated use rate was also zero. Regarding percentage of CoCM patients who were children/adolescents, a dash means none of the CoCM patients were children/adolescents. Regarding patients who received CoCM services from pediatricians, a dash means none of the patients received CoCM services from pediatricians. For any percentages that show as "0%" rather than a dash, this means the percentage was very small and rounded to zero.

USA (NATIONAL)

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	

USA (NATIONAL)

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	CARELOCK, LLC States: AZ	1,950	1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC States: AL, CT, FL, GA, IN, MD, NE, NJ, NY, PA, TX, VT	5,858
2	HONORHEALTH MEDICAL GROUP, LLC States: AZ	1,478	2	BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES, P.A./ BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES SOUTHEAST LLC/BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES WISCONSIN SC States: FL, MN, WI	5,478
3	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL FOUNDATION, INC States: WI	1,011	3	HONORHEALTH MEDICAL GROUP, LLC States: AZ	1,687
4	UNIVERSITY OF PENN-MEDICAL GROUP/ CLINICAL CARE ASSOCIATES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HEALTH States: PA	981	4	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL FOUNDATION, INC States: WI	1,248
5	BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES, P.A. States: MN	924	5	PROVIDENCE MEDICAL FOUNDATION States: CA	1,180
6	OPTUM CARE WASHINGTON PLLC/ RELIANT MEDICAL GROUP, INC States: MA, WA	597	6	CLINICAL CARE ASSOCIATES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HEALTH/ UNIVERSITY OF PENN-MEDICAL GROUP States: PA	1,140
7	INFINITE MEDICAL PC States: CT, FL, MD, NJ, PA, TX, VT	595	7	LTHC SOLUTIONS LLC States: TX	1,001
8	FRANCISCAN MEDICAL GROUP States: WA	516	8	FOOTHILL CARDIOLOGY MEDICAL GROUP INC States: CA	967
9	LTHC SOLUTIONS LLC States: TX	506	9	TRANSITIONAL CARE SERVICES, LLC States: MI	926
10	TMC MEDICAL NETWORK States: AZ	462	10	AMERICAN TELEHEALTH, LLC States: NJ, PA	880

* **Green font** indicates organizations that are in both lists

* **Blue font** indicates states in which the provider is listed as a top provider for Medicaid or Medicare, respectively

ALABAMA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Alabama	<11	<11	<11	<11	-	<11		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Alabama	15	79	43	20	15	160		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Alabama	72	63	32	22	L	L	128	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Alabama	2	2	2	2	-	2		47
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Alabama	6	32	17	8	5	54		41
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Alabama	16	13	6	5	1	1	18	41
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Alabama	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Alabama	-	-	16%	-	-	100%	4%	39
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Alabama	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	4%	31
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Alabama	-	-	-	121%	-	-	173%	17

ALABAMA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
	None other than suppressed	

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	95
2	INTERNAL MEDICINE AFFILIATES	15
3	MULBERRY MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, P.C	11

ALASKA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Alaska	-	-	11	<11	-	-		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Alaska	-	-	-	<11	-	<11		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Alaska	-	-	L	L	L	L	L	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Alaska	-	-	22	10	-	-		T48
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Alaska	-	-	-	31	-	27		43
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Alaska	-	-	7	6	6	5	5	51
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T44
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A

ALASKA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

ARIZONA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Arizona	745	1,308	2,224	3,398	6,526	7,907		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Arizona	900	1,489	2,392	3,031	4,369	6,301		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Arizona	695	1,447	2,897	3,894	7,275	10,275	14,755	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Arizona	180	293	484	679	1,252	1,459		1
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Arizona	337	515	806	948	1,312	1,762		2
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Arizona	119	228	391	533	844	1,055	1,304	1
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Arizona	4%	6%	12%	13%	14%	15%		17
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Arizona	5%	5%	11%	11%	9%	9%	11%	25
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Arizona	–	–	7%	8%	7%	9%	13%	18
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Arizona	98%	100%	103%	97%	105%	115%	123%	41

ARIZONA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	CARELOCK, LLC	1,950	1	HONORHEALTH MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	1,665
2	HONORHEALTH MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	1,476	2	PRESCOTT HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS LLC	789
3	TMC MEDICAL NETWORK	462	3	CARELOCK, LLC	489
4	MOUNTAIN VIEW PEDIATRICS	430	4	4C MEDICAL GROUP PLC	361
5	PRESCOTT HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS LLC	406	5	TMC MEDICAL NETWORK	359
6	4C MEDICAL GROUP PLC	295	6	MARCANN MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, PLLC	303
7	HEALING HEARTS PEDIATRICS	276	7	ADVANCED SPINE AND PAIN LLC	295
8	CLEARPATH FAMILY HEALTH LTD	246	8	KINGMAN HEALTHCARE, INC	222
9	ARIZONA OB GYN AFFILIATES PC	220	9	PREMIER MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	184
10	HAPPY KIDS PEDIATRICS P.C.	199	10	PRIVIA MEDICAL GROUP ARIZONA, LLC	179

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

ARKANSAS

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Arkansas	45	64	45	70	95	103		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Arkansas	125	143	112	166	294	543		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Arkansas	84	133	153	251	447	755	965	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Arkansas	22	31	23	36	46	49		30
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Arkansas	82	88	70	97	164	285		24
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Arkansas	29	47	40	64	103	164	184	20
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Arkansas	-	3%	-	-	-	5%		29
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Arkansas	-	28%	-	2%	12%	13%	6%	33
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Arkansas	-	-	-	-	4%	7%	1%	37
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Arkansas	128%	138%	138%	130%	127%	135%	135%	34

ARKANSAS

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MERCY HEALTH NORTHWEST ARKANSAS COMMUNITIES/MERCY CLINIC FORT SMITH COMMUNITIES	36

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MERCY CLINIC FORT SMITH COMMUNITIES/MERCY HEALTH NORTHWEST ARKANSAS COMMUNITIES	229
2	WHITE RIVER HEALTH SYSTEM, INC./ WRMC INTERNAL MEDICINE CLINIC	116
3	BOSTON MOUNTAIN RURAL HEALTH CENTER INC	31
4	BAXTER COUNTY REGIONAL HOSPITAL, INC.	23
5	PRIME ENDOCRINOLOGY LLC	12

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

CALIFORNIA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
California	124	465	460	1,134	1,914	2,548		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
California	422	1,561	1,832	2,008	3,654	4,434		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
California	171	950	2,686	3,406	5,761	9,504	15,107	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
California	6	24	24	56	91	113		22
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
California	35	126	149	158	282	328		19
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
California	6	29	83	109	160	233	334	11
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
California	4%	1%	1%	8%	14%	18%		13
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
California	3%	2%	2%	13%	20%	26%	32%	10
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
California	3%	1%	0%	13%	19%	28%	37%	7
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
California	160%	169%	165%	178%	191%	243%	277%	1

CALIFORNIA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	PROVIDENCE MEDICAL FOUNDATION/ PROVIDENCE MEDICAL INSTITUTE	662
2	POUYA AFSHAR MD INC	458
3	DIGNITY HEALTH MEDICAL FOUNDATION/PACIFIC CENTRAL COAST HEALTH CENTERS	307
4	FOOTHILL CARDIOLOGY MEDICAL GROUP INC	146
5	DESERT HEART RHYTHM CONSULTANTS INC	113
6	PACIFIC HEALTH EDUCATION COGNITIVE CENTER, INC	109
7	SCHOOL HEALTH CLINICS OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY	72

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	PROVIDENCE MEDICAL FOUNDATION/ PROVIDENCE SAINT JOHNS MEDICAL FOUNDATION/PROVIDENCE MEDICAL INSTITUTE	1,460
2	FOOTHILL CARDIOLOGY MEDICAL GROUP INC	966
3	MEMORIALCARE MEDICAL FOUNDATION	365
4	DESERT HEART RHYTHM CONSULTANTS INC	187
5	POUYA AFSHAR MD INC	168
6	JOSEPH NASSIR MD INC	131
7	DIGNITY HEALTH MEDICAL FOUNDATION	121

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

COLORADO

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Colorado	17	19	14	61	80	153		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Colorado	23	70	126	435	587	1,345		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Colorado	46	98	177	284	324	2,616	5,957	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Colorado	6	7	5	18	21	37		32
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Colorado	13	36	64	206	268	574		11
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Colorado	8	15	24	38	38	286	563	7
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Colorado	65%	32%	-	8%	1%	16%		15
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Colorado	84%	28%	3%	2%	6%	36%	33%	8
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Colorado	100%	-	3%	2%	-	39%	36%	9
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Colorado	-	-	133%	125%	124%	133%	133%	36

COLORADO

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	PHYSICIAN HOUSECALLS LLC	52
2	FORTE HEALTH AND WELLNESS INC	30
3	OPTUMCARE COLORADO MEDICAL GROUP LLC	29

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	PHYSICIAN HOUSECALLS LLC	604
2	OPTUMCARE COLORADO MEDICAL GROUP LLC/NEW WEST PHYSICIANS INC	370
3	FORTE HEALTH AND WELLNESS INC	118
4	LIN HEALTH MEDICAL GROUP PA	47
5	327 MEDICAL PROF CORP	30
6	MATTHEWS-VU MEDICAL GROUP, P.C.	22
T7	MAURICIO WAINTRUB, M.D., PC	16
T7	SOUTH DENVER GASTROENTEROLOGY, PC	16
9	NEUROLOGY OF THE ROCKIES, LLC	15
10	SUMMIT PRIMARY CARE	14

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

CONNECTICUT

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Connecticut	<11	31	27	24	42	330		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Connecticut	<11	63	98	113	221	532		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Connecticut	-	88	316	653	926	1,317	1,472	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Connecticut	2	10	9	8	13	102		24
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Connecticut	3	34	53	57	107	244		25
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Connecticut	-	21	71	155	160	207	224	19
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Connecticut	-	16%	-	21%	12%	2%		32
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Connecticut	-	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	41
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Connecticut	-	-	-	1%	2%	2%	3%	34
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Connecticut	-	121%	140%	126%	110%	111%	123%	42

CONNECTICUT

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	105
2	MHS PRIMARY CARE, INC	50
3	PHYSICIANS FOR WOMEN'S HEALTH LLC	49
4	YALE UNIVERSITY/NORTHEAST MEDICAL GROUP, INC.	46
5	HARTFORD HEALTHCARE MEDICAL GROUP, INC	34
6	PRIME HEALTHCARE, PC	15

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	137
2	MHS PRIMARY CARE, INC	107
3	NORTHEAST MEDICAL GROUP, INC./ YALE UNIVERSITY	94
4	PRIME HEALTHCARE, PC	40
5	PHYSICIANS FOR WOMEN'S HEALTH LLC	37
6	HARTFORD HEALTHCARE MEDICAL GROUP, INC	33
T7	TRINITY HEALTH OF NEW ENGLAND PROVIDER NETWORK ORGANIZATION INC	25
T7	ZEN WELLNESS CARE	25
9	DEAN HAR MD LLC	11

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

DELAWARE

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Delaware	<11	272	236	103	16	56		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Delaware	18	167	61	30	27	80		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Delaware	-	17	41	15	158	254	250	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Delaware	7	381	348	144	22	83		25
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Delaware	41	354	127	57	49	136		33
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Delaware	-	18	20	7	65	94	85	32
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Delaware	100%	2%	-	5%	69%	38%		4
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Delaware	-	-	-	-	52%	65%	71%	2
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Delaware	-	-	-	-	70%	89%	95%	1
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Delaware	-	-	101%	-	100%	101%	108%	46

DELAWARE**Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023**

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	ALPHA CARE MEDICAL, LLC	30
2	DELAWARE PEDIATRICS	22

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	STONEY BATTER FAMILY MEDICINE ASSOCIATES, P.A.	27
2	BAYHEALTH MEDICAL CENTER, INC	13

FLORIDA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Florida	17	25	40	89	289	528		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Florida	194	186	288	1,529	2,927	4,723		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Florida	41	21	146	1,285	3,438	6,756	7,717	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Florida	9	4	6	11	37	64		27
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Florida	17	15	23	112	208	317		21
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Florida	2	1	6	54	133	237	237	18
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Florida	-	28%	13%	6%	25%	19%		11
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Florida	-	-	-	2%	10%	14%	11%	24
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Florida	-	-	-	1%	11%	14%	11%	20
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Florida	-	-	100%	139%	128%	128%	140%	29

FLORIDA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	FLORIDA HOSPITAL MEDICAL GROUP INC/ FLORIDA HOSPITAL PHYSICIAN GROUP INC/SOUTHWEST VOLUSIA HEALTHCARE CORPORATION/ MEMORIAL HOSPITAL- WEST VOLUSIA INC/MEMORIAL HEALTH SYSTEMS INC/ADVENTIST HEALTH SYSTEM-SUNBELT INC	331	1	BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES SOUTHEAST LLC	1,613
2	BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES SOUTHEAST LLC	61	2	FLORIDA HOSPITAL MEDICAL GROUP INC/FLORIDA HOSPITAL PHYSICIAN GROUP INC	729
3	BAPTIST PRIMARY CARE INC	36	3	BAPTIST PRIMARY CARE INC/BAPTIST AGEWELL PHYSICIANS INC	660
4	MANATEE SENIOR CARE	30	4	HEALTH FIRST MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	424
5	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	20	5	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	178
			6	GUIDEWELL SANITAS I, LLC	140
			7	MANATEE SENIOR CARE	125
			8	FLORIDA CARDIOLOGY PA	96

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

GEORGIA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Georgia	<11	<11	21	25	73	95		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Georgia	<11	31	130	225	475	1,748		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Georgia	28	112	168	424	983	1,011	1,138	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Georgia	1	1	6	6	17	22		34
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Georgia	1	7	31	50	102	359		17
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Georgia	3	10	13	37	66	62	63	35
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Georgia	100%	100%	33%	8%	12%	6%		24
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Georgia	100%	48%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	42
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Georgia	100%	48%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	36
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Georgia	-	112%	116%	155%	157%	169%	174%	16

GEORGIA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	THE EMORY CLINIC INC	35

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	645
2	EMPOWERING HEALTHCARE SERVICES	546
3	THE EMORY CLINIC INC/EMORY SPECIALTY ASSOCIATES, LLC	197
4	PRIVIA MEDICAL GROUP OF GEORGIA LLC	147
5	EMPOWERED PATHWAYS LLC.	74
6	QCPI-VILLAGEMD, PC	70
7	ADVENTIST HEALTH SYSTEM GEORGIA INC	50
8	ENNOBLE HC DMV LLC	12

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

HAWAII

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Hawaii	-	<11	-	-	<11	<11		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Hawaii	<11	<11	<11	-	<11	<11		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Hawaii	-	-	129	38	30	20	167	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Hawaii	-	9	-	-	7	7		39
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Hawaii	13	13	13	-	12	12		49
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Hawaii	-	-	104	32	25	17	122	29
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Hawaii	-	-	60%	-	-	-	-	T44
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	246%	90%	47

HAWAII

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

IDAHO

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Idaho	–	31	25	14	12	138		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Idaho	<11	41	81	91	139	389		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Idaho	–	–	36	66	68	155	157	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Idaho	–	41	22	14	10	105		23
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Idaho	7	54	104	110	167	431		15
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Idaho	–	–	17	30	27	55	47	36
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Idaho	–	–	20%	–	42%	–		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Idaho	–	–	–	–	–	–	12%	22
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Idaho	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Idaho	–	–	166%	168%	168%	164%	234%	4

IDAHO

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	D&G MEDICAL ASSOCIATES PLLC	128
<hr/>		
<hr/>		

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	KOOTENAI HEALTH, INC.	207
<hr/>		
2	D&G MEDICAL ASSOCIATES PLLC	205
<hr/>		

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

ILLINOIS

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Illinois	<11	<11	27	17	418	1,423		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Illinois	283	516	370	854	543	878		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Illinois	-	93	777	1,412	1,652	2,698	3,396	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Illinois	1	1	4	3	53	174		18
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Illinois	63	109	79	170	105	160		31
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Illinois	-	6	49	86	89	133	158	25
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Illinois	100%	-	19%	-	5%	9%		20
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Illinois	-	-	3%	4%	5%	7%	9%	31
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Illinois	-	-	1%	4%	3%	5%	9%	26
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Illinois	-	87%	164%	162%	155%	155%	158%	21

ILLINOIS

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	LITCHFIELD FAMILY PRACTICE CENTER	18

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	NORTHWESTERN MEDICAL FACULTY FOUNDATION/CENTRAL DUPAGE PHYSICIAN GROUP	189
2	UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO	122
3	ADVENTIST HEALTH PARTNERS, INC.	96
4	CALLING CARE SERVICES INC.	71
5	PROVIDA HEALTH CENTER SC	57
6	MORRIS HOSPITAL	50
7	CLINICAL ASSOCIATES IN MEDICINE, LLC	44
8	BOYCIN MEDICAL CLINIC LTD.	42
9	RUSH UNIVERSITY MEDICAL GROUP	40

INDIANA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Indiana	-	<11	<11	-	16	11		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Indiana	65	178	124	269	193	588		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Indiana	-	34	125	231	261	107	123	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Indiana	-	1	1	-	3	2		46
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Indiana	21	54	38	75	52	150		32
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Indiana	-	4	13	24	25	9	10	46
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Indiana	-	-	100%	-	6%	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Indiana	-	-	41%	25%	21%	5%	4%	38
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Indiana	-	-	55%	26%	16%	-	5%	29
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Indiana	-	-	120%	125%	116%	124%	128%	40

INDIANA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
	None other than suppressed	

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	462
2	MIDWEST POST ACUTE CARE PLLC	59
3	MAJOR HOSPITAL	21
4	UNIVERSITY FAMILY PHYSICIANS, INC.	14

IOWA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Iowa	<11	26	<11	-	11	23		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Iowa	<11	41	<11	<11	23	37		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Iowa	-	-	11	14	11	27	90	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Iowa	2	11	2	-	4	9		36
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Iowa	4	29	4	3	15	22		45
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Iowa	-	-	2	3	2	5	15	44
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Iowa	-	19%	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	38%	7
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	42%	5
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Iowa	-	-	-	222%	152%	217%	183%	13

IOWA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MIDWEST POST ACUTE CARE PLLC	15

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MIDWEST POST ACUTE CARE PLLC	32

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

KANSAS

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Kansas	12	19	29	17	<11	<11		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Kansas	<11	<11	17	14	12	15		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Kansas	-	-	L	13	36	67	105	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Kansas	9	14	23	12	3	3		43
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Kansas	4	4	14	11	9	11		50
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Kansas	-	-	1	3	7	11	17	42
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Kansas	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Kansas	-	-	100%	-	-	18%	28%	13
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Kansas	-	-	100%	-	-	20%	33%	10
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Kansas	-	-	-	145%	146%	150%	170%	18

KANSAS

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

KENTUCKY

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Kentucky	12	<11	461	563	315	278		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Kentucky	14	17	103	285	334	292		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Kentucky	295	55	194	160	219	206	222	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Kentucky	2	1	92	105	57	48		31
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Kentucky	6	6	38	101	116	96		36
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Kentucky	76	13	37	31	37	31	31	39
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Kentucky	50%	-	0%	0%	2%	0%		33
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Kentucky	-	-	3%	-	-	2%	6%	35
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Kentucky	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Kentucky	-	-	122%	89%	93%	111%	115%	43

KENTUCKY

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	JOHN K GARNER MD PSC	162
2	COMMONWEALTH PAIN ASSOCIATES, PLLC	40
3	ADVANCED PAIN TREATMENT CENTER	33
4	MCCOY PRIMARY CARE LLC	15
5	SUMMIT MEDICAL SPECIALISTS PSC	14

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	ADVANCED PAIN TREATMENT CENTER	191
2	JOHN K GARNER MD PSC	49
3	COMMONWEALTH PAIN ASSOCIATES, PLLC	21

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

LOUISIANA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Louisiana	<11	24	<11	12	15	15		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Louisiana	<11	<11	<11	87	68	200		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Louisiana	-	-	L	33	11	17	63	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Louisiana	1	5	1	3	3	3		44
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Louisiana	3	2	2	39	29	78		39
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Louisiana	-	-	1	6	2	3	10	47
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Louisiana	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Louisiana	-	-	-	15%	-	-	8%	32
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Louisiana	-	-	-	15%	-	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Louisiana	-	-	-	183%	204%	154%	147%	24

LOUISIANA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

1 IMPERIAL HEALTH, LLP 150

2 DENNIS G WALKER FAMILY CLINIC 20

MAINE

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Maine	20	12	<11	12	<11	<11		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Maine	34	24	12	19	11	87		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Maine	-	24	128	102	167	651	760	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Maine	18	10	4	9	4	3		42
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Maine	37	25	12	18	11	83		38
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Maine	-	8	47	36	54	169	157	26
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Maine	-	-	-	5%	3%	26%	5%	36
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Maine	-	-	-	-	4%	23%	6%	27
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Maine	-	139%	170%	157%	152%	196%	178%	14

MAINE**Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023**

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

1 INTERMED, PA

79

MARYLAND

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Maryland	351	688	375	373	314	539		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Maryland	820	1,360	981	1,605	1,654	1,689		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Maryland	252	346	1,484	2,809	3,462	3,644	4,129	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Maryland	93	180	103	96	77	128		20
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Maryland	422	675	492	768	758	730		6
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Maryland	45	57	200	380	419	405	403	10
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Maryland	–	2%	1%	0%	2%	3%		30
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Maryland	–	7%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	40
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Maryland	–	7%	2%	5%	6%	3%	4%	33
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Maryland	141%	126%	148%	131%	130%	145%	156%	22

MARYLAND

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	PRIVIA MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	118	1	GREATER BALTIMORE MEDICAL CENTER, INC.	320
2	PAIN AND SPINE SPECIALISTS OF MARYLAND, LLC	54	2	MEDSTAR MEDICAL GROUP II LLC	211
3	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	53	3	PRIVIA MEDICAL GROUP, LLC/ PRIVIA CARE CENTER LLC	194
T4	GREATER BALTIMORE MEDICAL CENTER, INC	48	4	PAIN AND SPINE SPECIALISTS OF MARYLAND, LLC	150
T4	MEDSTAR MEDICAL GROUP II LLC	48	5	APOSTLE GROUP LLC	112
6	CAPITAL WOMEN'S CARE, L.L.C.	27	6	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	84
7	ENNOBLE HC DMV LLC	20	7	ENNOBLE HC DMV LLC	81
8	MENOCAL MEDICAL SERVICES PA	19	8	UPPER CHESAPEAKE PRIMARY CARE, LLC	77
9	MACE MEDICAL LLC	17	9	HARFORD PRIMARY CARE LLC	68
10	APOSTLE GROUP LLC	11			

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

MASSACHUSETTS

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Massachusetts	19	59	590	988	1,757	3,051		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Massachusetts	38	83	715	1,072	1,517	2,447		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Massachusetts	115	235	2,270	2,927	4,327	6,584	10,338	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Massachusetts	3	10	105	167	288	496		5
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Massachusetts	10	22	193	273	378	592		10
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Massachusetts	8	16	169	209	271	416	632	5
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Massachusetts	26%	8%	1%	1%	4%	12%		18
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Massachusetts	4%	8%	1%	1%	2%	9%	10%	28
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Massachusetts	–	–	1%	0%	2%	10%	9%	25
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Massachusetts	169%	160%	177%	164%	166%	184%	203%	6

MASSACHUSETTS

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	LAHEY CLINIC HOSPITAL, INC./LAHEY CLINIC, INC./BETH ISRAEL LAHEY HEALTH SPECIALTY CARE, INC./MOUNT AUBURN PROFESSIONAL SERVICES INC./WINCHESTER PHYSICIAN ASSOCIATES, INC	1001	1	LAHEY CLINIC, INC./WINCHESTER PHYSICIAN ASSOCIATES, INC/LAHEY CLINIC HOSPITAL, INC./MOUNT AUBURN PROFESSIONAL SERVICES INC./BETH ISRAEL LAHEY HEALTH SPECIALTY CARE, INC.	1,241
2	MEDICAL CARE OF BOSTON MANAGEMENT CORPORATION	346	2	MEDICAL CARE OF BOSTON MANAGEMENT CORPORATION	458
3	RIVERBEND MEDICAL GROUP, INC.	310	3	RIVERBEND MEDICAL GROUP, INC.	120
4	RELIANT MEDICAL GROUP, INC	270	4	SALEM NEW HAMPSHIRE PHYSICIANS NETWORK PC	80
5	COMMUNITY HEALTH PROGRAMS, INC.	268	5	MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL PHYSICIANS ORGANIZATION INC	67

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

MICHIGAN

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Michigan	44	100	394	1,170	1,672	2,027		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Michigan	290	917	852	1,499	2,950	3,814		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Michigan	233	886	1,068	2,328	3,391	4,492	5,378	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Michigan	6	15	60	162	221	258		11
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Michigan	58	176	171	279	519	607		8
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Michigan	20	72	80	171	226	279	315	12
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Michigan	16%	6%	2%	2%	3%	6%		26
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Michigan	–	–	0%	2%	4%	9%	10%	26
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Michigan	–	–	2%	3%	3%	10%	12%	19
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Michigan	108%	97%	131%	123%	128%	134%	134%	35

MICHIGAN

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN	328
2	HENRY FORD HEALTH SYSTEM/HENRY FORD PHYSICIAN PARTNERS/GENESYS PHO LLC/WA FOOTE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL INC	323
3	GRACE HEALTH, INC.	135
4	TRANSITIONAL CARE SERVICES, LLC	119
5	SPECTRUM HEALTH PRIMARY CARE PARTNERS	110
6	SAINT MARY'S HEALTH SERVICES	89

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	NORTHVIEW MEDICAL HOUSE CALLS PLC/NORTHVIEW HEALTH SPECIALISTS, PLLC	990
2	TRANSITIONAL CARE SERVICES, LLC	913
3	HENRY FORD PHYSICIAN PARTNERS/HENRY FORD HEALTH SYSTEM/GENESYS PHO LLC	483
4	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN	258
5	SPECTRUM HEALTH PRIMARY CARE PARTNERS	196
6	ADVANTAGE HEALTH/SAINT MARY'S MEDICAL GROUP	55

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

MINNESOTA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Minnesota	14	64	189	531	824	1,018		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Minnesota	31	126	364	1,227	2,097	2,781		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Minnesota	-	98	220	588	1,933	3,340	476	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Minnesota	4	17	50	129	192	224		14
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Minnesota	14	55	155	492	814	1,005		3
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Minnesota	-	12	25	66	197	310	41	37
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Minnesota	-	9%	4%	0%	0%	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Minnesota	-	-	2%	2%	7%	5%	12%	23
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Minnesota	-	-	-	1%	2%	2%	14%	17
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Minnesota	-	268%	300%	237%	120%	112%	233%	5

MINNESOTA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES, P.A.	924
2	MAYO CLINIC HEALTH SYSTEM- SOUTHEAST MINNESOTA REGION	60

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES, P.A.	2,636
2	MAYO CLINIC	92
3	THE DULUTH CLINIC, LTD	13

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

MISSISSIPPI

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Mississippi	<11	39	53	91	93	92		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Mississippi	<11	80	<11	72	86	122		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Mississippi	-	-	L	43	43	23	30	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Mississippi	3	23	33	52	53	50		29
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Mississippi	4	56	4	49	57	76		40
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Mississippi	-	-	1	12	11	6	7	49
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Mississippi	100%	-	-	100%	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	12%	-	-	T44
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	12%	21%	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Mississippi	-	-	107%	-	-	-	-	N/A

MISSISSIPPI

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	SOUTHWEST MISSISSIPPI REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER	67
2	PROGRESSIVE MEDICAL MANAGEMENT OF BATESVILLE LLC	14

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	SOUTHWEST MISSISSIPPI REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER	127
2	PROGRESSIVE MEDICAL MANAGEMENT OF BATESVILLE LLC	14

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

MISSOURI

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Missouri	<11	36	29	32	497	1,034		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Missouri	17	111	55	55	775	1,361		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Missouri	–	94	31	184	1,811	2,984	3,508	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Missouri	2	13	11	10	132	244		12
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Missouri	6	35	17	16	222	370		16
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Missouri	–	13	4	20	186	285	315	13
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Missouri	100%	–	–	–	10%	15%		16
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Missouri	–	–	16%	3%	6%	13%	17%	18
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Missouri	–	–	19%	3%	1%	12%	20%	14
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Missouri	–	101%	133%	132%	126%	130%	137%	33

MISSOURI

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MERCY CLINIC SPRINGFIELD COMMUNITIES/MERCY CLINIC EAST COMMUNITIES/MERCY CLINIC JOPLIN LLC/MERCY HOSPITAL JOPLIN	238

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MERCY CLINIC EAST COMMUNITIES/MERCY CLINIC SPRINGFIELD COMMUNITIES/MERCY CLINIC JOPLIN LLC/MERCY ACO CLINICAL SERVICES INC/MERCY HOSPITAL JOPLIN	1,278

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

MONTANA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Montana	31	361	519	593	498	413		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Montana	28	145	217	290	292	370		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Montana	13	330	447	554	573	480	1,052	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Montana	33	368	577	604	488	408		6
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Montana	64	310	473	593	575	688		7
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Montana	10	240	293	360	334	256	518	8
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Montana	16%	24%	19%	22%	20%	16%		14
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Montana	–	26%	14%	22%	24%	15%	18%	17
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Montana	–	–	–	–	2%	–	10%	22
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Montana	–	184%	158%	151%	154%	164%	162%	20

MONTANA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	SCL HEALTH MEDICAL GROUP - BILLINGS LLC	284
2	BILLINGS CLINIC	56
3	MARCUS DALY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION	22

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	SCL HEALTH MEDICAL GROUP - BILLINGS LLC	263
2	BILLINGS CLINIC	74
3	ST. PETER'S HEALTH	11

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

NEBRASKA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Nebraska	<11	<11	<11	<11	<11	39		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Nebraska	<11	<11	<11	<11	<11	38		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Nebraska	-	-	L	54	L	48	59	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Nebraska	7	7	7	5	5	34		33
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Nebraska	8	7	7	7	7	46		42
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Nebraska	-	-	2	17	1	13	15	45
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Nebraska	-	100%	-	100%	100%	28%		6
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	10%	-	T44
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	17%	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	141%	178%	130%	39

NEBRASKA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	GOTHENBURG MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	23

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	32

NEVADA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Nevada	60	35	<11	<11	32	<11		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Nevada	101	35	14	18	147	20		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Nevada	L	15	43	118	197	179	627	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Nevada	35	20	3	3	16	2		45
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Nevada	95	31	13	15	113	15		47
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Nevada	2	6	15	38	61	52	162	22
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Nevada	-	-	-	-	16%	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Nevada	-	-	49%	37%	31%	22%	15%	19
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	4%	32
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Nevada	-	-	116%	114%	116%	113%	132%	37

NEVADA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

NEW HAMPSHIRE

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
New Hampshire	<11	<11	-	<11	110	188		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
New Hampshire	22	11	<11	<11	97	175		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
New Hampshire	-	-	21	57	226	313	669	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
New Hampshire	8	7	-	6	128	229		13
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
New Hampshire	32	15	7	6	117	203		26
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
New Hampshire	-	-	9	27	96	113	264	17
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
New Hampshire	-	-	-	100%	35%	38%		3
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
New Hampshire	-	-	-	9%	21%	12%	29%	12
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
New Hampshire	-	-	-	9%	20%	14%	29%	12
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
New Hampshire	-	-	144%	141%	186%	192%	196%	8

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MARY HITCHCOCK MEMORIAL HOSPITAL/DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK CLINIC/ALICE PECK DAY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	161
2	CORE PHYSICIANS, LLC	25

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MARY HITCHCOCK MEMORIAL HOSPITAL/DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK CLINIC/ALICE PECK DAY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	141

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

NEW JERSEY

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
New Jersey	41	122	191	370	270	678		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
New Jersey	121	282	400	594	641	1,260		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
New Jersey	82	705	1,084	1,555	1,846	2,591	2,905	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
New Jersey	10	30	49	89	61	147		19
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
New Jersey	37	81	114	159	163	303		22
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
New Jersey	5	45	80	111	117	157	177	21
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
New Jersey	24%	5%	14%	12%	15%	7%		23
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
New Jersey	6%	23%	30%	48%	41%	35%	39%	6
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
New Jersey	6%	3%	24%	47%	42%	38%	40%	6
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
New Jersey	–	132%	124%	116%	127%	128%	131%	38

NEW JERSEY

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	AZZ MEDICAL ASSOCIATES PC	211
2	PRACTICE ASSOCIATES MEDICAL GROUP	163
3	ENNOBLE HC NJ PC	97
4	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	83
5	SANITAS MEDICAL CENTER OF NEW JERSEY PC	58
6	AMERICAN TELEHEALTH, LLC	16

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	ENNOBLE HC NJ PC	284
2	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	215
3	AZZ MEDICAL ASSOCIATES PC	166
4	AMERICAN TELEHEALTH, LLC	149
5	PRACTICE ASSOCIATES MEDICAL GROUP	129
6	CONSENSUS MEDICAL GROUP LLC	53
7	CLINICAL HEALTH CARE ASSOCIATES OF NEW JERSEY, PC	44
8	PRIMARY CARE PARTNERS LLC	25
9	AHS HOSPITAL CORP.	22
10	SANITAS MEDICAL CENTER OF NEW JERSEY PC	21

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

NEW MEXICO

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
New Mexico	<11	38	182	245	66	114		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
New Mexico	<11	13	28	226	27	175		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
New Mexico	-	-	-	420	35	41	245	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
New Mexico	3	19	92	114	30	53		28
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
New Mexico	6	14	31	231	26	164		30
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
New Mexico	-	-	-	254	19	22	112	31
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
New Mexico	-	-	-	2%	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
New Mexico	-	-	-	6%	-	-	9%	30
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
New Mexico	-	-	-	6%	-	-	14%	16
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
New Mexico	-	-	-	156%	159%	173%	184%	11

NEW MEXICO

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	RENEW HEALTH LLC	61
2	OPTUMCARE NEW MEXICO LLC	14

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	OPTUMCARE NEW MEXICO LLC	151

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

NEW YORK

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
New York	275	1,378	2,380	2,918	3,109	2,927		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
New York	657	1,917	2,097	2,853	3,602	3,358		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
New York	607	1,715	3,478	5,075	6,538	7,710	9,944	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
New York	20	98	177	201	218	194		17
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
New York	82	228	252	325	395	357		18
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
New York	30	83	161	234	259	242	297	15
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
New York	2%	16%	18%	19%	10%	9%		19
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
New York	7%	13%	16%	17%	13%	14%	20%	14
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
New York	2%	9%	19%	18%	13%	15%	26%	13
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
New York	92%	105%	107%	114%	119%	134%	139%	31

NEW YORK

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MIDDLETOWN MEDICAL PC	102	1	COLUMBIA MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	310
2	THE BRIDGE, INC.	89	2	OUR LADY OF LOURDES MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, INC.	299
3	AV MEDICAL PLLC	88	3	NORTH SHORE-LIJ MEDICAL PC	266
4	CAYUGA MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, PC	86	4	NUVANCE HEALTH MEDICAL PRACTICE, PC	256
5	SUN RIVER HEALTH INC.	76	5	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	213
6	WILLIAM F RYAN COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER INC	54	6	HOUSECALLS MEDICINE NY PC	163
7	HAMID LALANI MEDICAL PLLC	53	T7	MONTEFIORE MEDICAL CENTER	101
8	HEART CARE CONSULTANTS LLC	50	T7	ICAHN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AT MOUNT SINAI	101
9	NUVANCE HEALTH MEDICAL PRACTICE, PC	45	9	ROCHESTER GENERAL HOSPITAL	87
10	HIS BRANCHES, INC.	40			

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

NORTH CAROLINA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
North Carolina	219	982	1,361	1,650	1,595	1,699		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
North Carolina	865	1,479	1,458	1,152	1,034	1,083		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
North Carolina	109	368	315	1,011	1,228	2,486	5,763	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
North Carolina	45	201	297	319	289	277		10
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
North Carolina	178	283	284	210	181	179		28
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
North Carolina	8	28	22	70	76	134	272	16
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
North Carolina	2%	32%	28%	20%	21%	25%		8
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
North Carolina	-	24%	16%	13%	19%	21%	13%	21
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
North Carolina	-	20%	14%	19%	21%	21%	11%	21
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
North Carolina	-	88%	124%	140%	146%	154%	166%	19

NORTH CAROLINA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	SOUTHERN FAMILY MEDICINE, INC.	185	1	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL/UNC PHYSICIANS NETWORK LLC	138
2	PAVANA TREATMENT GROUP, P.C.	156	2	COX FAMILY MEDICINE	116
3	MED FIRST IMMEDIATE CARE & FAMILY PRACTICE, PA	155	3	MED FIRST IMMEDIATE CARE & FAMILY PRACTICE, PA	85
4	SANDHILLS PEDIATRICS	116	4	WAKE FOREST HEALTH NETWORK LLC/ WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES	74
5	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL/UNC PHYSICIANS NETWORK LLC	108	5	ATRIUM HEALTH NORTH MARKET NETWORK LLC	57
6	ATRIUM HEALTH NORTH MARKET NETWORK LLC	96	6	FLETCHER HOSPITAL INC.	45
7	ASHEVILLE PEDIATRIC ASSOCIATES	80	7	SOUTHERN FAMILY MEDICINE, INC.	43
8	FLETCHER HOSPITAL INC.	55	8	DUKE UNIVERSITY AFFILIATED PHYSICIANS, INC.	42
9	DAYSPRING FAMILY MEDICINE	54	9	MOUNTAIN AREA HEALTH EDUCATION CENTER, INC.	26

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

NORTH DAKOTA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
North Dakota	<11	-	<11	-	-	<11		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	28	5	16	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-		T48
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
North Dakota	20	-	20	-	-	16		46
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	22	4	10	48
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	1
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A

NORTH DAKOTA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

OHIO

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Ohio	176	580	960	552	158	236		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Ohio	170	428	513	654	778	893		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Ohio	248	535	870	1,156	1,433	1,505	1,762	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Ohio	16	52	87	47	13	22		35
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Ohio	29	68	80	96	110	119		35
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Ohio	17	34	50	64	71	70	75	33
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Ohio	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%		31
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Ohio	–	5%	1%	0%	3%	9%	5%	37
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Ohio	–	–	–	–	2%	10%	5%	30
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Ohio	150%	149%	140%	131%	135%	144%	137%	32

OHIO

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	OHIOHEALTH CORPORATION/MARION AREA PHYSICIANS LLC	189
2	UNIVERSITY PRIMARY CARE PRACTICES INC	14
3	PAIN SPECIALISTS OF CINCINNATI, LLC	11

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	OHIOHEALTH CORPORATION/MARION AREA PHYSICIANS LLC	529
2	UNIVERSITY PRIMARY CARE PRACTICES INC	214
3	CARELINE POH100 LLC	37
4	PAIN SPECIALISTS OF CINCINNATI, LLC	24
5	ADVANCED PAIN TREATMENT CENTER	21
6	CITYBLOCK MEDICAL PRACTICE, LLC	16

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

OKLAHOMA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Oklahoma	<11	<11	<11	<11	11	295		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Oklahoma	<11	<11	20	40	68	626		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Oklahoma	-	12	19	13	67	684	982	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Oklahoma	2	2	2	2	3	81		26
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Oklahoma	3	3	11	21	34	299		23
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Oklahoma	-	3	4	3	12	120	161	24
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	9%		21
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	7%	16%	9%	29
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	9%	16%	9%	23
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Oklahoma	-	85%	113%	110%	134%	112%	115%	44

OKLAHOMA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MERCY CLINIC OKLAHOMA COMMUNITIES	144
2	ARVID LADE MD PLC	73
3	THOMAS LUISKUTTY MD PLC	37
4	OSU CENTER FOR HEALTH SCIENCES	24

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MERCY CLINIC OKLAHOMA COMMUNITIES/MERCY CLINIC FORT SMITH COMMUNITIES	305
2	ARVID LADE MD PLC	177
3	THOMAS LUISKUTTY MD PLC	69
4	OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY	28
5	OU HEALTH PARTNERS, INC	21

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

OREGON

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Oregon	279	502	802	1,119	1,293	1,419		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Oregon	41	83	123	151	186	282		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Oregon	15	-	25	184	645	901	1,026	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Oregon	87	151	241	308	340	348		8
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Oregon	22	41	63	73	87	125		34
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Oregon	3	-	4	30	97	124	120	30
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Oregon	29%	33%	31%	30%	32%	27%		7
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Oregon	-	-	-	54%	42%	35%	30%	11
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Oregon	-	-	24%	52%	44%	38%	32%	11
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Oregon	-	-	230%	237%	242%	260%	245%	3

OREGON

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MID-VALLEY HEALTHCARE, INC./ ALBANY GENERAL HOSPITAL/GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL CORVALLIS	626
2	THE CORVALLIS CLINIC P C	145
3	ALLCARE MEDICAL GROUP LLC	106
4	PHYSICIANS MEDICAL CENTER P C	60

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	THE CORVALLIS CLINIC P C	45
2	ALLCARE MEDICAL GROUP LLC	41
T3	GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL CORVALLIS/ALBANY GENERAL HOSPITAL	26
T3	SANTIAM MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	26
5	NORTH BEND MEDICAL CENTER INC	24
6	PHYSICIANS MEDICAL CENTER P C	15

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

PENNSYLVANIA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Pennsylvania	408	928	1,026	1,429	2,572	3,899		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Pennsylvania	517	1,292	1,556	2,536	3,254	5,767		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Pennsylvania	111	803	2,155	4,461	7,640	9,380	10,930	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Pennsylvania	46	103	118	155	273	405		7
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Pennsylvania	85	197	237	360	440	734		5
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Pennsylvania	7	52	105	227	350	419	464	9
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Pennsylvania	2%	2%	1%	9%	8%	7%		22
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Pennsylvania	–	6%	2%	9%	7%	6%	6%	34
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Pennsylvania	–	13%	4%	9%	6%	5%	5%	28
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Pennsylvania	124%	140%	135%	116%	123%	133%	140%	28

PENNSYLVANIA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	UNIVERSITY OF PENN-MEDICAL GROUP/CLINICAL CARE ASSOCIATES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HEALTH	980	1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	1,502
2	OPHELIA MEDICAL GROUP FL, P.A.	309	2	CLINICAL CARE ASSOCIATES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HEALTH/ UNIVERSITY OF PENN-MEDICAL GROUP	1,125
3	ALLEGHENY CLINIC	208	3	AMERICAN TELEHEALTH, LLC	699
4	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	199	4	ALLEGHENY CLINIC	317
5	ST VINCENT MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE INC	105	5	ST VINCENT MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE INC	251
6	TEMPLE FACULTY PRACTICE PLAN, INC	67	6	PAIN AND SPINE SPECIALISTS OF MARYLAND, LLC	166
7	PINNACLE HEALTH MEDICAL SERVICES	59	7	FAMILY PRACTICE MEDICAL ASSOCIATE SOUTH INC.	152
8	MAIN LINE HEALTHCARE	41	8	OPHELIA MEDICAL GROUP FL, P.A.	76

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

RHODE ISLAND

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Rhode Island	<11	<11	-	-	-	<11		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Rhode Island	<11	<11	<11	98	-	<11		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Rhode Island	-	-	L	L	17	11	33	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Rhode Island	6	5	-	-	-	5		40
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Rhode Island	8	7	7	146	-	7		51
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Rhode Island	-	-	4	3	9	5	16	43
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	29%	45%	15%	20
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	29%	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	164%	191%	193%	9

RHODE ISLAND

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

SOUTH CAROLINA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
South Carolina	-	-	<11	14	<11	12		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
South Carolina	86	126	121	274	283	513		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
South Carolina	74	114	186	300	392	972	1,216	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
South Carolina	-	-	2	6	2	4		41
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
South Carolina	35	49	46	97	97	164		29
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
South Carolina	14	21	33	52	56	131	146	28
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
South Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	42%		1
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
South Carolina	-	-	3%	2%	-	1%	-	T44
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
South Carolina	-	-	4%	2%	-	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
South Carolina	-	-	168%	146%	141%	141%	147%	25

SOUTH CAROLINA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
	None other than suppressed	

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	PRISMA HEALTH UNIVERSITY MEDICAL GROUP/PRISMA HEALTH MEDICAL GROUP-MIDLANDS	181
2	STRAND PHYSICIAN SPECIALISTS, PA	159
3	SPARTANBURG MEDICAL CENTER	67

SOUTH DAKOTA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
South Dakota	<11	<11	-	<11	-	-		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
South Dakota	<11	<11	-	<11	<11	<11		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
South Dakota	-	-	-	17	21	43	50	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
South Dakota	17	16	-	15	-	-		T48
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
South Dakota	17	17	-	16	15	14		48
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
South Dakota	-	-	-	17	18	35	36	38
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	100%	67%	10%	27
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	115%	147%	23

SOUTH DAKOTA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

TENNESSEE

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Tennessee	229	467	775	1,033	1,235	1,269		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Tennessee	267	382	459	506	628	825		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Tennessee	-	80	261	225	429	747	897	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Tennessee	60	119	211	260	307	305		9
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Tennessee	73	102	124	129	156	194		27
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Tennessee	-	11	30	28	43	67	73	34
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Tennessee	20%	28%	3%	7%	5%	5%		28
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Tennessee	-	-	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	43
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Tennessee	-	-	132%	136%	128%	149%	145%	26

TENNESSEE

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MASINGALE FAMILY PRACTICE LLC	332
2	CARE RITE PLLC	294
3	MEHARRY HEALTH NETWORK, PC	162
4	ENCOMPASS FAMILY PRACTICE LLC	45
5	FORT SANDERS OBSTETRICAL AND GYNECOLOGICAL GROUP, P.C.	22

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	CARE RITE PLLC	406
2	MASINGALE FAMILY PRACTICE LLC	258
3	ENCOMPASS FAMILY PRACTICE LLC	194
4	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER	73
5	MEHARRY HEALTH NETWORK, PC	24
6	KNOXVILLE HMA PHYSICIAN MANAGEMENT, LLC	14

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

TEXAS

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Texas	47	119	243	175	474	1,724		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Texas	165	537	851	1,051	2,184	5,784		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Texas	223	52	375	779	1,849	4,283	6,622	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Texas	6	15	33	22	57	206		16
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Texas	19	57	87	102	205	510		12
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Texas	10	2	13	26	57	118	161	23
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Texas	–	3%	–	3%	7%	23%		10
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Texas	–	–	1%	4%	4%	14%	18%	16
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Texas	–	–	–	1%	2%	4%	9%	24
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Texas	102%	108%	120%	123%	119%	117%	113%	45

TEXAS

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	LTHC SOLUTIONS LLC	506
2	SCOTT & WHITE CLINIC/SCOTT & WHITE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	287
3	MACARTHUR MEDICAL CENTER, PLLC	211
4	FRISCO CARDIAC AND VASCULAR CARE, PLLC	143
5	MAVA HEALTHCARE SYSTEM LLC	88
6	HUGULEY MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, INC	86
7	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	61
8	TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY SYSTEM HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER	51

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	2,133
2	LTHC SOLUTIONS LLC	986
3	SCOTT & WHITE CLINIC/HEALTHTEXAS PROVIDER NETWORK/SCOTT & WHITE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	776
4	TMH PHYSICIAN ASSOCIATES PLLC	252
5	DFW PHYSICIANS MEDICAL ASSOCIATES LLC	241
6	HEALTHCARE ASSOCIATES OF IRVING PLLC	102
T7	ADAM BRUGGEMAN MD PLLC	92
T7	PRIVIA MEDICAL GROUP GULF COAST, PLLC	92
T7	CARDIOLOGY SPECIALISTS OF NORTH TEXAS, PLLC	92

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

UTAH

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Utah	<11	41	62	228	666	1,441		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Utah	<11	50	45	119	445	711		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Utah	13	94	30	818	3,380	5,182	6,932	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Utah	6	44	60	188	514	1,106		2
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Utah	6	52	46	113	404	604		9
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Utah	3	22	6	177	647	856	1,013	3
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Utah	–	12%	8%	31%	33%	32%		5
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Utah	–	–	17%	38%	36%	42%	40%	5
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Utah	–	–	–	42%	32%	40%	36%	8
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Utah	–	–	115%	128%	126%	135%	140%	30

UTAH

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH COMMUNITY PHYSICIANS GROUP/UNIVERSITY OF UTAH	326
2	OGDEN CLINIC PC	246
3	PREMIER FAMILY MEDICAL	218
4	UTAH VALLEY PEDIATRICS L.L.C.	203
5	EXODUS HEALTHCARE NETWORK PLLC	149
6	PATRICK GREEN MD PLLC	80
7	GRANGER MEDICAL CLINIC PC	57
8	FOOTHILL CLINIC, LLC	48
9	ALPINE PEDIATRICS, PC	30

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	OGDEN CLINIC PC/OGDEN CLINIC SPECIALTY SERVICES LLC	189
2	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH COMMUNITY PHYSICIANS GROUP/UNIVERSITY OF UTAH	137
3	PREMIER FAMILY MEDICAL	110
4	FOOTHILL CLINIC, LLC	82
5	EXODUS HEALTHCARE NETWORK PLLC	50
6	IHC HEALTH SERVICES INC	30
7	GRANGER MEDICAL CLINIC PC	28

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

VERMONT

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Vermont	<11	<11	<11	<11	22	88		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Vermont	<11	33	29	15	38	130		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Vermont	-	-	11	14	19	66	108	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Vermont	7	7	7	7	30	117		21
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Vermont	15	94	83	40	100	317		20
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Vermont	-	-	26	29	33	102	148	27
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Vermont	-	100%	-	-	23%	6%		27
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Vermont	-	-	-	-	77%	52%	60%	3
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Vermont	-	-	-	-	100%	65%	93%	2
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Vermont	-	-	-	-	153%	131%	190%	10

VERMONT

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	47
2	MARY HITCHCOCK MEMORIAL HOSPITAL/ ALICE PECK DAY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	23

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	INFINITE MEDICAL PC	86
2	TREATMENT ASSOCIATES, INC	21

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

VIRGINIA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Virginia	<11	57	123	334	461	1,033		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Virginia	<11	153	352	941	1,121	1,657		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Virginia	-	140	1,017	1,855	2,822	3,696	4,687	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Virginia	2	17	32	78	101	211		15
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Virginia	2	48	109	272	310	436		14
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Virginia	-	14	91	163	227	264	304	14
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Virginia	-	9%	4%	13%	28%	24%		9
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Virginia	-	-	19%	36%	34%	29%	32%	9
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Virginia	-	-	21%	39%	36%	28%	44%	4
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Virginia	-	154%	154%	139%	135%	149%	143%	27

VIRGINIA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	PRIVIA MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	162	1	MELODYS CONSULTING LLC	451
2	VITALCARE FAMILY LLC	143	2	PREMIER GERIATRIC SOLUTIONS PLLC	252
3	MELODYS CONSULTING LLC	138	3	KARE MORE MEDICAL LLC	175
T4	PREMIER GERIATRIC SOLUTIONS PLLC	97	4	PRIVIA MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	131
T4	RICHMOND PEDIATRIC ASSOCIATES, INC.	97	5	DOGWOOD MEDICAL	127
6	MARY WASHINGTON HEALTHCARE PHYSICIANS	73	6	VITALCARE FAMILY LLC	74
7	PEDIATRIC PARTNERS OF VIRGINIA, LLC	68	7	PAIN AND SPINE SPECIALISTS OF MARYLAND, LLC	58
8	HUGUENOT PEDIATRICS	50	8	PRIME CARE FAMILY PRACTICE, P.C.	49
9	PAIN AND SPINE SPECIALISTS OF MARYLAND, LLC	48	9	HEALTH AND WELLNESS MEDICAL SERVICES LLC	44
10	WISDOM CARE	35	10	FAMILY PRACTICE ASSOCIATES OF CHESTERFIELD PC	41

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

WASHINGTON

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Washington	256	738	1,196	1,735	2,808	3,351		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Washington	255	531	666	686	1,267	1,667		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Washington	141	944	1,795	1,959	4,162	6,358	8,868	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Washington	52	149	246	330	523	614		3
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Washington	91	178	222	212	385	481		13
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Washington	15	100	182	204	392	549	663	4
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Washington	2%	30%	27%	35%	37%	40%		2
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Washington	28%	37%	25%	27%	38%	49%	44%	4
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Washington	–	26%	27%	33%	39%	54%	65%	3
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Washington	134%	151%	193%	185%	180%	177%	183%	12

WASHINGTON

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID			MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients	Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	FRANCISCAN MEDICAL GROUP	516	1	PEACEHEALTH/PEACEHEALTH MEDICAL GROUP - SEDRO WOOLLEY	455
2	PEACEHEALTH	436	2	FRANCISCAN MEDICAL GROUP/VIRGINIA MASON MEDICAL CENTER	425
3	THE ASSOCIATION OF UNIVERSITY PHYSICIANS	362	3	FAMILY CARE NETWORK PLLC	252
4	OPTUM CARE WASHINGTON PLLC	327	4	THE ASSOCIATION OF UNIVERSITY PHYSICIANS	192
5	MULTICARE HEALTH SYSTEM	275	5	OPTUM CARE WASHINGTON PLLC	78
6	FAMILY CARE NETWORK PLLC	263	6	PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES WASHINGTON	64
7	NORTH OLYMPIC HEALTHCARE NETWORK	236			

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

WASHINGTON DC

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Washington DC	-	-	-	-	-	<11		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Washington DC	33	165	267	323	315	384		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Washington DC	-	141	354	302	337	449	989	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Washington DC	-	-	-	-	-	8		37
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Washington DC	178	847	1,396	1,581	1,525	1,806		1
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Washington DC	-	201	330	283	267	300	577	6
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Washington DC	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Washington DC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T44
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Washington DC	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	2%	35
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Washington DC	-	-	157%	145%	151%	182%	199%	7

WASHINGTON DC**Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023**

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
	None other than suppressed	

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	MEDSTAR MEDICAL GROUP II LLC / MEDSTAR TOTAL ELDER CARE LLC	211
2	HOUSE CALLS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA INC	78
3	ENNOBLE HC DMV LLC	50
4	PRIVIA CARE CENTER LLC	31

WEST VIRGINIA

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
West Virginia	<11	20	56	67	67	16		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
West Virginia	<11	14	64	165	187	120		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
West Virginia	-	-	L	19	46	32	72	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
West Virginia	3	13	31	34	32	7		38
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
West Virginia	5	12	55	135	150	92		37
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
West Virginia	-	-	2	7	17	12	22	40
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	6%		25
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
West Virginia	-	-	-	27%	11%	-	-	T44
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
West Virginia	-	-	-	134%	143%	174%	174%	15

WEST VIRGINIA

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

1	WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CORPORATION/UNITED PHYSICIANS CARE INC/UNITED HOSPITAL CENTER, INC./CAMDEN-CLARK PHYSICIAN CORPORATION	105
---	---	-----

WISCONSIN

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Wisconsin	22	28	59	230	1,313	2,263		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Wisconsin	78	112	219	1,263	2,095	2,807		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Wisconsin	136	193	726	7,279	10,617	11,610	13,219	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Wisconsin	7	8	18	61	338	555		4
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Wisconsin	32	43	83	447	729	912		4
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Wisconsin	18	24	81	780	1,065	1,102	1,175	2
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	14%	18%		12
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Wisconsin	-	-	1%	6%	13%	19%	18%	15
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Wisconsin	-	-	-	7%	14%	17%	18%	15
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Wisconsin	198%	235%	238%	249%	247%	267%	276%	2

WISCONSIN

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL FOUNDATION, INC	1,011
2	BELLIN MEMORIAL HOSPITAL INC	372
3	THEDACARE, INCORPORATED/ THEDACARE MEDICAL CENTER - SHAWANO, INC.	258
4	BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES WISCONSIN SC	250
5	FROEDTERT &THE MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN COMMUNITY PHYSICIANS, INC./FROEDTERT MANITOWOC MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	241
6	MAYO CLINIC HEALTH SYSTEM- NORTHWEST WISCONSIN REGION, INC.	45
7	ST. MARY'S HOSPITAL OF SUPERIOR	19

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients
1	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL FOUNDATION, INC/UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM NON PAYROLL	1,255
2	BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES WISCONSIN SC/BLUESTONE PHYSICIAN SERVICES, P.A.	1,053
3	THEDACARE, INCORPORATED	201
4	FROEDTERT &THE MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN COMMUNITY PHYSICIANS, INC./FROEDTERT MANITOWOC MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	157
5	BELLIN MEMORIAL HOSPITAL INC	94
6	MAYO CLINIC HEALTH SYSTEM- NORTHWEST WISCONSIN REGION, INC.	15

*Green font indicates organizations that are in both lists

WYOMING

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Most Recent Year Rank
Number: Medicaid CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,519	9,726	15,226	21,453	31,769	44,498		
Wyoming	<11	-	<11	<11	<11	-		
Number: Medicare CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	6,751	15,107	18,302	28,689	41,689	63,244		
Wyoming	-	-	<11	<11	<11	<11		
Number: Commercial CoCM Patients Per Year								
USA (National)	3,814	10,887	25,911	48,876	80,162	115,224	153,356	
Wyoming	-	L	L	-	L	11	L	
Use Rate: Medicaid CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	20	53	84	109	155	209		
Wyoming	24	-	25	23	21	-		T48
Use Rate: Medicare CoCM Patients per 100,000 Medicare Beneficiaries with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	49	104	126	186	261	375		
Wyoming	-	-	28	26	24	23		44
Use Rate: Commercial CoCM Patients per 100,000 Patients with an MHSU Diagnosis								
USA (National)	12	31	70	133	194	258	317	
Wyoming	-	9	9	-	8	16	6	50
Percentage: Medicaid CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	7%	14%	12%	14%	14%	16%		
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-		T34
Percentage: Commercial CoCM Patients Who Were Children and Adolescents (under 18 years of age)								
USA (National)	5%	11%	9%	12%	14%	18%	19%	
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T44
Pediatricians: Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM From Pediatricians as a Percent of All Commercial Patients Who Received CoCM from Primary Care Physicians								
USA (National)	3%	6%	8%	11%	13%	17%	20%	
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	T38
Reimbursement: Mean Ratio of Commercial CoCM Reimbursement to Medicare CoCM Reimbursement								
USA (National)	130%	130%	142%	137%	138%	150%	162%	
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	157%	-	N/A

WYOMING

Organizational Providers with the Most CoCM Patients in 2023

MEDICAID		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None

MEDICARE		
Rank	Organization Name	Number of CoCM Patients

None other than suppressed

BOWMAN FAMILY FOUNDATION

The Bowman Family Foundation (“BFF”) is a private foundation qualifying as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization. The primary mission of BFF is to improve the lives of people with mental health and substance use conditions. BFF also provides funding to support the education and welfare of children.

For more information:

<https://www.thebowmanfamilyfoundation.org>

M H T A R I

MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT
AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE

The Mental Health Treatment and Research Institute LLC (“MHTARI”) is a tax-exempt subsidiary of The Bowman Family Foundation (“BFF”) and conducts most BFF activities regarding mental health and substance use (“MHSU”) conditions.

For more information:

<https://www.mhtari.org>